

Minimal Overlapping & Exact Matches in Words

Brian Miceli

Department of Mathematics, Trinity University

Permutation Patterns 2011

Cal Poly, SLO

June 24th, 2011

Joint work with Jeff Remmel

A Quick Outline

- Some Quick Motivation
- What is the Problem?
- Some Mathematics

Definitions

Definition: For any alphabet, \mathcal{A} , we let \mathcal{A}^* denote the set of all words over \mathcal{A} . We denote the *empty word* by ϵ and we let $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}^* - \{\epsilon\}$.

Definitions

Definition: For any alphabet, \mathcal{A} , we let \mathcal{A}^* denote the set of all words over \mathcal{A} . We denote the *empty word* by ϵ and we let $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}^* - \{\epsilon\}$.

Note: For this talk, we will concern ourselves with the alphabets $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$.

Definitions

Given a word $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we give the following definitions.

Definition: The *weight* of w is $\Sigma w = w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n$.

Definitions

Given a word $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we give the following definitions.

Definition: The *weight* of w is $\Sigma w = w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n$.

Definition: The *length* of w is $|w| = n$.

Definitions

Given a word $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we give the following definitions.

Definition: The *weight* of w is $\Sigma w = w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n$.

Definition: The *length* of w is $|w| = n$.

Definition: $z(w) = \prod_{i=1}^n z_{w_i}$.

Definitions

Given a word $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we give the following definitions.

Definition: The *weight* of w is $\Sigma w = w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n$.

Definition: The *length* of w is $|w| = n$.

Definition: $z(w) = \prod_{i=1}^n z_{w_i}$.

Definition: $n_a(w)$ is the number of occurrences of a in w .

Motivation

We begin with a basic question to look at:

Motivation

We begin with a basic question to look at:

How many words in \mathbb{N}^ of weight n contain exactly one occurrence of the word 13?*

Examples to Gather Data

We first generate examples of words with exactly one containment of 13.

Example: There are 0 words of weight $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Examples to Gather Data

We first generate examples of words with exactly one containment of 13.

Example: There are 0 words of weight $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Example: ($n = 4$) There is 1 word - 13.

Examples to Gather Data

We first generate examples of words with exactly one containment of 13.

Example: There are 0 words of weight $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Example: ($n = 4$) There is 1 word - 13.

Example: ($n = 5$) There are 4 words - 14, 23, 113, 131.

Examples to Gather Data

We first generate examples of words with exactly one containment of 13.

Example: There are 0 words of weight $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Example: ($n = 4$) There is 1 word - 13.

Example: ($n = 5$) There are 4 words - 14, 23, 113, 131.

Example: ($n = 6$) There are 12 words - 15, 24, 114, 141, 33, 123,
132, 213, 231, 1113, 1131, 1311.

Examples to Gather Data

We first generate examples of words with exactly one containment of 13.

Example: There are 0 words of weight $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Example: ($n = 4$) There is 1 word - 13.

Example: ($n = 5$) There are 4 words - 14, 23, 113, 131.

Example: ($n = 6$) There are 12 words - 15, 24, 114, 141, 33, 123,
132, 213, 231, 1113, 1131, 1311.

Example: ($n = 7, 8$) There are 30 words of weight 7 and 68 of weight 8.

Now We Have Some Data

We have a sequence of terms that looks like:

$0, 0, 0, 1, 4, 12, 30, 68, \dots$

Now We Have Some Data

We have a sequence of terms that looks like:

$0, 0, 0, 1, 4, 12, 30, 68, \dots$

The OEIS says that this sequence may be the number of binary words of length n that contain exactly one occurrence of the binary subword 001.

Now We Have Some Data

We have a sequence of terms that looks like:

0, 0, 0, 1, 4, 12, 30, 68,

The OEIS says that this sequence may be the number of binary words of length n that contain exactly one occurrence of the binary subword 001.

This makes sense.

The Problem

The Problem

Suppose we have the word $b = 0^{k_1} 10^{k_2} 1 \dots 0^{k_m} 10^{k_{m+1}} \in B^*$ where $k_i \geq 0$, $m \geq 2$, and $\min(k_1, k_{m+1}) > \max(k_2, \dots, k_m)$.

The Problem

Suppose we have the word $b = 0^{k_1} 10^{k_2} 1 \dots 0^{k_m} 10^{k_{m+1}} \in B^*$ where $k_i \geq 0$, $m \geq 2$, and $\min(k_1, k_{m+1}) > \max(k_2, \dots, k_m)$.

Can we find a generating function for the number of consecutive occurrences of b over the set of words from the alphabet \mathbb{B} ?

More Definitions

Let $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $u = u_1u_2 \cdots u_j \in \mathbb{N}^j$ with $j \leq n$.

Definition: w has an *exact u -match* starting at position i if

$$w_iw_{i+1} \cdots w_{i+j-1} = u.$$

More Definitions

Let $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $u = u_1u_2 \cdots u_j \in \mathbb{N}^j$ with $j \leq n$.

Definition: w has an *exact u -match* starting at position i if

$$w_iw_{i+1} \cdots w_{i+j-1} = u.$$

Definition: w has an *endpoint embedding* of u starting at position i if $w_i \geq u_1$, $w_{i+j-1} \geq u_j$, and $w_{i+k} = u_{k+1}$ for $2 \leq k \leq j - 1$.

More Definitions

Let $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $u = u_1u_2 \cdots u_j \in \mathbb{N}^j$ with $j \leq n$.

Definition: w has an *exact u -match* starting at position i if

$$w_iw_{i+1} \cdots w_{i+j-1} = u.$$

Definition: w has an *endpoint embedding* of u starting at position i if $w_i \geq u_1$, $w_{i+j-1} \geq u_j$, and $w_{i+k} = u_{k+1}$ for $2 \leq k \leq j - 1$.

Definition: $ex_u(w)$ is the number of exact u -matches in w , and $endp_u(w)$ is the number of endpoint embedding of u in w .

An Example

Example: Let $u = 312$ and $w = 2413113122312$.

An Example

Example: Let $u = 312$ and $w = 2413113122312$.

Then the word w has exact u matches at starting positions 7 and 11: $w = 2413113122312$.

An Example

Example: Let $u = 312$ and $w = 2413113122312$.

Then the word w has exact u matches at starting positions 7 and 11: $w = 2413113122312$.

The word w also has endpoint embeddings of u at starting positions 2, 7, and 11: $w = 2413113122312$.

An Example

Example: Let $u = 312$ and $w = 2413113122312$.

Then the word w has exact u matches at starting positions 7 and 11: $w = 2413113122312$.

The word w also has endpoint embeddings of u at starting positions 2, 7, and 11: $w = 2413113122312$.

So, $ex_u(w) = 2$ and $endp_u(w) = 3$.

More Definitions

Definition: $u \in \mathbb{N}^j$ has the *end point minimal overlapping property* if $i = n(j - 1) + 1$ is the smallest i such that there exists $w \in \mathbb{N}^i$ with $ep_u(w) = n$. We denote the set of such w 's by $\mathcal{EPM}\mathcal{P}_{u, n(j-1)+1}$, and we refer to the elements of this set as *end point embedding maximum packings of u* .

More Definitions

Definition: $epmp_{u,n(j-1)+1} = |\mathcal{EPM}_{u,n(j-1)+1}|$.

More Definitions

Definition: $epmp_{u,n(j-1)+1} = |\mathcal{EPM}\mathcal{P}_{u,n(j-1)+1}|$.

Definition: $epmp_{u,n(j-1)+1}(z) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{EPM}\mathcal{P}_{u,n(j-1)+1}} z^{\Sigma w}$.

More Definitions

Definition: $epmp_{u,n(j-1)+1} = |\mathcal{EPM}_{u,n(j-1)+1}|.$

Definition: $epmp_{u,n(j-1)+1}(z) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{EPM}_{u,n(j-1)+1}} z^{\Sigma w}.$

Definition: $epmp_{u,n(j-1)+1}(z_0, z_1, \dots) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{EPM}_{u,n(j-1)+1}} z(w).$

A Computational Example

Example: Suppose $u = 5324$ and $w \in \mathcal{EPM}\mathcal{P}_{u,3n+1}$.

A Computational Example

Example: Suppose $u = 5324$ and $w \in \mathcal{EPM}\mathcal{P}_{u,3n+1}$. Then
 $w = w_1 32 w_4 32 \dots w_{3n-2} 32 w_{3n+1}$, where $w_1 \geq 5$, $w_{3n+1} \geq 4$,
and $w_{3k+1} \geq \max(4, 5)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. So,
 $epmp_{5324,3n+1}(z_0, z_1, \dots) =$

A Computational Example

Example: Suppose $u = 5324$ and $w \in \mathcal{EPMP}_{u,3n+1}$. Then

$w = w_1 32 w_4 32 \dots w_{3n-2} 32 w_{3n+1}$, where $w_1 \geq 5$, $w_{3n+1} \geq 4$,
and $w_{3k+1} \geq \max(4, 5)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. So,

$$epmp_{5324,3n+1}(z_0, z_1, \dots) = \left(\sum_{i \geq 5} z_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \geq 4} z_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \geq \max(4,5)} z_i \right)^{n-1} (z_2 z_3)^n.$$

A Main Theorem

Theorem: Suppose $u \in \mathbb{N}^j$ has the end point minimal embedding property. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{w \in \mathbb{N}^n} x^{ep_u(w)} z(w) =$$

A Main Theorem

Theorem: Suppose $u \in \mathbb{N}^j$ has the end point minimal embedding property. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{w \in \mathbb{N}^n} x^{ep_u(w)} z(w) = \frac{1}{1 - ((\sum_{i \geq 0} z_i)t + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^{n(j-1)+1} (x-1)^n epmp_{u, n(j-1)+1}(z_0, z_1, \dots))}.$$

A Main Theorem

Theorem: Suppose $u \in \mathbb{N}^j$ has the end point minimal embedding property. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{w \in \mathbb{N}^n} x^{ep_u(w)} z(w) = \frac{1}{1 - ((\sum_{i \geq 0} z_i)t + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^{n(j-1)+1} (x-1)^n epmp_{u, n(j-1)+1}(z_0, z_1, \dots))}.$$

Proof: Brick tabloids, involution principle, and lots of cases.

More Definitions

Definition: $u \in \mathbb{N}^j$ has the *exact match minimal overlapping property* if $i = n(j - 1) + 1$ is the smallest i such that there exists $w \in \mathbb{N}^i$ with $ex_u(w) = n$. We denote the set of such w 's by $\mathcal{EMP}_{u, n(j-1)+1}$, and we refer to the elements of this set as *exact match maximum packings of u* .

More Definitions

Definition: $u \in \mathbb{N}^j$ has the *exact match minimal overlapping property* if $i = n(j - 1) + 1$ is the smallest i such that there exists $w \in \mathbb{N}^i$ with $ex_u(w) = n$. We denote the set of such w 's by $\mathcal{EM}\mathcal{P}_{u, n(j-1)+1}$, and we refer to the elements of this set as *exact match maximum packings of u* .

Example: For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $u = 01^k0 \in \mathcal{EM}\mathcal{P}_{u, n(k+1)+1}$.

Another Main Result

Theorem: Suppose $u = u_1u_2 \cdots u_j \in \mathbb{N}_0^j$ with $j \geq 3$ with
 $\min(u_1, u_j) > \max(u_2, \dots, u_{j-1})$.

Another Main Result

Theorem: Suppose $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_j \in \mathbb{N}_0^j$ with $j \geq 3$ with $\min(u_1, u_j) > \max(u_2, \dots, u_{j-1})$. If $\Theta(u) = 0^{u_1} 10^{u_2} 1 \cdots 10^{u_j}$, then

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}^*} x^{\text{ex}_{\Theta(u)}(v)} t^{n_1(v)} z^{|v|} = \text{Some big, messy generating function.}$$

An Application

Example: Let $u = 312$, so that $\Theta(u) = 0^31010^2$, which does not have the minimal overlap property. Then we can use our previous theorem to find the following:

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{B}^* \\ ex_{0^31010^2}(v)=0}} z^{|v|} =$$

An Application

Example: Let $u = 312$, so that $\Theta(u) = 0^31010^2$, which does not have the minimal overlap property. Then we can use our previous theorem to find the following:

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{B}^* \\ ex_{0^31010^2}(v)=0}} z^{|v|} = \frac{1 + z^6 + z^7}{1 - 2z + z^6 - z^7 - z^8}.$$

Future Directions

Question: Is there anything special about binary words?

Future Directions

Question: Is there anything special about binary words?

Answer: Yes & No. (This is joint work with Tom Langley)

The End

Thanks to the Cal Poly Math Department and all of the
organizers.