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## Recall

## Theorem 1

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. For any $a \in G, a^{|G|}=e$.
Taking $G=(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$for a prime $p$, we deduced Fermat's Little Theorem as a corollary.
The analogue of Fermat's Little Theorem for an arbitrary modulus $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is known as Euler's Theorem.
To state it, we first need a definition.

## Definition

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Euler's totient function is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(n) & =\left|(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}\right|=|\{a+n \mathbb{Z} \mid(a, n)=1\}| \\
& =|\{1 \leq a<n \mid(a, n)=1\}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Examples

- For any prime $p, \varphi(p)=p-1$.
- Since every integer is coprime to 1 , we have $\varphi(1)=1$.
- Direct computation gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(4) & =2, \quad \varphi(6)=2, \quad \varphi(8)=4, \quad \varphi(9)=6 \\
\varphi(10) & =4, \varphi(12)=4, \quad \varphi(14)=6, \varphi(15)=8
\end{aligned}
$$

- Because $\left(a, 2^{n}\right)=1$ if and only if $a$ is odd,

$$
\varphi\left(2^{n}\right)=2^{n} / 2=2^{n-1}
$$

## Euler's Theorem

We can now state and prove our main result.

## Theorem 2

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $(a, n)=1$, then $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.

Proof. If $(a, n)=1$, then $a+n \mathbb{Z} \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
Since $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$has order $\varphi(n)$ (by definition),

$$
1+n \mathbb{Z}=(a+n \mathbb{Z})^{\varphi(n)}=a^{\varphi(n)}+n \mathbb{Z}
$$

according to Theorem 1.
But this is equivalent to $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.

It follows, for instance, that if $a$ is odd and not divisible by 7 , then

$$
a^{6} \equiv 1(\bmod 14)
$$

And if $(a, 15)=1$, then

$$
a^{8} \equiv 1(\bmod 15)
$$

And if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a$ is odd, then

$$
a^{2^{n-1}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)
$$

Remark. One can use induction to establish the stronger conclusion that, in fact,

$$
a^{2^{n-2}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)
$$

for all $n \geq 3$, which has interesting consequences...

## Properties

The function $\varphi(n)$ has a number of important properties.

## Theorem 3

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi\left(p^{n}\right)=p^{n}-p^{n-1}$.

Proof. A natural number $a<p^{n}$ is coprime to $p^{n}$ iff $p \nmid a$.
Equivalently, $a<p^{n}$ is not coprime to $p^{n}$ iff $a=p k$ for some $k$.
Since $k p<p^{n}$ iff $k<p^{n-1}$, there are exactly $p^{n-1}-1$ choices for $k$, and hence for $a$.
So the number of $1 \leq a<p^{n}$ coprime to $p^{n}$ is given by

$$
\left(p^{n}-1\right)-\left(p^{n-1}-1\right)=p^{n}-p^{n-1}
$$

## Isomorphisms

The totient function enjoys a useful property known as multiplicativity.

To understand the multiplicative nature of $\varphi$ we need to take a slight detour.

## Definition

Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be rings. A (ring) isomorphism between $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ is a bijective function $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ which satisfies:

1. $f(a+b)=f(a)+f(b)$;
2. $f(a b)=f(a) f(b)$,
for all $a, b \in R_{1}$.

## Remarks

One can show that if $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ is an isomorphism of rings, then $f\left(0_{R_{1}}\right)=0_{R_{2}}$ and $f\left(1_{R_{1}}\right)=1_{R_{2}}$.

The inverse of a ring isomorphism $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ is a also an isomorphism (in the reverse direction).

If there is an isomorphism $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$, we say that $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are isomorphic.

Isomorphic rings are "the same." Any ring-theoretic property satisfied by $R_{1}$ is automatically satisfied by $R_{2}$.

## Products of Rings

We require one more purely ring-theoretic construction.

## Definition

Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be rings. Their direct product is the set $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ endowed with the coordinate-wise operations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)+\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) & =\left(a_{1}+a_{2}, b_{1}+b_{2}\right), \\
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \cdot\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) & =\left(a_{1} a_{2}, b_{1} b_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a_{1}, a_{2} \in R_{1}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2} \in R_{2}$.

## Theorem 4

If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are rings, then the direct product $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ is also a ring.

Proof. Exercise.

We have already encountered ring isomorphisms and product rings.

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime. The CRT asserts that that map

$$
\begin{aligned}
R: & \mathbb{Z} / m n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}) \times(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}), \\
& a+m n \mathbb{Z} \mapsto(a+m \mathbb{Z}, a+n \mathbb{Z}),
\end{aligned}
$$

is a well-defined bijection.

The map $R$ is also a ring isomorphism. For instance, if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
R((a+m n \mathbb{Z})+ & (b+m n \mathbb{Z}))=R((a+b)+m n \mathbb{Z}) \\
& =((a+b)+m \mathbb{Z},(a+b)+n \mathbb{Z}) \\
& =((a+m \mathbb{Z})+(b+m \mathbb{Z}),(a+n \mathbb{Z})+(b+n \mathbb{Z})) \\
& =(a+m \mathbb{Z}, a+n \mathbb{Z})+(b+m \mathbb{Z}, b+n \mathbb{Z}) \\
& =R(a+m n \mathbb{Z})+R(b+m n \mathbb{Z}),
\end{aligned}
$$

proving that $R$ preserves addition.

It follows that $R$ provides a ring isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z} / m n \mathbb{Z} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}) \times(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text { for } \quad(m, n)=1
$$

The connection to Euler's totient function is provided by the pair of results.

## Lemma 1

If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are rings, then $\left(R_{1} \times R_{2}\right)^{\times}=R_{1}^{\times} \times R_{2}^{\times}$.

Proof (Sketch). Since the identity in $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ is $\left(1_{R_{1}}, 1_{R_{2}}\right)$, one can easily show that

$$
(a, b)^{-1}=\left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right)
$$

The result follows.

## Lemma 2

If $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ is an isomorphism of rings, then $f \mid:\left(R_{1}\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(R_{2}\right)^{\times}$ is a multiplication preserving bijection (an isomorphism of groups).

Proof (Sketch). Every element of $R_{2}$ has the form $f(a)$ for some $a \in R_{1}$, and for every $a, b \in R_{1}$,

$$
1_{R_{2}}=f\left(1_{R_{1}}\right)=f(a b)=f(a) f(b)
$$

holds iff $a \in R_{1}^{\times}$iff $f(a) \in R_{2}^{\times}$.

A few remarks aside, we're ready to move on.

## Remarks

One can form the direct product of any number (or indexed collection) of rings in an analogous manner, by simply performing addition and multiplication coordinate-wise.

Theorem 5 still holds in this more general setting: the unit group in the product is the product of the unit groups.

Applied in this setting, if $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise coprime, the CRT and Lemma 2 provide an isomorphism

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \times \cdots \times\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}
$$

Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ be distinct primes and $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$.
For $i \neq j$, the FTA implies that $\left(p_{i}^{e_{i}}, p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right)=1$.
It follows that there is an isomorphism
$\left(\mathbb{Z} / p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{e_{r}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} / p_{1}^{e_{1}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} / p_{2}^{e_{2}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \times \cdots \times\left(\mathbb{Z} / p_{r}^{e_{r}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$.

This immediately implies that

$$
\varphi\left(p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{e_{r}}\right)=\varphi\left(p_{1}^{e_{1}}\right) \varphi\left(p_{2}^{e_{2}}\right) \cdots \varphi\left(p_{r}^{e_{r}}\right)
$$

This is what we mean when we say that $\varphi$ is multiplicative.

We arrive at the following formula for $\varphi$.

## Theorem 5

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\varphi(n)=\prod_{p \mid n}\left(p^{e_{p}}-p^{e_{p}-1}\right)=n \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right),
$$

where both products run over the prime divisors of $n$, and $e_{p}$ denotes the exponent of $p$ occurring in the canonical form of $n$.

## Remarks.

- Remembering that the empty product equals 1 by caveat, both formulae are automatically valid for $n=1$.
- It is often more convenient to use the equivalent form $p^{e_{p}}-p^{e_{p}-1}=p^{e_{p}-1}(p-1)$.


## Proof of Theorem 5

Since $n=\prod p^{e_{p}}$, the multiplicativity of $\varphi$ and Theorem 3

$$
p \mid n
$$

immediately imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(n) & =\varphi\left(\prod_{p \mid n} p^{e_{p}}\right)=\prod_{p \mid n} \varphi\left(p^{e_{p}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{p \mid n}\left(p^{e_{p}}-p^{e_{p}-1}\right)=\prod_{p \mid n} p^{e_{p}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \\
& =n \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Examples

We have

$$
\varphi(15)=\varphi(3 \cdot 5)=\varphi(3) \varphi(5)=(3-1)(5-1)=8
$$

and

$$
\varphi(98)=\varphi\left(2 \cdot 7^{2}\right)=\varphi(2) \varphi\left(7^{2}\right)=(2-1) \cdot 7(7-1)=42
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(18000000) & =\varphi\left(2 \cdot 9 \cdot 10^{6}\right)=\varphi\left(2^{7}\right) \varphi\left(3^{2}\right) \varphi\left(5^{6}\right) \\
& =2^{7-1}(2-1) \cdot 3^{2-1}(3-1) \cdot 5^{6-1}(5-1) \\
& =2^{6} \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 5^{5} \cdot 2^{2} \\
& =48 \cdot 10^{5}=4800000
\end{aligned}
$$

