Mathematical Induction

Ryan C. Daileda



Intro to Abstract Mathematics

Introduction

Let P(n) be a statement in the (free) variable n.

In its most basic form, (mathematical) induction is a proof technique that may be applied to statements of the form

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n)). \tag{1}$$

The basic form easily generalizes to handle statements of the form

$$\forall n \geq a(P(n)), \tag{2}$$

in which the universe of discourse is \mathbb{Z} .

Warning: Induction *is not* the only way to prove statements of the form (1) or (2). It is just one potential option.

PMI

Induction as a proof technique follows from the following fact, which is a consequence of the Well-Ordering Principle.

Theorem 1 (Principle of Mathematical Induction)

Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$. Suppose S has the following two properties:

- **1.** $0 \in S$;
- **2.** $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n \in S \rightarrow n+1 \in S).$

Then $S = \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\mathbb{N} \setminus S \neq \emptyset$. So WOP implies there is a least $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S$.

Since $0 \in S$, we have $0 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus S$. Therefore m > 0.

In particular, $m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$. But $m-1 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus S$, so $m-1 \in S$.

Property **2** of *S* then implies $m = (m-1) + 1 \in S$.

Hence $m \in S \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus S) = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

The Principle of Mathematical Induction (PMI) has the following corollary.

Theorem 2

Let P(n) be a statement in the (free) variable n. Suppose that:

- **1.** *P*(0) *is true*;
- **2.** $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n) \to P(n+1))$ is true.

Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n))$ is true. That is, P(n) is true for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Apply PMI to the truth set S of P(n).

Mathematical Induction

If P(n) is a statement in the (free) variable n, the preceding result gives a procedure for proving $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n))$:

- **1.** (Base Case) Prove P(0).
- **2.** (Inductive Step) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and prove $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$.

This process is called (mathematical) induction.

Intuitively, induction results in a chain of implications

$$P(0) \Rightarrow P(1) \Rightarrow P(2) \Rightarrow P(3) \Rightarrow \cdots$$

If P(0) is true, then P(1) is true, and so P(2) is true, and so P(3) is true, etc.

Remarks

- Proving the base case is *essential*, since the truth of P(0) is what causes the truth of the remaining statements.
- ② To prove $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ we begin by assuming P(n), and deduce P(n+1) as a consequence.
- **3** When we assume P(n), we are not assuming the conclusion. We are simply proving an implication with hypothesis P(n).
- \bullet In the inductive step, P(n) is called the *inductive hypothesis*.
- **⑤** We can replace $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge a$ $(n, a \in \mathbb{Z})$, but the base case becomes P(a).

Example 1

Prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Scratch Work.

Let P(n) denote the equation $0+1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

We are trying to prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let's try induction.

Base Case: (n = 0) P(0) is the statement $0 = \frac{0(0+1)}{2}$. This is true.

Inductive Step: We want to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1))$.

We begin with "Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ " and try to prove $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$.

To prove the implication (directly), we suppose P(n) is true and deduce P(n+1).

That is, we assume $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and use this to conclude $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}$.

To do this, we look for a connection between P(n) and P(n+1).

In this case, notice that the LHS of P(n+1) is the LHS of P(n) plus n+1.

So with the hypothesis P(n) we have

$$\underbrace{0+1+2+\cdots+n}_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} + (n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = (n+1)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$$
$$= (n+1)\frac{n+2}{2} = \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2},$$

which shows that P(n+1) is true! Let's get formal now.

Proof. We prove that $0+1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ by induction.

When n = 0, the equation in question becomes $0 = \frac{0(0+1)}{2}$, which is true.

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ holds.

We then have

$$0+1+2+\cdots+n+(n+1)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+(n+1)$$
= (as above) = $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$,

which shows that the n+1 case holds as well.

By mathematical induction, the equation

$$0+1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $5|n^5 - n$.

Proof. We induct on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

When n = 0, we must prove that $5|0^5 - 0$, which is clearly true.

Now let $n \ge 0$ and suppose that $5|n^5 - n$. Write $n^5 - n = 5k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have (using Pascal's triangle)

$$(n+1)^5 - (n+1) = n^5 + 5n^4 + 10n^3 + 10n^2 + 5n + 1 - n - 1$$
$$= \underbrace{n^5 - n}_{5k} + 5(n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2 + n)$$

$$=5(\underbrace{k+n^4+2n^3+2n^2+n})=5m,$$

which shows that $5|(n+1)^5 - (n+1)$, as needed.

By mathematical induction, we find that $5|n^5 - n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Remark. This result is an instance of *Fermat's Little Theorem*, which states that if p is prime, then

$$p|n^p-n$$
 for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Prove that for all $n \ge 4$, $n! > 2^n$.

Proof. We induct on $n \ge 4$.

When n = 4, we have n! = 4! = 24 and $2^n = 2^4 = 16$, so that $4! > 2^4$.

Now let $n \ge 4$ and suppose that $n! > 2^n$.

We then have

$$(n+1)! = (n+1)n! > (n+1)2^n \ge (4+1)2^n > 2 \cdot 2^n = 2^{n+1}.$$

Induction

By induction, the inequality $n! > 2^n$ holds for all $n \ge 4$.

When do I use induction?

Consider a statement of the form $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(P(n))$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 1 If you can prove P(n) directly, there's no need for induction
- ② If you see a connection between P(n) and P(n+1), then induction may be an option.

Identifying the connection between P(n) and P(n+1) is the key to every induction proof!

More Examples

Example 4

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ (the *n*th Fermat number). Prove that for all $n \ge 1$, $F_n = (F_0 F_1 F_2 \cdots F_{n-1}) + 2$.

Solution. We induct on $n \ge 1$.

When n = 1, we must show that $F_1 = F_0 + 2$. Indeed,

$$F_0 + 2 = 2^{2^0} + 1 + 2 = 5 = 2^{2^1} + 1 = F_1.$$

Now let $n \ge 1$ and suppose that $F_n = (F_0 F_1 F_2 \cdots F_{n-1}) + 2$.

Then $F_0F_1F_2\cdots F_{n-1} = F_n - 2$. Thus

$$F_0F_1F_2\cdots F_{n-1}F_n+2=(F_n-2)F_n+2$$

$$= (2^{2^{n}} + 1 - 2)(2^{2^{n}} + 1) + 2$$

$$= (2^{2^{n}} - 1)(2^{2^{n}} + 1) + 2$$

$$= (2^{2^{n}})^{2} - 1 + 2 = 2^{2^{n} \cdot 2} + 1$$

$$= 2^{2^{n+1}} + 1 = F_{n+1},$$

as needed.

By mathematical induction, the proof is complete.

Remark. The first few Fermat numbers are

$$3, 5, 17, 257, 65537, 4294967297, \dots$$

 F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 are prime, but Euler showed F_5 is composite.

It is not known if F_n is composite for all n > 4, or if F_n is prime infinitely often.

Show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $24|(2 \cdot 7^n - 3 \cdot 5^n + 1)$.

Solution. We induct on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

When n = 0, $2 \cdot 7^n - 3 \cdot 5^n + 1 = 2 - 3 + 1 = 0$, which is divisible by 24.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $24|(2 \cdot 7^n - 3 \cdot 5^n + 1)$.

Write $2 \cdot 7^n - 3 \cdot 5^n + 1 = 24k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$2 \cdot 7^n = 24k + 3 \cdot 5^n - 1.$$

Thus

$$2 \cdot 7^{n+1} - 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} + 1 = 7 \cdot 2 \cdot 7^n - 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} + 1$$

$$= 7(24k + 3 \cdot 5^n - 1) - 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} + 1$$

$$= 7 \cdot 24k + 7 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^n - 7 - 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^n + 1$$

$$= 7 \cdot 24k + 3 \cdot 5^n (7 - 5) - 6$$

$$= 7 \cdot 24k + 6 \cdot 5^n - 6 = 7 \cdot 24k + 6(5^n - 1)$$

$$= 7 \cdot 24k + 6(5 - 1)(\underbrace{5^{n-1} + 5^{n-2} + \dots + 5 + 1}_{m})$$

$$= 7 \cdot 24k + 24m = 24(7k + m),$$

where we have used the identity

$$X^{n}-1=(X-1)(X^{n-1}+X^{n-2}+\cdots+X+1)$$

from HW (with X = 5). This proves $24|(2 \cdot 7^{n+1} - 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} + 1)$.

Use induction to prove that

$$X^{n}-1=(X-1)(X^{n-1}+X^{n-2}+\cdots+X+1),$$

for all n > 1.

Remark. We have

$$X^{n-1} + X^{n-2} + \dots + X + 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X^k$$
.

When n = 1, this means the sum is just $X^0 = 1$.

Solution. We induct on $n \ge 1$.

When n = 1, the identity in question becomes $X - 1 = (X - 1) \cdot 1$, which is certainly true.

Let $n \ge 1$ and suppose that

$$X^{n}-1=(X-1)(X^{n-1}+X^{n-2}+\cdots+X+1)$$

Then

$$(X-1)(X^{n} + X^{n-1} + \dots + X + 1)$$

$$= (X-1)X^{n} + (X-1)(X^{n-1} + \dots + X + 1)$$

$$= X^{n+1} - X^{n} + X^{n} - 1 = X^{n+1} - 1.$$

Appealing to mathematical induction completes the proof.