Linear Transformations Continued

Ryan C. Daileda



Trinity University

Linear Algebra

Every linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$, where A is the standard matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} T(\mathbf{e}_1) & T(\mathbf{e}_2) & \cdots & T(\mathbf{e}_n) \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{e}_j = (\delta_{ij}).$$

Using this result we showed that the standard matrix for rotation in \mathbb{R}^2 by θ radians about the origin is

$$egin{pmatrix} \cos heta & -\sin heta\ \sin heta & \cos heta \end{pmatrix},$$

What about other common geometric operations on \mathbb{R}^2 ?

TABLE 1 Reflections





Remark. Once we know a little matrix algebra, we will be able to compute the standard matrix for reflection across any line through the origin.





TABLE 2 Contractions and Expansions

TABLE 3 Shears





TABLE 4 Projections

If $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation, it's *kernel* is

$$\ker T = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \}.$$

That is, ker T consists of all solutions in \mathbb{R}^n to the equation $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$.

If A is the standard matrix of T, then we immediately see that

ker T = Null A,

which means we can compute ker T by row reducing A.

We have seen that the solutions to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ (when they exist) are always unique iff Null $A = \{0\}$.

This immediately imples:

Theorem 1

A linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is one-to-one if and only if ker $T = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

Recall that if $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{b}$, then *every* solution to $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_0 + \operatorname{Null} A = \mathbf{x}_0 + \ker T.$$

Geometrically speaking, this says that the preimage of any point $\mathbf{b} \in \text{im } \mathcal{T}$ is a translation of ker $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathbb{R}^n$.

So ker T, in some sense, measures the failure of T to be one-to-one: the larger ker T is, the more vectors get mapped together under T.

Finally, let's state the relationship between the notions of one-to-one and onto to linear independence and spanning.

Theorem 2 Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation with standard matrix *A*. 1. *T* is onto iff Col $A = \mathbb{R}^m$ iff the columns of *A* span \mathbb{R}^m .

2. *T* is one-to-one iff ker $T = \text{Null } A = \{0\}$ iff the columns of *A* are linearly independent.

If $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are linear transformations, we define

$$(T+S)(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x}) + S(\mathbf{x}).$$

Remark. This is the usual way functions would be added in calculus.

This is linear since

$$(T + S)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + S(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})$$

= $T(\mathbf{x}) + T(\mathbf{y}) + S(\mathbf{x}) + S(\mathbf{y})$
= $T(\mathbf{x}) + S(\mathbf{x}) + T(\mathbf{y}) + S(\mathbf{y})$
= $(T + S)(\mathbf{x}) + (T + S)(\mathbf{y}),$

and similarly $(T + S)(c\mathbf{x}) = c(T + S)(\mathbf{x})$.

Scalar Multiplication of Linear Transformations

Given a scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we also define

$$(cT)(\mathbf{x}) = cT(\mathbf{x}).$$

This, too, is linear:

$$(cT)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = cT(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})$$

= $c(T(\mathbf{x}) + T(\mathbf{y}))$
= $cT(\mathbf{x}) + cT(\mathbf{y})$
= $(cT)(\mathbf{x}) + (cT)(\mathbf{y})$,

and likewise $(cT)(a\mathbf{x}) = a(cT)(\mathbf{x})$.

The zero transformation is given by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We will denote it by 0. The addition and scalar multiplication of linear transformations obeys many of the "usual" laws of arithmetic.

Theorem 3

Let S, T and U be linear transformations $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, and let $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ be scalars. Then:

- 1. S + T = T + S 4. c(S + T) = cS + cT
- 2. (S+T) + U = S + (T+U) 5. (c+d)S = cS + dS
- 3. S + 0 = 0 + S = S 6. c(dS) = (cd)S

These all follow from the fact that vectors in \mathbb{R}^m enjoy the same properties.

Suppose $S, T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are linear transformations.

Because S + T is linear, it is given by a matrix.

Question. How is standard matrix of S + T related to the standard matrices of S and T?

The standard matrix for S + T is given by

$$\begin{array}{lll} \left((S+T)(\mathbf{e}_1) & (S+T)(\mathbf{e}_2) & \cdots & (S+T)(\mathbf{e}_n) \right) \\ \\ = \left(S(\mathbf{e}_1) + T(\mathbf{e}_1) & S(\mathbf{e}_2) + T(\mathbf{e}_2) & \cdots & S(\mathbf{e}_n) + T(\mathbf{e}_n) \right) \end{array}$$

which is the matrix obtained by adding corresponding columns and the standard matrices for S and T.

Given two $m \times n$ matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{b}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

we therefore define their sum to be

$$A+B=egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1+\mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2+\mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n+\mathbf{b}_n=(a_{ij}+b_{ij}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark. This is simply the matrix obtained by adding corresponding entries in *A* and *B*.

Our work above shows that if A, B are the standard matrices for $S, T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively, then the standard matrix for S + T is A + B.

Likewise, if we define

$$cA = \begin{pmatrix} c\mathbf{a}_1 & c\mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & c\mathbf{a}_n \end{pmatrix} = (c\mathbf{a}_{ij}),$$

and $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ has standard matrix A, then the standard matrix of cT is cA.

Theorem 3 immediately implies:

Theorem 4

Let A, B and C be $m \times n$ matrices, and let $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ be scalars. Then:

- 1. A + B = B + A 4. c(A + B) = cA + cB
- 2. (A+B) + C = A + (B+C) 5. (c+d)A = cA + dA
- 3. A + 0 = 0 + A = A6. c(dA) = (cd)A

Here 0 denotes the $m \times n$ zero matrix, which is the standard matrix of the zero transformation.

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -6 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$3\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -5 & -4 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 6 & 9 \\ -15 & -12 & -9 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that for any scalar $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$egin{pmatrix} a & & & \ & a & & \ & & \ddots & \ & & & a \end{pmatrix} = a egin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \ & 1 & & & \ & & 1 & & \ & & \ddots & & \ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = a l \, .$$

Matrix addition and scalar multiplication interact with the matrix vector product in the way one would hope.

For instance, if A and B are $m \times n$, and $S(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$, $T(\mathbf{x}) = B\mathbf{x}$, then A + B is the matrix of S + T.

Thus

$$(A+B)\mathbf{x} = (S+T)(\mathbf{x}) = S(\mathbf{x}) + T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{x}.$$

Scalar multiplication also interacts nicely with the matrix-vector product.

Theorem 5

Let A, B be $m \times n$ matrices, let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

$$1. (A+B)\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{x}$$

2.
$$(cA)\mathbf{x} = c(A\mathbf{x}) = A(c\mathbf{x})$$

Recall the rental car homework problem in which you were asked to solve the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$.

We can now write

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} = I\mathbf{x} \iff A\mathbf{x} - I\mathbf{x} = 0 \iff (A - I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0},$$

which neatly explains the addition of -1 to the diagonal entries of A.

The more general equation $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ will arise in our study of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Analogous reasoning shows that

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x} = \lambda I \mathbf{x} \iff (A - \lambda I) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0},$$

which can be solved by row reducing $A - \lambda I$.

All diagrams were taken from

• Lay, David C., et al. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. 5th ed., Pearson, 2016.

and are probably subject to copyright.