

A LOOK AT f -INVARIANT δ -SCRAMBLED SETS AND THEIR PLACEMENT IN SARKOVSKII'S STRATIFICATION OF THE REAL NUMBERS

FORREST ELLIOTT-FARREN AND MEGAN GALLANT

ABSTRACT. We are interested in being able to pinpoint the existence of f -invariant δ -scrambled sets in the turbulent stratification presented by Block and Coppel[1] which builds on Sarkovskii's Ordering. In this paper, we give the properties which guarantee that map of odd period will admit an f -invariant δ -scrambled subset. We also demonstrate that any map f which admits such a set has the property that f^2 is turbulent. We follow with independent proofs that f -invariant δ -scrambled sets exist in all maps that are strictly turbulent, and that maps with f -invariant δ -scrambled sets have periodic points of period 2^k for every $k > 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be continuous where I is an interval in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.1. *The point x is a fixed point f if $f(x) = x$. The point x is a periodic point of period n if $f^n(x) = x$.*

As presented by Devaney [2], Sarkovskii was able to demonstrate when the existence of points with certain periods in a map imply the existence points with other periods for continuous maps in some interval of \mathbb{R} .

The following is *Sarkovskii's Ordering* of the natural number:

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \triangleright 5 \triangleright 7 \triangleright \dots \triangleright 2 * 3 \triangleright 2 * 5 \triangleright \dots \triangleright 2^2 * 3 \triangleright 2^2 * 5 \triangleright \\ \dots \triangleright 2^3 * 5 \triangleright \dots \triangleright 2^3 \triangleright 2^2 \triangleright 2 \triangleright 1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. [2] *Suppose $f : I \rightarrow I$ is continuous. Suppose f has a periodic point of period k . If $k \triangleright \ell$ in the above ordering, then f also has a periodic point of period ℓ .*

Notice that maps with a periodic point of period 3, have periodic points of all other periods.

Definition 1.3. *A map $f : I \rightarrow I$ is turbulent if \exists compact subintervals J and K with at most one common point where*

$$J \cup K \subseteq f(J) \cap f(K).$$

f is strictly turbulent if J and K can be chosen disjoint.

We can now define a chaotic map in the sense used by Block and Coppel [1].

Theorem 1.4. [1] *The following conditions are equivalent for f :*

- (1) f has a periodic point whose period is not a power of 2
- (2) f^m is strictly turbulent for some positive integer m
- (3) f^n is turbulent for some positive integer n

Definition 1.5. [1] *A map f is chaotic if any of the above conditions hold for f .*

Let \mathbb{S}_k denote the set of maps f for which f^k is strictly turbulent, \mathbb{T}_k the set of maps f for which f^k is turbulent, \mathbb{P}_k the set of maps with periodic points of period k , and \mathbb{K} the set of all chaotic maps. With this notation, Block and Coppel [1] used Sarkovskii's Ordering to come up with the following *turbulent stratification*.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}_3 \subset \mathbb{P}_5 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{S}_2 \subset \mathbb{T}_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_6 \subset \mathbb{P}_{10} \subset \\ \dots \subset \mathbb{S}_4 \subset \mathbb{T}_4 \subset \mathbb{P}_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}_{20} \subset \\ \dots \subset \mathbb{K} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{P}_8 \subset \mathbb{P}_4 \subset \mathbb{P}_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_1 \end{aligned}$$

We looked at the above stratification to investigate where specific sets might be in this stratification.

Definition 1.6. *A set $S \subset I$ is δ -scrambled if for $\delta > 0$, S is uncountable, and*

- i. $\forall s_1, s_2 \in S$,
 - (1) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s_1), f^n(s_2)]) \geq \delta$
 - (2) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s_1), f^n(s_2)]) = 0$
- ii. $\forall s \in S$ and for any periodic point z ,
 - (3) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s), f^n(z)]) \geq \delta$

Definition 1.7. *A set $S \subset I$ is f -invariant if for any $s \in S$, $f(s) \in S$.*

Our goal is to say where in the turbulent stratification maps must admit δ -scrambled and f -invariant sets. It is well known that all chaotic maps admit a δ -scrambled set, but it was not previously known if they would admit one which is additionally f -invariant. We attacked this problem from two angles. First, tried to prove that certain positions in the turbulence stratification forced maps to admit f -invariant δ -scrambled sets, such as maps that are strictly turbulent or of odd period. Second, we tried to determine where in the stratification maps which admit δ -scrambled f -invariant sets were forced to be by that property.

2. EXISTENCE OF f -INVARIANT δ -SCRAMBLED SETS IN MAPS WHICH ADMIT POINTS OF ODD PERIOD

It was shown by Harrison-Shermoen [4] that \forall strictly turbulent maps \exists an f -invariant δ -scrambled set, and by B-S Du [3] that \forall turbulent maps there exists an f -invariant δ -scrambled set. We begin with an investigation into the properties under which we know that a map of odd period admits an f -invariant δ -scrambled subset instead of a f^2 -invariant δ -scrambled set.

In the setup for this characterization, we will draw on a proof of Sarkovskii's Theorem which appears in Devaney [2]. For two closed intervals I_1 and I_2 we say

$I_1 \rightarrow I_2$ if and only if $I_2 \subset f(I_1)$. Let $x \in I$ be a periodic point of period n , where n is the minimum odd period of a point under f . $\forall i \in [1, n] \cup \mathbb{Z}^+$ let x_i be the points in the orbit of x , enumerated from left to right. Choose the largest i for which $f(x_i) > x_i$. Let I_1 be the interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$. Then we must have $I_1 \subset f(I_1)$, so $I_1 \rightarrow I_1$. Because n is odd, $n \neq 2$, so $f(I_1) \neq I_1$. Thus there must be some other such interval of the form $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$. Let Ω_2 be the union of intervals of this form that are covered by $f(I_2)$. Let some interval of this form be called $I_2 \subset \Omega_2$, and observe that $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$. Recursively let Ω_{k+1} be the union of intervals of the form $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$ covered by the image of some interval in Ω_k . Note that if I_{k+1} is any interval in Ω_{k+1} , there is a collection of intervals I_2, \dots, I_k with $I_j \subset \Omega_j$ which satisfy $I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I_k \rightarrow I_{k+1}$. Because there are finitely many such x_j s, there is some k for which $\Omega_k = \Omega_{k+1}$. Because x_j has period n for all $j \leq n$, we know that Ω_k contains all intervals of $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$. Because n is odd, there are more x_i s on one side of I_1 than the other, so at least one must change sides under f , which means that there is some I_j for which $I_1 \subset f(I_j)$. Now consider a chain of intervals of the form $I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I_j \rightarrow I_1$, where all of the intervals are chosen distinct. By Devaney [2], these conditions mean that $j = n - 1$. Let this chain determine the names of the $n - 1$ base intervals we will use in this proof. We know that each point in I_i has a pre-image in $I_{i-1 \pmod n}$ and that each point in I_1 has a pre-image in I_1 .

Let $a_i \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Given compact intervals $I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots, I_{n-1}$, let $I_{a_1 a_2}$ be the compact subinterval of minimum length for which $I_{a_1 a_2} \subset I_{a_1}, f(I_{a_1 a_2}) = I_{a_2}$. Recursively define $I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ as the compact subinterval of $I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}}$ of minimum length for which $f(I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = I_{a_2 \dots a_n}$.

Definition 2.1. Let Σ^n be the space of infinite binary strings $(a_1 a_2 \dots)$ with $a_i \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$

Definition 2.2. Let σ be the shift operator on a binary string $\alpha = (a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots) \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = (a_2 a_3 \dots)$.

Definition 2.3. For $\alpha \in \Sigma^n$, define I_α as $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$.

Because I_α is the nested intersection of countably many compact intervals, I_α is either an interval or a point. Consider the case where I_α is a point. Observe $f(I_\alpha) = f(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f(I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{a_2 \dots a_n} = I_{\sigma(\alpha)}$. But by uniform continuity, we can see that $I_{\sigma(\alpha)}$ is also a point, so in fact $f(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f(I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n})$.

Observe that all we know about the intervals we are using is that $\forall i \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+, I_i$ has a pre-image in I_{i-1} , and I_1 has an additional pre-image in I_1 . Therefore, the only strings of the above type of either finite or infinite length which we may be sure exist by construction of the above intervals have the property that if $a_i = h, a_{i+1} = (h - 1 \pmod{n - 1})$ if $h \neq 1$, else a_{i+1} may equal 1 or $n - 1$.

Proposition 2.4. If f admits a periodic point of period n , and $\forall k \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+, I_k$ may be picked so that one or more of the following conditions hold:

(1): $I_{1111\dots}$ is a point

(2): $\text{clo}(\text{int}[I_1 \setminus \text{int}(I_{1111\dots})])$ is connected

(3): WLOG assume I_2 is to the right of I_1 , then $\exists x \in I_1 \setminus \text{int}I_{1111\dots}$ s.t. $f(x) > \max I_{1111\dots}$, $f(x) = \max I_2$

Then f admits an f -invariant δ -scrambled set.

Proposition 2.5. *If none of the above properties hold for f , then f admits an f^2 -invariant δ -scrambled set.*

We will begin by proving the first proposition, and then sketch to the second.

Lemma 2.6. *If (1) does not hold but either (2) or (3) does, we may select $J \subset I_1$ for which $J \rightarrow J$ and $J \rightarrow I_2$, for which if we reassign $I_1 = J$, (1) will hold for the new I_1 .*

Proof. Assume (2) holds. Then let $J = \text{clo}(\text{int}[I_1 \setminus \text{int}(I_{1111\dots})])$, and note that J is a compact interval. Because $I_1 \rightarrow I_1$, $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$, and $I_{1111\dots}$ is invariant under f , we know that the parts of I_1 whose image covers J and I_2 are in J . Thus $J \rightarrow J$ and $J \rightarrow I_2$.

Now assume that (3) holds and (2) does not. Let $y = \min\{x \in I_1 \setminus \text{int}I_{1111\dots} | x > \min I_{1111\dots}, f(x) = \max I_2\}$. Then let $z = \max\{x \in I_1 \setminus \text{int}I_{1111\dots} | x > \min I_{1111\dots}, x \leq y\}$. Then let $J = [y, z]$. By continuity, $J \rightarrow J, J \rightarrow I_2$. □

Redefine I_1 as J , changing the definition of I_α as necessary.

Let $v \in (0, 1)$. $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, let v_i be the i th digit after the decimal place in the decimal expansion of v , that is, $v_i = \lfloor (10^i * v \bmod 10) \rfloor$. Let t_i be the string of ones of length $\lfloor 10^i * v \rfloor$. Let $r = (n-1)(n-2)(n-3)\dots(1)(1)$, that is, the decreasing string beginning with $n-1$ and ending with 11. Let w_i be a string of ones of length i . Then let $u_i = rw_irw_i r \dots rw_i r$, so that w_i is repeated i times. Consider the set:

$$S' = \{I_\alpha | \alpha = (t_1 u_1 t_2 u_2 t_3 u_3 t_4 u_4 \dots), \mu(I_\alpha) = 0\}$$

Observe that α has no adjacent j s, where $j \neq 1$, which means that $\alpha \in \Sigma^n$. Clearly, S' is uncountable, as its composition encodes uncountably many irrational numbers as long strings of ones, and there cannot be uncountably many intervals within a finite interval, so there must be uncountably many singletons. For each $\alpha \in S'$, let $v^\alpha, t_i^\alpha, u_i^\alpha$ be the numbers or sequences v, t_i , and u_i respectively for that particular α .

Let $S = \{f^n(s) | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, s \in S'\}$. By construction, S is uncountable and f -invariant.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. Given distinct strings $\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha)$ and $\sigma^{k_2}(\beta)$ for which $I_\alpha, I_\beta \in S', \exists$ some $n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{0\}$ for which $\sigma^{k_1+n}(\alpha)$ begins with $(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)\dots(1)(1)$ and $\sigma^{k_2+n}(\beta)$ is a string of ones of length $n+1$.*

Proof. If $\alpha \neq \beta, \exists j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $v_j^\alpha \neq v_j^\beta$. Without loss of generality say $v_j^\alpha \geq v_j^\beta$. Then $\forall i \geq j, |t_i|$ is greater in α than in β . Whatever the initial displacement of the strings at this point, from now on there will be longer strings of ones in the α string than in the β string. That is, there is some integer j_2 for which if $\sigma^{k_1+n_1}(\alpha)$ is the first character of the string $u_{j_2}^\alpha$ and $\sigma^{k_2+n_2}(\beta)$ is the first character of the string $u_{j_2}^\beta$, then $n_1 > n_2$. Redefine k_1 as $k_1 + n_2$, and redefine k_2 as $k_2 + n_2$. As the gaps between the sequences get arbitrarily large, we will be able to pick some i after this point for which the entire run $t_i^\beta u_i^\beta$ will line up entirely with ones from α .

Now pick an n to advance the beginnings of the sequences to the $n - 1$ beginning u_i^β and the condition will be fulfilled.

If $\alpha = \beta$, let $k = |k_1 - k_2|$ pick some $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $|t_j| \geq k + h$. Then if we pick an n which advances us to the beginning of this subsequence in the string that is further ahead, we still have at least h ones left at the beginning of each sequence, fulfilling the condition. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, and let $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, given distinct binary strings $\alpha, \beta \in S'$, $\forall h \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists$ an n for which the next h characters of both $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha)$ and $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta)$ are all one.*

Proof. Let $j = |k_1 - k_2|$. Repeat the steps in the previous Lemma to redefine the sequence so that without loss of generality α 's sequences of ones are longer. Then we will again be able to pick some i after this point for which the entire run $t_i^\beta u_i^\beta$ will line up entirely with ones from α . If $|t_i^\beta| \geq j + h$, pick an n which advances the sequence to the first character of t_i^β and the condition will be fulfilled. Else, because the sequence of lengths t_i^β is strictly increasing we will eventually be able to find another such t_i^β for which the property holds. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $i, j, j_2, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, l \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+, \alpha \in S'$, and $z \in I$ s.t. z is periodic of period k . Then let $z_i = f^{i-1}(z)$. Now we may pick some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $f^n(z) = z_k$ and $f^{n+j}(I_\alpha)$ begins with l .*

Proof. Pick some $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ for which k divides $n + i$, $i > kn$. Let $l_2, i_3, i_4 \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then pick some n which advances α to the beginning of the string u_i . Then every $n + i$ times we iterate f , we will move all the z_k s forward by one relative to the string α . In particular, by the time we have iterated $fk(n + i)$ times, we will have lined up each element in the interval u_i with a z_k at least once. By construction, $k(n + i) < i(n - 1 + i)$, the size of the interval, so we do not leave the interval during this process. Then for some $n_2 \leq k(n + i)$ by iterating fn_2 times after the first n , we will line up an l with z_k . \square

Now we are ready to prove the first proposition.

Theorem 2.10. *If f admits a periodic point of period n , and $\forall k \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+, I_k$ may be picked so that one or more of the following conditions hold:*

(1): $I_{1111\dots}$ is a point

(2): $\text{clo}(\text{int}[I_1 \setminus \text{int}(I_{1111\dots})])$ is connected

(3): WLOG assume I_2 is to the right of I_1 , then $\exists x \in I_1 \setminus \text{int}I_{1111\dots}$ s.t. $f(x) > \max I_{1111\dots}, f(x) = \max I_2$

Then f admits an f -invariant δ -scrambled set.

Lemma 2.11. *Given two points $f^k(I_\alpha), f^j(I_\beta) \in S$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d(f^{j+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k+n}(I_\beta)) \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $j < k$.

Because $I_{111\dots}$ is a point, the sequence of intervals $I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ where $a_i = 1 \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ has length converging to zero as n approaches infinity. Then given any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we may find an $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which the length of $I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ is less than $\epsilon \forall n \geq i$

By lemma, pick some $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which the first i terms of $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha)$ are 1 and overlap with the first i terms of $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta)$. Then $d(f^{j+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k+n}(I_\beta)) \leq \epsilon$, where $n = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} n_h$. Because ϵ and the starting points were arbitrary, this proves that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s_1), f^n(s_2)]) = 0$. \square

Now we will divide into cases based on the period of n :

Case I: $n = 3$.

We will begin by restricting what we mean by I_1 and I_2 .

Case I A: $\min(I'_1) < \min(I'_2)$. Let $a = \max\{x \in I_1 | f(x) = \max(I_2)\}$. Then let $b = \min\{x \in I_1 | f(x) = a, x > a\}$. Redefine $I_1 = [a, b]$, and observe that we still have $I_1 \rightarrow I_1$ and $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$.

Case I B: $\min(I'_1) > \min(I'_2)$. Let $b = \min\{x \in I_1 | f(x) = \min(I_2)\}$. Then let $a = \max\{x \in I_1 | f(x) = a, x < b\}$. Redefine $I_1 = [a, b]$, and observe that we still have $I_1 \rightarrow I_1$ and $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$.

If $d(I_1, I_2) = 0$, let $\delta = \min\{d(I_{211}, I_1), d(I_{12}, I_2), \mu(I_1), \mu(I_2)\}$. If $d(I_1, I_2) > 0$, let $\delta = \min\{d(I_{12}, I_2), d(I_{211}, I_1), \mu(I_1), \mu(I_2), \frac{d(I_0, I_1)}{3}\}$. Then $\delta > 0$ iff $\min\{d(I_{12}, I_2), d(I_{211}, I_1)\} > 0$.

Lemma 2.12. $d(I_{211}, I_1) > 0$

As above, $I_1 = [a, b]$, let $I_2 = [c, d]$.

Case I a: $a < \min(I_2)$.

Proof. We know $f(b) = a$, and that $\forall x \in I_1 \setminus b, f(x) \neq a$, so $b \in I_{11}$. Because I_{11} is a subinterval of I_1 , $\min(I_{11}) > a$. We know that $f(c) \leq a$, so $c \notin I_{211}$. Because f is uniformly continuous, and $d(\min(I_{11}), a) > 0$, we know that $d(I_{211}, c) > 0$. Then because c is the closest point in I_2 to I_1 , we have that $d(I_{211}, I_1) > 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Case I b: $a < \min(I_2)$.

Proof. We know $f(a) = b$, and that $\forall x \in I_1 \setminus a, f(x) \neq b$, so $a \in I_{11}$. Because I_{11} is a subinterval of I_1 , $\max(I_{11}) < b$. We know that $f(d) \geq b$, so $d \notin I_{211}$. Because f is uniformly continuous, and $d(\max(I_{11}), b) > 0$, we know that $d(I_{211}, d) > 0$. Then because d is the closest point in I_2 to I_1 , we have that $d(I_{211}, I_1) > 0$, which completes the proof. \square

The proof that $d(I_{12}, I_2) > 0$ is similar.

Lemma 2.13. *Given two points $f^{k_1}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2}(I_\beta) \in S$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, pick some n for which $\sigma^{k_1+n}(\alpha)$ begins with 211 and $\sigma^{k_2+n}(\beta)$ begins with 1. Then by definition and the nested property of our intervals, $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$. \square

Lemma 2.14. *Given some periodic point z and some $f^j(I_\alpha) \in S$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(z), f^{n+j}(I_\alpha)]) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. Case I a: The orbit of z at some point leaves the series of intervals we are considering.

WLOG, let this point be the $(k-1)$ st iterate of z , where z has period k . Then by construction of δ , \exists some $l \in \{1, 2\}$ for which $d(z_k, I_l) > \delta$. By Lemma 2.9, we know we may find an n for which $f^{n+j}(I_\alpha) \subset I_l$ and $f^n(z) = z_k$.

Case I b: The orbit of z is constantly within the series of intervals we are considering.

Then we may encode z 's trajectory through intervals in a sequence of 2 variables. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma^2$, for which $\gamma = (c_1 c_2 c_3 \dots)$ such that $f^i(z) \in I_{c_i}$. Because the trajectory of z is periodic, γ must also be periodic. Assume γ contains a 1. WLOG, say $z_k \in I_1$. Then we may use Lemma 2.9 to pick an n which forces $f^{n+j}(I_\alpha) \subset I_2$ (which means it will be a subset of I_{211} , by construction) and $f^n(z) = z_k$, which will force our Lemma to be true. Otherwise, γ must contain a 2, we may assign $z_k \in I_2$, and we may again apply Lemma 2.9 to force z_k to line up with an element of I_{12} , proving our Lemma.

Case I c: The point z is an endpoint of more than one interval.

Then z will be the periodic point x on which these intervals are based, and we may easily line up one of the points in its orbit that does not bound I_1 with an arbitrarily long string of 1s, giving a distance greater than δ . \square

Case II: $n \neq 3$

Because there are 4 or more intervals we are considering, and each has at most 1 point in common, we know that there are some pairs of intervals which are completely disjoint. Let $\delta = \inf\{d(I_j, I_k) \mid k, j \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+, d(I_j, I_k) > 0\}$.

Lemma 2.15. *Given two points $f^{k_1}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2}(I_\beta) \in S$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. Assume β and α are distinct, then by Lemma 2.8, we know that we may pick some n for which without loss of generality $\sigma^{k_1+n}(\alpha)$ begins with $(n-1)(n-2)\dots(1)(1)$ and $\sigma^{k_2+n}(\beta)$ is constantly 1. Because $1 < j \leq n-1$, we know we may pick some slightly greater n for which $\sigma^{k_1+n}(\alpha)$ begins with j and $\sigma^{k_2+n}(\beta)$ begins with 0. Then by definition of δ and the nested property of our intervals, $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$.

Now assume β and α are identical.

Case I a: The displacement $|k_1 - k_2| = 1$.

We know by Devaney [2] that there is some $j \in [2, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which I_j is on a different side of I_1 from I_{j+1} . When the strings are displaced by 1, we will have this j lined up with $j+1$ every time they occur, so if we advance the sequence by n to that place, we will find $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\alpha)) \geq 0$, and thus by definition of δ , $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\alpha)) \geq \delta$.

Case I b: The displacement $|k_1 - k_2| > 1$.

Before and after the strings u_i , we will have displacements of 2 or more on each side. This means that we will have a minimum of 4 intervals lined up with ones. Because the intervals are distinct, there are at most 2 which are adjacent to I_1 , so by advancing the sequence by n to an overlap of some j for which $d(I_j, I_1) > 0$ with 1, we will have $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$.

Lemma 2.16. *Given some periodic point z and some $f^j(I_\alpha) \in S$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(z), f^{n+j}(I_\alpha)]) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. Case I a: The orbit of z at some point leaves the series of intervals we are considering. WLOG, let this point be the k -1st iterate of z , where z has period k . Then by construction of δ , \exists some $l \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $d(z_k, I_l) > \delta$. By Lemma 2.9, we know we may find an n for which $f^{n+j}(I_\alpha) \subset I_l$ and $f^n(z) = z_k$.

Case I b: The orbit of z is constantly within the series of intervals we are considering. Then we may encode z 's trajectory through intervals in a sequence of $n-1$ variables. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma^n$, for which $\gamma = (c_1 c_2 c_3 \dots)$ such that $f^i(z) \in I_{c_i}$. Because the trajectory of z is periodic, γ must also be periodic. Since we have 4 or more intervals, we may pick any $h \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $z_k \in I_h$, and we know that there will be an $l \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $d(I_h, I_l) > \delta$. Then we may apply Lemma 2.9 to find the appropriate n for which $f^n(z) = z_k$ and $f^{n+j}(I_\alpha) \in I_h$ to complete the proof.

Case I c: The point z is an endpoint of more than one interval.

Then z will be the periodic point x on which these intervals are based, and we may easily line up one of the points in its orbit that does not bound I_1 with an arbitrarily long string of 1s, giving a distance greater than δ . \square

\square

Now consider the case where none of the three properties of the first proposition hold. Then let $I_{1'} = [\max I_{1111\dots}, \max I_1]$ and redefine $I_1 = [\min I_1, \min I_{1111\dots}]$. With this notation, observe that $I_{11'11'11'1\dots}$ will be a point on the boundary of the removed invariant interval and $I_{1'11'11'1\dots}$ will be its image. We may define a set S as before, except now instead of strings of ones we will use strings of double length of $11'$'s. Then if we allow only f^2 iterates of points in the set to be used, we may line up arbitrarily long sequences of $11'$'s and reproduce the above lemmas for \liminf . Because $I_{n-1} \rightarrow I_1$ and $I_{n-1} \rightarrow I_{1'}$, we may follow either ones or $1'$'s by $n-1$ s, so we may alternate between sequences of even and odd length. This will insure that we may line up an I_1 or $I_{1'}$ with some I_j and get a distance greater than δ in a method parallel to the above construction.

3. MAPS WITH f -INVARIANT δ -SCRAMBLED SETS ARE IN \mathbb{T}_2

Here we use a theorem proved in Block and Coppel [1] to argue by contradiction that any map f which admits an f -invariant δ -scrambled set is in \mathbb{T}_2 . Here are some relevant definitions.

Definition 3.1. [1] *A trajectory $\{f^n(c)\}$ will be said to be alternating if either $f^k(c) < f^j(c)$ for all even k and all odd j , or $f^k(c) > f^j(c)$ for all even k and all odd j .*

Definition 3.2. [1] We define the limit set of a point $x \in I$ to be the set

$$\omega(x) = \omega(x, f) = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq m} f^n(x)}$$

Equivalently, $y \in \omega(x)$ if and only if y is a limit point of the trajectory $\gamma(x)$, i.e. $f^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow y$ for some sequence of integers $n_k \rightarrow \infty$.

The following is a useful Lemma from Block and Coppel:

Lemma 3.3 (Block and Coppel IV.4). A limit set $\omega(x)$ contains only finitely many points $\iff x$ is asymptotically periodic.

If $\omega(x)$ contains infinitely many periodic points, then no isolated point of $\omega(x)$ is periodic.

Theorem 3.4. [1] Suppose f^2 is not turbulent. Then $\forall c \in I$ exactly one of the following alternatives holds:

- (i): the trajectory $\{f^k(c)\}$ is bimonotonic and converges to a fixed point of f
- (ii): the trajectory $\{f^k(c)\}$ is alternating from some point on and the limit set $\omega(c, f)$ is an f -orbit of period 2.

(iii): the trajectory $\{f^k(c)\}$ is alternating from some point on and if we set

$$(4) \quad \alpha = \min \omega(c, f^2), \beta = \max \omega(c, f^2),$$

$$(5) \quad \gamma = \min \omega(f(c), f^2), \delta = \max \omega(f(c), f^2),$$

then $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $[\gamma, \delta]$ are disjoint non-degenerate intervals which contain no fixed point of f .

Proposition 3.5. If f admits an f -invariant δ -scrambled set, then f^2 is turbulent.

Proof. Let $S \subset I$ be an f -invariant δ -scrambled set. Let $c \in S$. Assume (i) or (ii) holds. But then the limit set is finite, so c is asymptotically periodic by the above Lemma from B and C, so we have a contradiction against the property of δ -scrambled that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s), f^n(z)]) \geq \delta$ for any $s \in S$ and periodic $z \in I$.

If the trajectory $\{f^k(c)\}$ is not alternating from some point on then (iii) fails. Assume that the trajectory $\{f^k(c)\}$ is alternating from some point on. Then we know that if k_1 is odd and k_2 is even, then without loss of generality $f^{k_1}(c) < f^{k_2}(c)$.

Let $w_1 = \sup\{f^{2k+1}(c) | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $w_2 = \inf\{f^{2k}(c) | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Because $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(c), f^{n+1}(c)]) = 0$, we must have $w_1 = w_2 = w$. But then by continuity, $w \in \omega(c, f^2)$ and $w \in \omega(f(c), f^2)$, so (iii) still fails. Thus f^2 must be turbulent. \square

4. ALL STRICTLY TURBULENT MAPS ADMIT f -INVARIANT δ -SCRAMBLED SUBSETS

As mentioned previously, [4] showed that all strictly turbulent maps admit f -invariant δ -scrambled sets. We produced an independent proof of this result.

Let f be strictly turbulent. Then \exists compact intervals $I'_0 = [a', b']$, $I'_1 = [c', d']$, s.t. $I'_0 \cup I'_1 \subset f(I'_0) \cap f(I'_1)$. Thus $I'_0 \subset f(I'_0)$, so there is at least one fixed point in I'_0 . Call the set of such fixed points F , and observe that the set is non-empty and closed. Because $I'_1 \subset f(I'_0)$, the set $K = \{x \in I'_0 \mid f(x) = d'\}$ is also non-empty and closed by continuity. By these two conditions, \exists two points $a'' \in F, b'' \in K$ for which $d(a'', b'') = d(F, K)$, where $d(F, K)$ has the usual definition $\inf_{x \in F, y \in K} d(x, y)$.

Let $a = \min\{a'', b''\}$, $b = \max\{a'', b''\}$. Let $I_0 = [a, b]$. Similarly select $c, d \in I'_1$ and let $I_1 = [c, d]$. Now by construction, $I_0 \cup I_1 \subset f(I_0) \cap f(I_1)$.

Let $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. By continuity of f , we know \exists intervals in I_{a_0} which are pre-images of I_0 and I_1 under f , for either value of a_0 . Now let $I_{a_0 a_1}$ be the subinterval of I_{a_0} of minimum width for which $f(I_{a_0 a_1}) = I_{a_1}$. Without Loss Of Generality force $I_{a_0 a_1}$ to include its endpoints, then $I_{a_0 a_1}$ is compact.

Definition 4.1. Define $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ as the subinterval of $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}}$ of minimum length for which $f(I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = I_{a_n}$.

All of these intervals will be constructed to be compact by repetition of the above argument. In addition, it follows from the definition that the intervals are nested, that is, $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} a_n} \subset I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\Sigma = \{\alpha \mid \alpha = (a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots), \text{ for } a_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ be the set of all infinite binary strings.

Definition 4.3. For some $\alpha \in \Sigma$, define I_α as $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$.

Because I_α is the nested intersection of countably many compact intervals, I_α is either an interval or a point. For consider the case where I_α is a point. Observe $f(I_\alpha) = f(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f(I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f(I_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = I_{\sigma(\alpha)}$. But by uniform continuity, we can see that $I_{\sigma(\alpha)}$ is also a point, so in fact in this case $f(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f(I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n})$.

Definition 4.4. Let σ be the operation on a binary string $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots) \in \Sigma$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = (a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots)$. Observe that $f(I_\alpha) = f(I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots}) = I_{a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots} = I_{\sigma(\alpha)}$.

$\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, let t_i be the string of zeros of length 2^i , u_i be the string of ones of length 2^i . Consider the set:

$$S' = \{I_\alpha \mid \alpha = (*_0 t_0 *_1 *_0 u_1 *_2 *_1 *_0 t_2 *_3 *_2 *_1 *_0 u_3 \dots), \text{ for } *_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

This set is uncountable, so it must contain uncountably many α 's for which I_α is a singleton, i.e. $I_\alpha = x_\alpha$. Let $S = \{f^n(I_\alpha) \mid I_\alpha \in S', \mu(I_\alpha) = 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}\}$. Observe that then if $f^n(I_\alpha) \in S$, $f^n(I_\alpha) = \{x_{\sigma^n(\alpha)}\}$, a singleton.

Lemma 4.5. Given our construction of I_0, I_{t_∞} is a point and not an interval.

Proof. Recall that $I_{t_\infty} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$, where $a_i = 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Because f is continuous, and $I_0 \cup I_1 \subset f(I_0)$, some of the range of I_0 under f is not in I_0 , so the length of I_{00} is smaller than the length of I_0 . By a similar argument, it can be seen

the that length of $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}}$ is smaller than the length of $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so we have that the sequence of lengths of these intervals is strictly decreasing.

Assume I_{t_∞} is an interval, i.e. that there is a positive lower limit to the decreasing of the length of the interval. Then I_{t_∞} is preserved under f , that is $f(I_{t_\infty}) = I_{\sigma(t_\infty)} = I_{t_\infty}$. We know a is a fixed point by construction, and because f does not cross the line $y = x$ again in I_0 , we know it cannot in I_{t_∞} . But this is a contradiction as it is graphically impossible to have a fixed interval under a continuous mapping which has a fixed endpoint and no other fixed points, so I_{t_∞} must be a point. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. Given distinct binary strings $\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha)$ and $\sigma^{k_2}(\beta)$, \exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{0\}$ for which the first digit of $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha)$ is not equal to the first digit of $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $j = |k_1 - k_2|$.

Case 1: $j > 0$: The strings have the same initial structure, and are displaced relative to each other by j applications of σ , so if we look far enough ahead in the string, we will see a place in which the string of constant zeroes t_i overlaps exactly the last j of the string of $*$ s. If $*_1 = 1$, apply n to both strings until the first character of the binary string is $*_1$, lined up with a 0 from t_i . Else, apply n until $*_1$ is lined up with a 1 from u_{i+1} .

Case 2: $j = 0$: Because the strings are lined up, the indices on the strings of $*$ s will be lined up. Because the strings are not identical, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ such that $*_n$ in α is different from $*_n$ in β . When σ is applied enough times to bring the matched $*_n$ s to the front of their binary strings, the Lemma's condition will be fulfilled. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, Without loss of generality let $k_1 > k_2$. Then, given binary strings $\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha)$ and $\sigma^{k_2}(\beta)$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, \exists an n for which the next i characters of $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha)$ and $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta)$ are both zero.*

Proof. Again, let $j = |k_1 - k_2|$. Find some n_1 in the even integers for which $2^{n_1} > j + i$. Then if we apply σ enough times to $\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha)$ to advance i positions into t_{n_1} or some longer sequence of zeroes, there will still be at least i zeros which the strings will have in common. \square

Theorem 4.8. *If f is strictly turbulent, then \exists a δ -scrambled f -invariant subset of S under f .*

Proof. Let $\delta = \frac{d(I_0, I_1)}{3}$, then $\delta > 0$ because f is strictly turbulent. Then, if two points I_α and I_β are in different intervals, $d(I_\alpha, I_\beta) \geq \delta$. Recall that the I_α is in I_0 if the first entry in the string is 0, else I_α is in I_1

Lemma 4.9. *Given two points $f^{k_1}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2}(I_\beta) \in S$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d(f^{k_1+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k_2+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. By Lemma, there is an n for which the first digit of $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha)$ is not equal to the first digit of $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta)$. We know $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha) = f^{n+k_1}(I_\alpha)$ and $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta) = f^{n+k_2}(I_\beta)$, so we may apply fn times to $f^{k_1}(I_\alpha)$ and $f^{k_2}(I_\beta)$ and find that $d(f^{j+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k+n}(I_\beta)) \geq \delta$. \square

By applying this lemma to arbitrary points and iterates of them, we may see that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d[f^{k+n}(I_\alpha), f^{j+n}(I_\beta)] \geq \delta$.

Lemma 4.10. *Given two points $f^k(I_\alpha), f^j(I_\beta) \in S$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d(f^{j+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k+n}(I_\beta)) \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $j < k$.

Because $I_{000\dots}$ is a point, the sequence of intervals $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ where $a_i = 0 \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ has length converging to zero as n approaches infinity. Then given any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we may find an $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which the length of $I_{a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ is less than $\epsilon \forall n \geq i$. By lemma, pick some $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which the first i terms of $\sigma^{n+k_1}(\alpha)$ are 0 and overlap with the first i terms of $\sigma^{n+k_2}(\beta)$. Then $d(f^{j+n}(I_\alpha), f^{k+n}(I_\beta)) \leq \epsilon$, where $n = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} n_h$. Because ϵ and the starting points were arbitrary, this proves that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s_1), f^n(s_2)]) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.11. $\exists \delta \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall s \in S, z \in I$ s.t. z is periodic,
 $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s), f^n(z)]) \geq \delta$

Proof. Let δ be defined as above. Then pick some periodic point z and some $s = x_\alpha \in S$.

Case 1: The trajectory of z under f never leaves $I_0 \cup I_1$. Then we may encode z 's trajectory between these intervals in a binary sequence. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma$, for which $\gamma = (c_0 c_1 c_2 c_3 \dots)$ such that $f^i(s) \in I_{c_i}$. Because the trajectory of z is periodic, γ must also be periodic. We know that α is not periodic or eventually periodic, so there must some place in the string in which γ and α differ. If we use the σ map to bring these to the front of the strings, we will have the corresponding points in different intervals under the f map. Thus \exists an n for which $d[f^n(s), f^n(z)] > \delta$.

Case 2: The trajectory of z under f leaves $I_0 \cup I_1$ at some point w . Let k be the period of z . By construction of S , there exists an n for which $f^n(s)$ has an arbitrary length string of zeros or ones. If $d(w, I_0) > d(w, I_1)$, then $d(w, I_0) > \delta$, so if we select a high enough n to get an overlapping string of zeros with length greater than k , we can find some n_2 for which $\sigma^{n_2}(\alpha)$ begins with a 0 and $f^{n_2}(z) = w$. Then $d(f^{n_2}(s), f^{n_2}(z)) > \delta$. If $d(w, I_1) > d(w, I_0)$, we make a similar construction with a string of ones.

Because both these points are arbitrary, this proof may be applied countably many times to the iterates of the points under this method, so $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s), f^n(z)]) \geq \delta$. \square

The set is uncountable and f -invariant by construction. \square

5. PERIODIC POINTS IN MAPS WITH f -INVARIANT δ -SCRAMBLED SETS

Finally, we show that maps with f -invariant δ -scrambled sets must have periodic points of period 2^k for every $k > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *If $f : I \rightarrow I$ admits a δ -scrambled f -invariant set $S \subset I$ for some $\delta > 0$, then f^t has a periodic point of period 2 for every $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ admit a δ -scrambled f -invariant set $S \subset I$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Now, let $t \geq 0$ be arbitrary and consider the map f^t . Consider the theorem below that comes from Block and Coppel.

Theorem 5.2. [1] *If f has no periodic point of period 2 then, for every $c \in I$, the trajectory $\{f^k(c)\}$ is bimonotonic and converges to a fixed point of f .*

If f^t does not have a periodic point of period 2, then according to the theorem above from [1], for every $c \in I$ the trajectory $\{f^{kt}(c)\}$ converges to a fixed point of f^t . Now take a point $s \in S$. Since s is obviously in I we can say,

$$f^{kt}(s) \rightarrow z$$

where z is a fixed point of f^t . By continuity,

$$f(f^{kt}(s)) \rightarrow f(z)$$

$$f^2(f^{kt}(s)) \rightarrow f^2(z)$$

\vdots

$$f^n(f^{kt}(s)) \rightarrow f^n(z)$$

Now $f^{kt} = s' \in S$ because S is f -invariant, and notice that z must be a periodic point of f since it is a fixed point of f^t .

$$f^n(s') \rightarrow f^n(z)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(s') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(z)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s'), f^n(z)]) = 0$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d[f^n(s'), f^n(z)]) = 0 \not\geq \delta$$

since $\delta > 0$

This contradicts the final part of the definition of a δ -scrambled set. Therefore, f^t must admit a periodic point of period 2. □

Corollary 5.3. *If f admits an f -invariant δ -scrambled set for some $\delta > 0$, then f has a periodic point of period 2.*

Proposition 5.4. *If $f : I \rightarrow I$ admits a δ -scrambled f -invariant set $S \subset I$ for some $\delta > 0$, then it has periodic points of periods 2^k for every $k > 0$.*

Proof. From Lemma 5.1 above we know that f^t must admit a periodic point of period 2 for every $t > 0$. Let $t = 2^j$ where $j > 0$.

Now consider the below theorem from Block and Coppel.

Theorem 5.5. [1] *If c is a periodic point of f^h with period m , then c is a periodic point of f with period mh/d , where $d|h$ and $\gcd(d, m) = 1$.*

Now using the theorem above, because f^{2^k} has a periodic point of period 2, then f will have a periodic point with period $\frac{2(2^j)}{d}$ where $d|(2^j)$ and $\gcd(d, 2) = 1$. Now because $\gcd(d, 2) = 1$ we know that d must be odd.

We will restrict our search to when $d > 0$ since if $d < 0$ we could simply take $|d|$ as our new d and the above conditions would still hold true.

Now, there is only one odd integer, 1, that divides an integer in the form 2^j . So, $d = 1$ and f must have periodic points of periods $2(2^j)$. Because this works for every $j > 0$, we can say that f must have period points of periods $2(2^j) = 2^{j+1}$ for every $j > 0$. Let $j + 1 = k$. Thus, f has periodic points of periods 2^k for every $k > 1$.

So combining this with Corollary 5.3 from above, f has periodic points of periods 2^k for every $k > 0$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] L. S. Block and W. A. Coppel. *Dynamics in one dimension*, volume 1513 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] Robert L. Devaney. *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*. Addison-Wesley Studies in Nonlinearity. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, second edition, 1989.
- [3] Bau-Sen Du. On the invariance of li-yorke chaos of interval maps. *preprint*, 2004.
- [4] Gwyneth Harrison-Shermoen and Omar Zeid. On the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of f-invariant δ -scrambled sets. *Trinity University Press*, 2004.