

Generalized Interval Embeddings

Brian K. Miceli



Trinity University
Mathematics Department

Permutation Patterns 2012
University of Strathclyde
June 14, 2012

Outline

1 Definitions

Outline

- 1 Definitions
- 2 Generalized Interval Embeddings

Outline

- 1 Definitions
- 2 Generalized Interval Embeddings
- 3 Results & Future Work

Background

- Joint work with Jeff².

Background

- Joint work with Jeff².
- Follows off the work in two articles:

Background

- Joint work with Jeff².
- Follows off the work in two articles:
 - “Rationality, irrationality, and Wilf equivalence in g.f.o.,” *EJC* (2009) by Kitaev, Liese, Rempel, and Sagan.

Background

- Joint work with Jeff².
- Follows off the work in two articles:
 - “Rationality, irrationality, and Wilf equivalence in g.f.o.,” *EJC* (2009) by Kitaev, Liese, Rempel, and Sagan.
 - “Generating functions for Wilf equivalence under the g.f.o.,” *JIS* (2011) by Langley, Liese, and Rempel

Definitions

The Basics

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Definitions

The Basics

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let \mathbb{N}^* denote the set of all words over \mathbb{N} , where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ denotes the empty word.

Definitions

The Basics

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let \mathbb{N}^* denote the set of all words over \mathbb{N} , where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ denotes the empty word.

We say that $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is a *factor* of $v \in \mathbb{N}^*$ if there exist $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $v = w_1 u w_2$.

Definitions

The Basics

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let \mathbb{N}^* denote the set of all words over \mathbb{N} , where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ denotes the empty word.

We say that $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is a *factor* of $v \in \mathbb{N}^*$ if there exist $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $v = w_1 u w_2$. If $w_1 = \epsilon$ ($w_2 = \epsilon$), then we say that u is a prefix (suffix) of v .

Definitions

Word Statistics

Suppose that $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$.

Definitions

Word Statistics

Suppose that $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$. We define

- the *norm* of u to be $\Sigma u = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i$, and

Definitions

Word Statistics

Suppose that $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$. We define

- the *norm* of u to be $\Sigma u = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i$, and
- the *length* of u to be $\ell(u) = k$.

Definitions

Word Statistics

Suppose that $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$. We define

- the *norm of u* to be $\Sigma u = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i$, and
- the *length of u* to be $\ell(u) = k$.

If $u = 1432112$, then $\Sigma u = 14$ and $\ell(u) = 7$.

Definitions

Word Statistics

Suppose that $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$. We define

- the *norm of u* to be $\Sigma u = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i$, and
- the *length of u* to be $\ell(u) = k$.

If $u = 1432112$, then $\Sigma u = 14$ and $\ell(u) = 7$.

We then define the *weight of u* to be $wt(u) = x^{\Sigma u} t^{\ell(u)}$.

Definitions

Word Statistics

Suppose that $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$. We define

- the *norm of u* to be $\Sigma u = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i$, and
- the *length of u* to be $\ell(u) = k$.

If $u = 1432112$, then $\Sigma u = 14$ and $\ell(u) = 7$.

We then define the *weight of u* to be $wt(u) = x^{\Sigma u} t^{\ell(u)}$.

If $u = 1432112$, then $wt(u) = x^{14} t^7$.

Definitions

Wilf Equivalence

Definitions

Wilf Equivalence

Given any poset $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_P)$ and two words $u, w \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we say that there is an *embedding* u into w if there exists a factor $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_k$ of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $u_i \leq_P z_i$.

Definitions

Wilf Equivalence

Given any poset $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_P)$ and two words $u, w \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we say that there is an *embedding* u into w if there exists a factor $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_k$ of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $u_i \leq_P z_i$.

Define $\mathcal{S}^P(u)$ to be the set of all words w such that the only embedding of u into w occurs at the right end of w , that is, the embedding of u occurs in a suffix of w .

Definitions

Wilf Equivalence

Given any poset $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_P)$ and two words $u, w \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we say that there is an *embedding* u into w if there exists a factor $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_k$ of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $u_i \leq_P z_i$.

Define $\mathcal{S}^P(u)$ to be the set of all words w such that the only embedding of u into w occurs at the right end of w , that is, the embedding of u occurs in a suffix of w .

Set $\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}^P(u)} wt(w)$.

Definitions

Wilf Equivalence

Given any poset $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_P)$ and two words $u, w \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we say that there is an *embedding* u into w if there exists a factor $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_k$ of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $u_i \leq_P z_i$.

Define $\mathcal{S}^P(u)$ to be the set of all words w such that the only embedding of u into w occurs at the right end of w , that is, the embedding of u occurs in a suffix of w .

Set $\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}^P(u)} wt(w)$. We say that words u and v are P -Wilf Equivalent, denoted by $u \sim_P v$, if

$$\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t) = \mathcal{S}^P(v, x, t).$$

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Let $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, and denote Wilf equivalence by \sim .

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Let $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, and denote Wilf equivalence by \sim .

For example, let $u = 132$ and $w = 27311231454$.

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Let $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, and denote Wilf equivalence by \sim .

For example, let $u = 132$ and $w = 27311231454$.

Then there are three embeddings of u into w :

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Let $P = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, and denote Wilf equivalence by \sim .

For example, let $u = 132$ and $w = 27311231454$.

Then there are three embeddings of u into w : 27311231454.

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

- $\mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{(1-x)\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t)}{1-x-xt}$ and
 $\mathcal{A}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xt} - \mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t)$

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

- $\mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{(1-x)\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t)}{1-x-xt}$ and
 $\mathcal{A}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xt} - \mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t)$
- The functions $\mathcal{E}^P, \mathcal{A}^P, \mathcal{S}^P$ are rational for any choice of u .

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

- $\mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{(1-x)\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t)}{1-x-xt}$ and
 $\mathcal{A}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xt} - \mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t)$
- The functions $\mathcal{E}^P, \mathcal{A}^P, \mathcal{S}^P$ are rational for any choice of u .
- $u \sim u^r$

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

- $\mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{(1-x)\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t)}{1-x-xt}$ and
 $\mathcal{A}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xt} - \mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t)$
- The functions $\mathcal{E}^P, \mathcal{A}^P, \mathcal{S}^P$ are rational for any choice of u .
- $u \sim u^r$, and if $u \sim v$, then $1u \sim 1v$ and $u^+ \sim v^+$.

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

- $\mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{(1-x)\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t)}{1-x-xt}$ and
 $\mathcal{A}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xt} - \mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t)$
- The functions $\mathcal{E}^P, \mathcal{A}^P, \mathcal{S}^P$ are rational for any choice of u .
- $u \sim u^r$, and if $u \sim v$, then $1u \sim 1v$ and $u^+ \sim v^+$.
- Is it the case that $u \sim v$ implies u, v are rearrangements of one another?

Wilf Equivalence

K.L.R.S. Version

Some results/conjectures from that paper:

- $\mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{(1-x)\mathcal{S}^P(u, x, t)}{1-x-xt}$ and
 $\mathcal{A}^P(u, x, t) = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xt} - \mathcal{E}^P(u, x, t)$
- The functions $\mathcal{E}^P, \mathcal{A}^P, \mathcal{S}^P$ are rational for any choice of u .
- $u \sim u^r$, and if $u \sim v$, then $1u \sim 1v$ and $u^+ \sim v^+$.
- Is it the case that $u \sim v$ implies u, v are rearrangements of one another? (The converse is definitely not true.)

Wilf Equivalence

L.L.R. Version

Wilf Equivalence

L.L.R. Version

Fix $m \geq 2$. Let $P_m = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_m)$, and denote P_m -Wilf equivalence by \sim_m .

Wilf Equivalence

L.L.R. Version

Fix $m \geq 2$. Let $P_m = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_m)$, and denote P_m -Wilf equivalence by \sim_m .

We say that there is an embedding of u into w if there exists a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$

Wilf Equivalence

L.L.R. Version

Fix $m \geq 2$. Let $P_m = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_m)$, and denote P_m -Wilf equivalence by \sim_m .

We say that there is an embedding of u into w if there exists a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$

- 1 $u_i \leq z_i$, and

Wilf Equivalence

L.L.R. Version

Fix $m \geq 2$. Let $P_m = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_m)$, and denote P_m -Wilf equivalence by \sim_m .

We say that there is an embedding of u into w if there exists a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$

- 1 $u_i \leq z_i$, and
- 2 $u_i \equiv z_i \pmod{m}$.

Definitions

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_{\mathcal{P}})$ be any poset and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definitions

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_{\mathcal{P}})$ be any poset and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

- $\mathcal{I}_{m,n}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leq_{\mathcal{P}} j \leq_{\mathcal{P}} n\}$, and

Definitions

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_{\mathcal{P}})$ be any poset and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

- $\mathcal{I}_{m,n}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leq_{\mathcal{P}} j \leq_{\mathcal{P}} n\}$, and
- $\mathcal{I}_{m,\infty}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leq_{\mathcal{P}} j\}$.

Definitions

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_{\mathcal{P}})$ be any poset and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

- $\mathcal{I}_{m,n}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leq_{\mathcal{P}} j \leq_{\mathcal{P}} n\}$, and
- $\mathcal{I}_{m,\infty}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leq_{\mathcal{P}} j\}$.

Define $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n_k}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, where for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $m_i \leq_{\mathcal{P}} n_i$ with either $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_i = \infty$.

Definitions

We say that w contains an *interval-embedding* of \vec{U} relative to \mathcal{P} if there is a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $z_i \in \mathcal{I}_{m_i, n_i}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

Definitions

We say that w contains an *interval-embedding* of \vec{U} relative to \mathcal{P} if there is a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $z_i \in \mathcal{I}_{m_i, n_i}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

We then define $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U})$ to be the set of all words $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that w contains exactly one interval embedding of \vec{U} , and that embedding occurs in a suffix of w .

Definitions

We say that w contains an *interval-embedding* of \vec{U} relative to \mathcal{P} if there is a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $z_i \in \mathcal{I}_{m_i, n_i}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

We then define $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U})$ to be the set of all words $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that w contains exactly one interval embedding of \vec{U} , and that embedding occurs in a suffix of w .

Finally, we define

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U})} wt(w).$$

Relation to Previous Models

We say that w contains an *interval-embedding* of \vec{U} relative to \mathcal{P} if there is a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $z_i \in \mathcal{I}_{m_i, n_i}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

Relation to Previous Models

We say that w contains an *interval-embedding* of \vec{U} relative to \mathcal{P} if there is a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $z_i \in \mathcal{I}_{m_i, n_i}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n_k}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ with $n_i = \infty$ for all i , then this is the K.L.R.S. version of embedding the word $u = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$.

Relation to Previous Models

We say that w contains an *interval-embedding* of \vec{U} relative to \mathcal{P} if there is a factor z of w such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $z_i \in \mathcal{I}_{m_i, n_i}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n_k}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ with $n_i = \infty$ for all i , then this is the K.L.R.S. version of embedding the word $u = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$.

If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_m = (\mathbb{N}, \leq_m)$ and $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n_k}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ with $n_i = \infty$ for all i , then this is the L.L.R. version of (modular) embedding the word $u = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$.

Interval-Wilf Equivalence

We say that \vec{U} and \vec{V} are *interval-Wilf equivalent* with respect to \mathcal{P} , denoted at $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$, if

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{V}, x, t).$$

Interval-Wilf Equivalence

We say that \vec{U} and \vec{V} are *interval-Wilf equivalent* with respect to \mathcal{P} , denoted at $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$, if

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{V}, x, t).$$

As an example, suppose $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{3,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{1,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{2,8}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, and $\vec{U}^r = \{\mathcal{I}_{2,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{1,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{3,8}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$.

Interval-Wilf Equivalence

We say that \vec{U} and \vec{V} are *interval-Wilf equivalent* with respect to \mathcal{P} , denoted at $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$, if

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{V}, x, t).$$

As an example, suppose $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{3,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{1,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{2,8}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, and $\vec{U}^r = \{\mathcal{I}_{2,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{1,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{3,8}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$. Then $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{U}^r$.

Interval-Wilf Equivalence

We say that \vec{U} and \vec{V} are *interval-Wilf equivalent* with respect to \mathcal{P} , denoted at $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$, if

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{V}, x, t).$$

As an example, suppose $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{3,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{1,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{2,8}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, and $\vec{U}^r = \{\mathcal{I}_{2,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{1,8}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{3,8}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$. Then $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{U}^r$.

Theorem

If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, then $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{U}^r$.

Theorem

Rationality of Generating Functions

Theorem

If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, then the functions $S^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$ are all rational for any \vec{U} .

Theorem

Rationality of Generating Functions

Theorem

If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, then the functions $S^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$, and $A^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$ are all rational for any \vec{U} .

Proof. This follows from the K.L.R.S. results.

Theorem

Rearrangement

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$ with $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ and $\vec{V} = \{\mathcal{I}_{r_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{r_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{r_\ell, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, then \vec{U} and \vec{V} are rearrangements of one another.

Proof.

Theorem

Rearrangement

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$ with $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ and $\vec{V} = \{\mathcal{I}_{r_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{r_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{r_\ell, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, then \vec{U} and \vec{V} are rearrangements of one another.

Proof. Let $u = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$ and $v = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_\ell$.

Theorem

Rearrangement

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$ with $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ and $\vec{V} = \{\mathcal{I}_{r_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{r_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{r_\ell, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, then \vec{U} and \vec{V} are rearrangements of one another.

Proof. Let $u = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$ and $v = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_\ell$. We first note that we must have $k = \ell$ and $\Sigma u = \Sigma v$.

Theorem

Rearrangement

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\vec{U} \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \vec{V}$ with $\vec{U} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{m_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{m_k, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$ and $\vec{V} = \{\mathcal{I}_{r_1, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{I}_{r_2, n}^{\mathcal{P}}, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{r_\ell, n}^{\mathcal{P}}\}$, then \vec{U} and \vec{V} are rearrangements of one another.

Proof. Let $u = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$ and $v = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_\ell$. We first note that we must have $k = \ell$ and $\Sigma u = \Sigma v$.

Now, suppose \vec{U} and \vec{V} are not rearrangements of each other.

Theorem

Rearrangment

Proof. (cont...)

Theorem

Rearrangment

Proof. (cont...) Let \bar{r} be as described.

Theorem

Rearrangment

Proof. (cont...) Let \bar{r} be as described.

Consider the coefficient of $x^{\Sigma u+n-\bar{r}+1}t^k$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{V}, x, t)$.

Theorem

Rearrangment

Proof. (cont...) Let \bar{r} be as described.

Consider the coefficient of $x^{\Sigma u+n-\bar{r}+1}t^k$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{U}, x, t)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{V}, x, t)$.

These are not equal, a contradiction.

Generating Functions

Some Preliminary Results

Suppose $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$.

Theorem

Suppose $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \leq j$. Then

$$S^{\mathcal{P}}(\{[i, j]\}, t, x) = \frac{tx^i(1 - x^{j-i-1})}{1 - x - tx(1 - x^{i-1}) - tx^{j+1}}.$$

Generating Functions

Some Preliminary Results

Suppose $\mathcal{P} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$.

Theorem

Suppose $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \leq j$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\{[i, j]\}, t, x) = \frac{tx^i(1 - x^{j-i-1})}{1 - x - tx(1 - x^{i-1}) - tx^{j+1}}.$$

Theorem

Suppose $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 < i \leq j$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{P}}(\{[1, 1], [i, j]\}, t, x) = \frac{t^2x^{i+1}(1 - x^{j-i-1})}{1 - x - tx(1 - x^{i-1}) - t^2x^{j+2}}.$$

Future Work

- Look at Interval-Wilf Equivalence classes.

Future Work

- Look at Interval-Wilf Equivalence classes.
- It would still be nice to push these results up to get the general rearrangement conjecture.

Thank you.