

Homework #4 Solutions

p 286, #8 Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $a = \phi(1)$. Then for any $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{Z}_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ we have

$$\phi(r) = \phi(\underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{r \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{\phi(1) + \phi(1) + \dots + \phi(1)}_{r \text{ times}} = r \cdot \phi(1) = r \cdot a = ra \pmod n.$$

Since

$$a = \phi(1) = \phi(1 \cdot 1) = \phi(1)\phi(1) = a^2$$

we're finished.

p 286, #10 Let $I = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ and let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_3[x]$. By including zero coefficients if necessary we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{2i} x^{2i} + \sum_{j=0}^m a_{2j+1} x^{2j+1},$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, i.e. we can write $f(x)$ as the sum of its even degree and odd degree terms. In $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]/I$ we have $x^2 + I = -1 + I$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) + I &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_{2i} + I)(x^{2i} + I) + \sum_{j=0}^m (a_{2j+1} + I)(x^{2j+1} + I) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_{2i} + I)(x^2 + I)^i + \sum_{j=0}^m (a_{2j+1} + I)(x + I)(x^2 + I)^j \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_{2i} + I)(-1 + I)^i + \sum_{j=0}^m (a_{2j+1} + I)(x + I)(-1 + I)^j \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n ((-1)^i a_{2i} + I) \right) + (x + I) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m ((-1)^j a_{2j+1} + I) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_{2i} + x \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j a_{2j+1} \right) + I \end{aligned}$$

or, more succinctly,

$$f(x) + I = a + bx + I$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Moreover, if $a + bx + I = c + dx + I$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ then $(a-c) + (b-d)x \in I$, which means that $x^2 + 1$ divides the linear polynomial $(a-c) + (b-d)x$, an obvious impossibility unless $a-c = b-d = 0$. That is, $a + bx + I = c + dx + I$ implies that $a + bx = c + dx$. Hence, every element in $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]/I$ can be expressed *uniquely* in the form $a + bx + I$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. We will use this fact below.

Now define $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_3[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3[x]/I$ by $\phi(a + bi) = a + bx + I$. This is a homomorphism since for any $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + bi)(c + di)) &= \phi((ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i) \\ &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)x + I \\ &= (a + bx + I)(c + dx + I) - (bd + I)(x^2 + 1 + I) \\ &= (a + bx + I)(c + dx + I) \\ &= \phi(a + bi)\phi(c + di) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + bi) + (c + di)) &= \phi((a + c) + (b + d)i) \\ &= (a + c) + (b + d)x + I \\ &= (a + bx) + (c + dx) + I \\ &= (a + bx + I) + (c + dx + I) \\ &= \phi(a + bi) + \phi(c + di). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the result of the preceding paragraph implies that this function is one-to-one and onto, hence provides an isomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]/I$.

p 286, #12 Define $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow H$ by

$$\phi(a + bi) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is obviously one-to-one and onto so to prove it is an isomorphism it suffices to show that it preserves addition and multiplication. Addition is easy: for any $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + b\sqrt{2}) + (c + d\sqrt{2})) &= \phi((a + c) + (b + d)i) = \begin{pmatrix} a + c & 2(b + d) \\ b + d & a + c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \phi(a + b\sqrt{2}) + \phi(c + d\sqrt{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication is no more difficult, just more interesting: for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2})) &= \phi((ac + 2bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} ac + 2bd & 2(ad + bc) \\ ad + bc & ac + 2bd \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \phi(a + bi)\phi(c + di) \end{aligned}$$

and we're finished!

p 287, #18 Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ be an isomorphism of rings. According to exercise 8 there is an $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfying $a^2 = a$ so that $\phi(x) = ax$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. In order for ϕ to be an isomorphism we must also have $a = a \cdot 1 = \phi(1) = 1$. Therefore $\phi(x) = x$ for all x , i.e. ϕ must be the identity homomorphism.

p 287, #24 Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings and let $a \in R$ be an idempotent. Then

$$\phi(a)^2 = \phi(a^2) = \phi(a)$$

since $a^2 = a$. Hence, $\phi(a)$ is an idempotent as well.

p 288, #36 Let $\phi : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be an homomorphism of rings. Since \mathbb{Q} is a field and $\ker \phi$ is an ideal, we must have $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ or $\ker \phi = \mathbb{Q}$. That is, either ϕ is one-to-one or ϕ maps every element to 0. Clearly there is no more work to be done in the latter case, so we assume ϕ is one-to-one. The only idempotents in a domain are 0 and 1 so the previous exercise implies that $\phi(1)$ is 0 or 1. But $\phi(0) = 0$ and ϕ is one-to-one, so $\phi(1) = 1$. It follows that for any positive integer n we have

$$\phi(n) = \phi(\underbrace{1 + 1 + \cdots + 1}_{n \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{\phi(1) + \phi(1) + \cdots + \phi(1)}_{n \text{ times}} = n.$$

Moreover, $1 = \phi(1) = \phi((-1)^2) = \phi(-1)^2$ implies $\phi(-1) = \pm 1$, so one-to-one-ness give $\phi(-1) = -1$. Hence, if n is a negative integer, $n = -m$ with $m > 0$ and

$$\phi(n) = \phi(-1 \cdot m) = \phi(-1)\phi(m) = -1 \cdot m = n.$$

Therefore $\phi(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If n is a nonzero integer then we also have

$$1 = \phi(1) = \phi\left(n \cdot \frac{1}{n}\right) = \phi(n)\phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = n\phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

from which it follows that $\phi(1/n) = 1/n$. Finally, for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ we can write $r = a/b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \neq 0$ so that

$$\phi(r) = \phi\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \phi\left(a \cdot \frac{1}{b}\right) = \phi(a)\phi\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) = a \cdot \frac{1}{b} = \frac{a}{b} = r.$$

Thus, if ϕ is a one-to-one homomorphism from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Q} then ϕ is the identity map.

p 288, #38 We will need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let p be a prime. Then the binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{k}$ is divisible by p for all $1 \leq k \leq p-1$.*

Proof. We know

$$\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{(p-k)!k!} = \frac{p(p-1)!}{(p-k)!k!}$$

so that p divides $(p-k)!k!\binom{p}{k}$. Since p is prime this means that p must divide one of $2, 3, \dots, p-k$ or $2, 3, \dots, k$ or $\binom{p}{k}$. Since both k and $p-k$ are strictly less than p the only possibility is the last, i.e. p must divide $\binom{p}{k}$. \square

We now complete the exercise. Let R be a commutative ring with prime characteristic p and define $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ by $\phi(x) = x^p$. For any $x, y \in R$ we have

$$\phi(xy) = (xy)^p = x^p y^p = \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

and

$$\phi(x + y) = (x + y)^p = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} x^k y^{p-k} = x^p + y^p = \phi(x) + \phi(y).$$

The middle terms in the last expression vanish because, according to the lemma, all the binomial coefficients are divisible by p , the characteristic of R . Hence, ϕ is a homomorphism. This homomorphism figures prominently in the Galois theory of finite fields.

p 288, #40 Let F be a field, R be a ring and $\phi : F \rightarrow R$ be an onto homomorphism. According to the first isomorphism theorem $F/\ker \phi \cong R$. If R has more than one element then we cannot have $\ker \phi = F$. However, since the kernel is an ideal and F is a field, the only other option we have is $\ker \phi = \{0\}$. Hence, ϕ is also one-to-one and is therefore an isomorphism.

p 288, #46 Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an isomorphism of rings. We can argue exactly as in Exercise 36 to conclude that $\phi(r) = r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.¹ Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x < y$. Then $y - x > 0$ so there is a $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ so that $x - y = z^2$. Then

$$\phi(y) - \phi(x) = \phi(y - x) = \phi(z^2) = \phi(z)^2 > 0$$

since $\phi(z) \neq 0$ as ϕ is one-to-one. That is, if $x < y$ then $\phi(x) < \phi(y)$, i.e. ϕ preserves the natural order on \mathbb{R} . Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $x < \phi(x)$. Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} we can find an $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $x < r < \phi(x)$. But then $\phi(x) < \phi(r) = r < \phi(x)$, an impossibility. We have a similar contradiction if $x > \phi(x)$ and so we conclude that $\phi(x) = x$. Since x was an arbitrary element of \mathbb{R} we conclude that ϕ is the identity map.

p 289, #60 a. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} \in R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ b+d & a+c \end{pmatrix}\right) = (a+c) - (b+d) \\ &= (a-b) + (c-d) = \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) + \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} ac+bd & ad+bc \\ ad+bc & ac+bd \end{pmatrix}\right) = (ac+bd) - (ad+bc) \\ &= (a-b)(c-d) = \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) \end{aligned}$$

proving that ϕ is a homomorphism.

¹We have seen that any field of characteristic 0 contains \mathbb{Q} as a subfield and that any field of characteristic p contains \mathbb{Z}_p as a subfield. In each case, these fields are called the *prime subfields* and it is a general fact that any automorphism of a field must fix its prime subfield element-wise.

b. $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \in R$ is in the kernel of ϕ if and only if $a - b = 0$ or $a = b$. Thus

$$\ker \phi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

c. Since $\phi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism and is clearly onto, the first isomorphism theorem tells us that $R/\ker \phi \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

d. Since R is a commutative ring with identity and $R/\ker \phi \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain, we can apply Theorem 14.3 to conclude that $\ker \phi$ is indeed a prime ideal.

e. Since R is a commutative ring with identity and $R/\ker \phi \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is not a field, we can apply Theorem 14.4 to conclude that $\ker \phi$ is not a maximal ideal.