

# On the Disjoint Cycle Decomposition of a Permutation

R. C. Daileda

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the *permutation group on  $n$  symbols* is usually defined to be

$$S_n = \text{Perm}(\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}),$$

the group operation being composition of functions. The fact that every member of  $S_n$  can be written as a product of disjoint cycles is essential to understanding the group theoretic structure of  $S_n$ , but the proofs presented in many textbooks are notoriously tedious and difficult to understand. It turns out that if we slightly generalize the statement “every member of  $S_n$  can be written as a product of disjoint cycles,” it’s possible to give a relatively short inductive proof. The key observation is that the notion of a *cycle* makes sense in the group  $\text{Perm}(S)$  for *any* nonempty set  $S$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For any nonempty finite set  $S$ , every  $\sigma \in \text{Perm}(S)$  can be written as a product of disjoint cycles.*

*Proof.* We induct on  $|S|$ . Since the only permutation of a singleton set is the identity, which can be written as a 1-cycle, there is nothing to prove when  $|S| = 1$ . Now suppose  $n > 1$  and that every member of  $\text{Perm}(S')$  can be written as a product of disjoint cycles, whenever  $1 \leq |S'| < n$ . Let  $|S| = n$  and choose  $\sigma \in \text{Perm}(S)$ . There is nothing to prove if  $\sigma$  is the identity, so we may assume that  $\sigma(x) \neq x$  for some  $x \in S$ .

Consider the set

$$H = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \sigma^k(x) = x\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $H < \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\text{Perm}(S)$  is a finite group,  $\sigma$  has finite order  $m \geq 1$ , so that  $\sigma^m(x) = \text{id}(x) = x$ . Therefore  $m \in H$  and  $H$  is nontrivial. This means that  $H = r\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\sigma(x) \neq x$ ,  $1 \notin H$  which implies  $r \geq 2$ .

Let  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  and suppose that  $\sigma^k(x) = \sigma^\ell(x)$ . Then  $\sigma^{k-\ell}(x) = x$  and hence  $k-\ell \in H = r\mathbb{Z}$ . That is,  $k \equiv \ell \pmod{r}$ . This implies that

$$x, \sigma(x), \sigma^2(x), \dots, \sigma^{r-1}(x)$$

are pairwise distinct members of  $S$ , since the exponents  $0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1$  are all distinct mod  $r$ . It follows that

$$\tau = (x \ \sigma(x) \ \sigma^2(x) \ \dots \ \sigma^{r-1}(x))$$

represents an  $r$ -cycle in  $\text{Perm}(S)$ .

Now consider  $\sigma' = \tau^{-1}\sigma$ . For any  $0 \leq k \leq r-1$  we have

$$\sigma'(\sigma^k(x)) = (\tau^{-1}\sigma)(\sigma^k(x)) = \tau^{-1}(\sigma^{k+1}(x)) = \sigma^k(x),$$

by the definition of  $\tau$ . So  $\sigma'$  fixes  $x, \sigma(x), \sigma^2(x), \dots, \sigma^{r-1}(x)$  and can therefore be viewed as a permutation of the set  $S' = S \setminus \{x, \sigma(x), \sigma^2(x), \dots, \sigma^{r-1}(x)\}$ . If  $S' = \emptyset$ , then  $\sigma'$  is the identity and  $\sigma = \tau$  is an  $r$ -cycle. Otherwise  $1 \leq |S'| < |S|$  and the inductive hypothesis implies that  $\sigma' = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_k$  for some disjoint cycles  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$  in  $\text{Perm}(S')$ . Since  $\tau$  is a cycle disjoint from any cycle in  $\text{Perm}(S')$ , it follows that  $\sigma = \tau\sigma' = \tau\tau_1 \cdots \tau_k$  expresses  $\sigma$  as a product of disjoint cycles. Since  $\sigma$  was an arbitrary (nonidentity) member of  $\text{Perm}(S)$ , the result now follows by mathematical induction.

□