

# The Division Algorithm

Ryan C. Daileda



Trinity University

Intro to Abstract Mathematics

# Long Division

Consider the following garden variety long division problem.

## Example 1

Find the quotient and remainder when 4982 is divided by 11.

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \phantom{11} \phantom{)} \phantom{4} \phantom{9} \phantom{8} \phantom{2} \\
 11 \overline{) 4982} \\
 \underline{44} \phantom{00} \\
 58 \\
 \underline{55} \\
 32 \\
 \underline{22} \\
 10
 \end{array}$$

So the quotient is  $\boxed{452}$  and the remainder is  $\boxed{10}$ .

## Questions

**Q1.** What do the quotient (452) and remainder (10) mean?

*Ans.* If we try to divide 4982 (the *dividend*) into groups of size 11 (the *divisor*), there will be 452 groups with 10 units left over.

**Q2.** What specific relationship between 11, 4982, 452 and 10 is guaranteed by the long division process?

*Ans.*  $4982 = 452 \times 11 + 10$  or, more generally,

$$\text{dividend} = (\text{quotient} \times \text{divisor}) + \text{remainder}.$$

**Q3.** What can you say about the size of the remainder?

*Ans.*  $0 \leq 10 < 11$ . The remainder is nonnegative and smaller than the divisor.

# The Division Algorithm

The existence of quotients and remainders in general is guaranteed by the next fundamental result.

## Theorem 1 (The Division Algorithm)

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$n = qm + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r < m.$$

### Remarks.

- 1 Here  $m$  is the *divisor*,  $n$  is the *dividend*,  $q$  is the *quotient* and  $r$  is the *remainder* (when  $n$  is divided by  $m$ ).
- 2 Uniqueness means that for each  $n$  there is *only one pair*  $(q, r)$  satisfying the conclusions of the theorem.

# Example

The following is a nice application of the uniqueness of quotients and remainders.

## Example 2

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that  $m|n$  if and only if  $r = 0$  in the division algorithm.

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Use the div. alg. to write  $n = qm + r$  with  $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $r = 0$ , then  $n = qm$  and hence  $m|n$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $m|n$ . Then  $n = am = \underbrace{am + 0}_{qm+r}$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $0 < m$ , the uniqueness of quotients and remainders implies that  $q = a$  and  $r = 0$  in the div. alg. □

## More Remarks

The condition  $0 \leq r < m$  is equivalent to  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ .

The remainder  $r$  tells us *precisely* what “goes wrong” when  $m$  fails to divide  $n$ .

*Modular arithmetic* is concerned with how remainders behave under arithmetic operations.

The div. alg. can be used as a substitute for exact divisibility in applications (specifically *Bézout's lemma*).

The div. alg. is easily implemented on a hand calculator:  
 $q = \text{floor}(n/m)$  and  $r = n - qm$ .

# Recall

We now turn to proving the division algorithm. We first recall two recently discussed results that will be necessary for our proof.

## Axiom (The Well-Ordering Principle)

*Every nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a least element.*

## Lemma 1

*Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is an  $a \in \mathbb{N}^+$  so that  $am > n$ .*

## Remarks.

- 1 Remember, the Well-Ordering Principle can only be asserted, it *cannot* be proven.
- 2 We proved Lemma 1 in class shortly before the break.

# Proof of the Division Algorithm: Existence

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and define

$$S = \{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid tm > n\}.$$

By Lemma 1,  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is nonempty.

$S$  therefore has a least element  $t_0 \in S$ .

Let  $q = t_0 - 1$  and set  $r = n - qm$ . Then  $n = qm + r$  by construction.

By our choice of  $q$  we have  $qm \leq n < (q + 1)m$ , so that

$$0 \leq \underbrace{n - qm}_r < m.$$

This establishes the *existence* of  $q$  and  $r$ .



# Proof of the Division Algorithm: Uniqueness

Suppose we have a second pair  $q', r' \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n = q'm + r'$  and  $0 \leq r' < m$ .

Then  $r - r' = m(q' - q)$ . Thus  $m \mid r - r'$ .

But  $-m < r - r' < m$  as  $0 \leq r, r' < m$ . This implies  $r - r' = 0$ .

We then have  $0 = m(q' - q)$  with  $m \neq 0$ . Hence  $q' - q = 0$ .

We conclude that  $r = r'$  and  $q = q'$ . This proves the *uniqueness* of  $q$  and  $r$ . □