

Properties of multilevel block $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulants

William F. Trench*

Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212-7200, USA
Mailing address: 659 Hopkinton Road, Hopkinton, NH 03229 USA

Abstract

In a previous paper we characterized unilevel block α -circulants $A = [A_{s-\alpha r}]_{r,s=0}^{n-1}$, $A_m \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1 \times d_2}$, $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, in terms of the discrete Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_A = \{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}\}$ of $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}\}$, defined by $F_\ell = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} e^{-2\pi i \ell m/n} A_m$. We showed that most theoretical and computational problems concerning A can be conveniently studied in terms of corresponding problems concerning the Fourier coefficients F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1} individually. In this paper we show that analogous results hold for $(k+1)$ -level matrices, where the first k levels have block circulant structure and the entries at the $(k+1)$ -st level are unstructured rectangular matrices.

MSC: 15A09; 15A15; 15A18; 15A99

Keywords: Circulant; Block circulant; Multilevel; Discrete Fourier transform; Moore-Penrose Inverse; Eigenvalue problem;

1 Introduction

We consider $(k+1)$ -level block matrices where the first k levels are circulant with orders $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 2$ and the entries in the $(k+1)$ -st level are arbitrary $d_1 \times d_2$ matrices with $d_1, d_2 \geq 1$. The systematic study of multilevel matrices was initiated by Voevodin and Tyrtyshnikov in the Russian publication [11], and in the English mathematical literature by Tyrtyshnikov [9, 10].

If $p \geq 2$ is an integer, let $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Suppose n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k are integers ≥ 2 and let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}} = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}.$$

We denote members of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}$ by $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$, $\underline{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$, etc.; in particular, $\underline{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\underline{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

*e-mail: wtrench@trinity.edu

Let

$$c(\underline{r}) = \prod_{j=1}^k r_j, \quad \mu_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} n_i, \quad \text{and } v_j = \prod_{i=j+1}^k n_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, \quad \text{with } \mu_1 = v_k = 1. \quad (1)$$

Following Tyrtshnikov, we call members of \mathcal{M}_n multiindices. Henceforth it is understood that multiindices are ordered lexicographically; i.e., $\underline{r} = \underline{s}$ if $r_j = s_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$; $\underline{r} < \underline{s}$ (which we also write as $\underline{s} > \underline{r}$) if $r_1 < s_1$ or $r_j = s_j$, $1 \leq j \leq i < k$ and $r_{i+1} < s_{i+1}$; and $\underline{r} \leq \underline{s}$ if $\underline{r} = \underline{s}$ or $\underline{r} < \underline{s}$. If the members of \mathcal{M}_n are listed in lexicographic order then the position of \underline{r} in the list is

$$\gamma(\underline{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \prod_{i=j+1}^k n_i, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1}.$$

If $(e_{0m}, e_{1m}, \dots, e_{m-1,m})$ is the natural basis for \mathbb{C}^m and

$$e_{\underline{r}} = e_{r_1 n_1} \otimes e_{r_2 n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{r_k n_k}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1},$$

then $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\underline{0}}, \dots, e_{\underline{r}}, \dots, e_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}})$ is a multilevel basis for $\mathbb{C}^{c(\underline{n})}$. For later reference we note that

$$(a) \quad (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) e_{\underline{\ell}} = \delta_{\underline{\ell} \underline{s}} e_{\underline{r}} \quad \text{and} \quad (b) \quad (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{\ell}}^T)(e_{\underline{m}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) = \delta_{\underline{\ell} \underline{m}} e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T. \quad (2)$$

If d_1 and d_2 are positive integers then arbitrary vectors $x \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2 c(\underline{n})}$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1 c(\underline{n})}$ can be written uniquely as

$$x = \sum_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} (e_{\underline{s}} \otimes x_{\underline{s}}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\underline{0}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\underline{r}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } x_{\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1},$$

and

$$y = \sum_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} (e_{\underline{s}} \otimes y_{\underline{s}}) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{\underline{0}} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\underline{r}} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } y_{\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1}.$$

Henceforth we denote the sets of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{c(\underline{n})d_2}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{c(\underline{n})d_1}$ written in these forms as $\mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_2}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1}$, respectively. A linear transformation $L : \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1}$ can be written uniquely as $y = Hx$, where

$$H = \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} = [H_{\underline{r}\underline{s}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} \quad \text{with } H_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1 \times d_2}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1}; \quad (3)$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= Hx = \left(\sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} \right) \left(\sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} e_{\underline{\ell}} \otimes x_{\underline{\ell}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}, \underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) e_{\underline{\ell}} \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} x_{\underline{\ell}} = \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} e_{\underline{r}} \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} x_{\underline{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

$0 \leq \underline{r} \leq \underline{n}-1$, from (2)(a). We will denote the set of matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{c(\underline{n})d_1 \times c(\underline{n})d_2}$ written in the form (3) by $\mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_2}$.

The usual rule for matrix multiplication applies; i.e., if H is as in (3) and

$$G = \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} = [G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \text{ with } G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2 \times d_3}, \quad 0 \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-1,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} HG &= \left(\sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{\ell}}^T) \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{\ell}} \right) \left(\sum_{\underline{m}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{m}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes G_{\underline{m}\underline{s}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{\ell}, \underline{m}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} [(e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{\ell}}^T)(e_{\underline{m}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T)] \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{m}\underline{s}} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{\ell}, \underline{m}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \delta_{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes H_{\underline{r}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{m}\underline{s}} \quad \text{by (2)(b)} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes \left(\sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} H_{\underline{r}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\ell}\underline{s}} \right) = \sum_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (e_{\underline{r}} \otimes e_{\underline{s}}^T) \otimes K_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} = [K_{\underline{r}\underline{s}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} H_{\underline{r}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\ell}\underline{s}}, \quad 0 \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-1.$$

In this paper we consider multilevel block $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulants

$$A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \text{ where } \underline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}} \text{ and } A_{\underline{m}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1 \times d_2}, \quad 0 \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-1.$$

Multilevel $\underline{1}$ -circulants $[A_{\underline{s}-\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$ have important applications in preconditioning of multilevel and multilevel block Toeplitz matrices $T = [T_{\underline{s}-\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$; see, e.g., [3]–[7], a very incomplete list. We are not aware of any published results on multilevel $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulants with $\underline{\alpha} > \underline{1}$.

The proofs of some of our results are similar to results obtained in [8] for unilevel block circulants. Nevertheless, we include complete proofs here since we believe that simply referring to [8] would impede the presentation here and would not be convincing in the multilevel setting.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the rest of this paper all arithmetic operations and relations involving multi-indices are entrywise and modulo \underline{n} , i.e., $\underline{r} \equiv \underline{s} \pmod{\underline{n}}$, $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{q}$ and $\underline{p} = \underline{\alpha}/\underline{q}$ mean that

$$r_j \equiv s_j \pmod{n_j}, \quad \gcd(\alpha_j, n_j) = q_j, \quad \text{and} \quad p_j = \alpha_j/q_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

respectively. Also,

$$\underline{r} + \underline{s} = (r_1 + s_1 \pmod{n_1}, r_2 + s_2 \pmod{n_2}, \dots, r_k + s_k \pmod{n_k})$$

and

$$\underline{r}\underline{s} = (r_1 s_1 \pmod{n_1}, r_2 s_2 \pmod{n_2}, \dots, r_k s_k \pmod{n_k}).$$

We denote

$$\zeta_j = e^{-2\pi i/n_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, \quad \zeta^{\underline{s}} = \zeta_1^{s_1} \zeta_2^{s_2} \cdots \zeta_k^{s_k}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1},$$

and

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c(\underline{n})}} [\zeta^{\underline{r}\underline{s}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} = [\phi_{\underline{0}} \quad \cdots \quad \phi_{\underline{s}} \quad \cdots \quad \phi_{\underline{n}}],$$

with

$$\phi_{\underline{s}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c(\underline{n})}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{r}\underline{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{(\underline{n}-\underline{1})\underline{s}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1}. \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$\phi_{\underline{s}} = \psi_{s_1,1} \otimes \psi_{s_2,2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{s_k,k},$$

where

$$\psi_{s_j,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_j}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \zeta_j^{s_j} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta_j^{(n_j-1)s_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq s_j \leq n_j-1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k;$$

hence,

$$\phi_{\underline{s}}^* \phi_{\underline{r}} = \delta_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} =_{\text{Def}} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{r} = \underline{s}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \underline{r} \neq \underline{s}, \end{cases} \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1}. \quad (5)$$

Now let $E_j = [\delta_{r_j, s_j-1}]_{r_j, s_j=0}^{n_j-1}$, $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$E = E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_k = [\delta_{\underline{r}\underline{s}-\underline{1}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$E^{\underline{u}} = E_1^{u_1} \otimes E_2^{u_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_k^{u_k} = [\delta_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}-\underline{u}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}. \quad (7)$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$(E^{\underline{u}} \otimes I_{d_2}) \begin{bmatrix} x_{\underline{0}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\underline{r}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\underline{n}-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\underline{u}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\underline{r}+\underline{u}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\underline{n}-1+\underline{u}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } x \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_2} \quad (8)$$

and

$$(E^{\underline{u}} \otimes I_{d_1}) \left([B_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \right) (E^{-\underline{v}} \otimes I_{d_2}) = [B_{\underline{r}+\underline{u}, \underline{s}+\underline{v}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \text{ if } B \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_2}. \quad (9)$$

From (4), (6), and (8) with $\underline{u} = \underline{1}$,

$$E\phi_{\underline{s}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c(\underline{n})}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^{\underline{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{(\underline{r}+\underline{1})\underline{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{(\underline{n}-\underline{1})\underline{s}} \end{bmatrix} = \zeta^{\underline{s}} \phi_{\underline{s}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (10)$$

Hence

$$E\Phi = \Phi D \quad \text{with } D = \text{diag}\left(1, \dots, \zeta^{\underline{s}}, \dots, \zeta^{(\underline{n}-\underline{1})\underline{s}}\right), \quad \text{so } E = \Phi D \Phi^*.$$

Now let

$$R = E \otimes I_{d_1}, \quad S = E \otimes I_{d_2}, \quad (11)$$

$$P_{\underline{s}} = \phi_{\underline{s}} \otimes I_{d_1}, \quad Q_{\underline{s}} = \phi_{\underline{s}} \otimes I_{d_2}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (12)$$

From (5),

$$P_{\underline{r}}^* P_{\underline{s}} = \delta_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}} I_{\underline{n}:d_1} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{\underline{r}}^* Q_{\underline{s}} = \delta_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}} I_{\underline{n}:d_2}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (13)$$

From (10) and (11),

$$R P_{\underline{s}} = \zeta^{\underline{s}} P_{\underline{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad S Q_{\underline{s}} = \zeta^{\underline{s}} Q_{\underline{s}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (14)$$

Also, let

$$P = [P_{\underline{0}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{s}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{n}-1}], \quad Q = [Q_{\underline{0}} \quad \cdots \quad Q_{\underline{s}} \quad \cdots \quad Q_{\underline{n}-1}], \quad (15)$$

and

$$U_{\underline{\alpha}} = [P_{\underline{0}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{s}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{\alpha}(\underline{n}-1)}]. \quad (16)$$

From (13), P and Q are unitary. If $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$ the mapping $\underline{s} \rightarrow \underline{\alpha}\underline{s}$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}$, so $U_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is unitary. However, if $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{q} > \underline{1}$ then the first $c(\underline{p})$

block columns $P_{\underline{0}}, \dots, P_{\underline{\alpha}}, \dots, P_{\underline{(p-1)}}$ of $U_{\underline{\alpha}}$ are repeated $c(\underline{q})$ times, so $U_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is not invertible.

From (14) and (15),

$$R = PD_R P^* \quad \text{and} \quad S = QD_S Q^*$$

where

$$D_R = \bigoplus_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{r}} I_{d_1} \quad \text{and} \quad D_S = \bigoplus_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{s}} I_{d_2}.$$

3 The Ablow-Brenner theorem for multilevel block circulants

Ablow and Brenner [1] showed that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a standard α -circulant $A = [a_{s-\alpha r}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if and only if

$$([\delta_{r,s-1}]_{r,s=0}^{n-1}) A ([\delta_{r,s-1}]_{r,s=0}^{n-1})^{-\alpha} = A.$$

This was generalized to characterize unilevel block circulants in [8, Theorem 1]. Here we generalize it to multilevel block circulants.

Theorem 1 *If $A = [G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}}]_{\underline{r},\underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-1}$ with $G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1 \times d_2}$ then $RAS^{-\alpha} = A$ (see (11)) if and only if A is an $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulant; more precisely, if and only if*

$$G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}} = A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}, \quad (17)$$

with

$$A_{\underline{s}} = G_{\underline{0}\underline{s}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (18)$$

PROOF. From (9) and (11), $RAS^{-\alpha} = [G_{\underline{r}+\underline{1},\underline{s}+\underline{\alpha}}]_{\underline{r},\underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-1}$. Therefore we must show that (17) is equivalent to

$$G_{\underline{r}+\underline{1},\underline{s}+\underline{\alpha}} = G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (19)$$

If (17) is true then

$$G_{\underline{r}+\underline{1},\underline{s}+\underline{\alpha}} = A_{(\underline{s}+\underline{\alpha})-(\underline{r}+\underline{1})\underline{\alpha}} = A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}} = G_{\underline{r}\underline{s}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{r}, \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}.$$

For the converse we consider blocks at each level independently. Insofar as they involve level p , (17)–(19) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\dots, (r_p, s_p), \dots} &= A_{\dots, (s_p - \alpha_p, r_p), \dots} \quad 0 \leq r_p, s_p \leq n_p - 1, \\ A_{\dots, (s_p), \dots} &= G_{\dots, (0, s_p), \dots} \quad 0 \leq s_p \leq n_p - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and

$$G_{\dots, (r_p+1, s_p+\alpha_p), \dots} = G_{\dots, (r_p, s_p), \dots} \quad 0 \leq r_p, s_p \leq n_p - 1. \quad (21)$$

Now suppose (20) and (21) hold and

$$G_{\dots, (r_p, s_p), \dots} = A_{\dots, (s_p - \alpha_p r_p), \dots} \quad 0 \leq s_p \leq n_p - 1, \quad (22)$$

for some $r_p < n_{p-1}$. Replacing s_p by $s_p - \alpha_p$ in (21) and (22) yields

$$G_{\dots, (r_p+1, s_p), \dots} = G_{\dots, (r_p, s_p - \alpha_p), \dots} \quad 0 \leq r_p, s_p \leq n_p - 1,$$

and

$$G_{\dots, (r_p, s_p - \alpha_p), \dots} = A_{\dots, (s_p - \alpha_p(r_p+1)), \dots} \quad 0 \leq s \leq n_p - 1.$$

Therefore

$$G_{\dots, (r_p+1, s_p), \dots} = A_{\dots, (s_p - \alpha_p(r_p+1)), \dots} \quad 0 \leq s \leq n_p - 1,$$

which is (22) with r_p replaced by $r_p + 1$. \square

Remark 1 From (7), (11), and (12),

$$R^{\underline{u}} = \mathbf{R}_1^{u_1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_2^{u_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{u_k} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{\underline{v}} = \mathbf{S}_1^{v_1} \otimes \mathbf{S}_2^{v_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{S}_k^{v_k},$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_j = I_{\mu_j} \otimes E_{n_j} \otimes I_{v_j d_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}_j = I_{\mu_j} \otimes E_{n_j} \otimes I_{v_j d_2}.$$

(See (1)). Then, for example,

$$RAS^{-\underline{\alpha}} = A \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbf{R}_j A \mathbf{S}_j^{-\alpha_j} = A, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Theorem 2 *If*

$$A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_2} \quad \text{and} \quad B = [B_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_2}$$

then (i) $AB^* = [C_{\underline{s}-\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_1}$ with

$$C_{\underline{m}} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} A_{\underline{\ell}} B_{\underline{\ell}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{m}}^*, \quad 0 \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-1. \quad (23)$$

(ii) *If* $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = 1$ then $B^*A = [D_{\underline{s}-\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_2 \times d_2}$ with

$$D_{\underline{m}} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} B_{\underline{\ell}}^* A_{\underline{m}+\underline{\ell}}, \quad 0 \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-1. \quad (24)$$

PROOF. (i) From Theorem 1, $A = RAS^{-\underline{\alpha}}$ and $B = RBS^{-\underline{\alpha}}$. Therefore $AB^* = RAB^*R^{-1}$, so Theorem 1 with $R = S$ implies that AB^* is a $\underline{1}$ -circulant. Computing the first block row ($\underline{r} = 0$) of AB^* yields (23).

(ii) Also, $B^*A = S^{\underline{\alpha}}B^*AS^{-\underline{\alpha}}$, so

$$\mathbf{S}_j^{\alpha_j} B^* A \mathbf{S}_j^{-\alpha_j} = B^* A, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Applying this equality β_j times where $\alpha_j \beta_j \equiv 1 \pmod{n_j}$ yields

$$\mathbf{S}_j B A \mathbf{S}_j^{-1} = B^* A, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Now Theorem 1 and Remark 1 with $R = S$ imply that $B^* A$ is a $\underline{1}$ -circulant. Computing the first block row of $B^* A$ yields $D_{\underline{m}} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} B_{-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^* A_{\underline{m}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}$. Since $\gcd(\underline{n}, \underline{k}) = \underline{1}$, $\underline{\ell} \rightarrow -\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}$, so we can replace $\underline{\ell}$ by $-\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}$ in the last sum to obtain (24). \square

Theorem 3 *If*

$$A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_2} \quad \text{and} \quad B = [B_{\underline{s}-\underline{\beta}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_2 \times d_3} \quad (25)$$

then

$$AB = [C_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d_1 \times d_3}, \quad (26)$$

with

$$C_{\underline{m}} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} A_{\underline{\ell}} B_{\underline{m}-\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-1. \quad (27)$$

PROOF. Let $R = E \otimes I_{d_1}$, $S = E \otimes I_{d_2}$, and $T = E \otimes I_{d_3}$. (See (6)). From (25) and Theorem 1,

$$(a) \quad A = R A S^{-\underline{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad (b) \quad B = S B T^{-\underline{\beta}}.$$

Now write

$$T^{\underline{\beta}} = \mathbf{T}_1^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathbf{T}_2^{\beta_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{T}_k^{\beta_k} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{T}_j = I_j \otimes E_{n_j} \otimes I_{v_j d_3}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

From (b) $\mathbf{S}_j B \mathbf{T}_j^{-\beta_j} = B$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Applying this equality α_j times yields $\mathbf{S}_j^{\alpha_j} B \mathbf{T}_j^{-\alpha_j \beta_j} = B$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore $S^{\underline{\alpha}} B T^{-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} = B$, by Remark 1. From this and (a), $R(AB)S^{-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} = AB$. Now Theorem 1 implies (26) with (27) obtained by computing the entries in the first block row of AB . \square

4 A dft characterization of multilevel $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulants

Let $\{F_{\underline{\ell}} \mid \underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ and $\{A_{\underline{m}} \mid \underline{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{d_1 \times d_2}$ be related by

$$(a) \quad F_{\underline{\ell}} = \sum_{\underline{m}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} A_{\underline{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad (b) \quad A_{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{-\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} F_{\underline{\ell}}, \quad (28)$$

which are equivalent, since $\sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} = c(\underline{n})\delta_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{0}}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} F_{\underline{\ell}}. \quad (29)$$

The set $\{F_{\underline{\ell}} \mid \underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ is the discrete Fourier transform (dft) of the set $\{A_{\underline{m}} \mid \underline{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$.

Theorem 4 A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times d_1 \times d_2}$ is an $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulant $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$ if and only if

$$A = U_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} Q^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} Q_{\underline{\ell}}^* \quad (30)$$

(see (15) and (16)), where $\{A_{\underline{m}} \mid \underline{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ and $\{F_{\underline{\ell}} \mid \underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ are related as in (28).

PROOF. Suppose $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$. From (28),

$$A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r} = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{-\underline{\ell}(\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r)} F_{\underline{\ell}}.$$

Hence

$$A = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes I_{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}r\alpha} \otimes I_{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}(\underline{n}-1)\alpha} \otimes I_{d_1} \end{bmatrix} F_{\underline{\ell}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes I_{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}s} \otimes I_{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}(\underline{n}-1)} \otimes I_{d_2} \end{bmatrix}^H,$$

so (4), (12) and (15) imply (30). Conversely, suppose (30) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} RAS^{-\underline{\alpha}} &= \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (RP_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}) F_{\underline{\ell}} (SQ_{\underline{\ell}})^{-\underline{\alpha}} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} (\zeta^{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}) F_{\underline{\ell}} (\zeta^{-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} Q_{\underline{\ell}}^*) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} Q_{\underline{\ell}}^* = A, \end{aligned}$$

where (14) implies the second equality. Now Theorem 1 implies that A is an $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulant $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$, and the argument given in the first half of this proof implies that $\{A_{\underline{m}} \mid \underline{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ is as defined by (28). \square

The following theorem provides a representation of A that reduces to (30) if $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$, but is more useful if $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) > \underline{1}$.

Theorem 5 Suppose $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{q}$ and $\underline{p} = \underline{n}/\underline{q}$. Let

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} = [Q_{\underline{\ell}} \quad \cdots \quad Q_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{v}p} \quad \cdots \quad Q_{\underline{\ell}+(\underline{q}-1)p}], \quad 0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{p}-1, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\underline{\alpha}} = [\mathbf{Q}_{\underline{0}, \underline{\alpha}} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\underline{p}-1, \underline{\alpha}}], \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\underline{\alpha}} = [P_{\underline{0}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{(\underline{p}-1)\underline{\alpha}}], \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} = [F_{\underline{\ell}} \quad \cdots \quad F_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{v}p} \quad \cdots \quad F_{\underline{\ell}+(\underline{q}-1)p}], \quad 0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{p}-1, \quad (34)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \mathbf{F}_{\ell,\alpha}. \quad (35)$$

Then \mathcal{Q}_α is unitary since its columns are simply a rearrangement of the columns of Q ,

$$V_\alpha^* V_\alpha = I_{c(p)d_1}, \quad (36)$$

and (30) can be rewritten as

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} P_{\alpha\ell} \mathbf{F}_{\ell,\alpha} Q_{\ell,\alpha}^* = \mathcal{V} \mathcal{F}_\alpha Q_\alpha^*. \quad (37)$$

PROOF. Since $\alpha r = \alpha s$ with $0 \leq r, s \leq p-1$ if and only if $r = s$, (13) implies (36). Since every $\underline{s} \in \mathcal{M}_n$ can be written uniquely as $\underline{s} = \underline{\ell} + \underline{\nu} p$ with $0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq \underline{\nu} \leq q-1$, the second equality in (30) can be written as

$$A = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\underline{\nu}=0}^{q-1} P_{\alpha(\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p)} F_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p} Q_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{p-1} P_{\alpha\ell} \sum_{\underline{\nu}=0}^{q-1} F_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p} Q_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p}^*, \quad (38)$$

where the second equality here is valid because $p\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Therefore the first equality in (37) is valid because

$$\mathbf{F}_{\ell,\alpha} Q_{\ell,\alpha}^* = \sum_{\underline{\nu}=0}^{q-1} F_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p} Q_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p}^*, \quad 0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq p-1.$$

Now (32)–(34) imply the second equality in (37). \square

5 Solution of $Az = w$ and the least squares problem

In this section $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\alpha r}]_{r,\underline{s}=0}^{n-1}$. If $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n};d_2}$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n};d_1}$ we write

$$z = \sum_{\underline{s}=0}^{n-1} Q_{\underline{s}} u_{\underline{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \sum_{\underline{s}=0}^{n-1} P_{\underline{s}} v_{\underline{s}} \quad \text{with} \quad u_{\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\underline{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \quad (39)$$

(see (15)), $0 \leq \underline{s} \leq n-1$.

Theorem 6 *If $\gcd(\alpha, n) = 1$ then*

$$\|Az - w\|^2 = \sum_{\underline{s}=0}^{n-1} \|F_{\underline{s}} u_{\underline{s}} - v_{\alpha \underline{s}}\|^2 \quad (40)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Frobenius norm. Therefore the least squares problem for the $c(n)d_1 \times c(n)d_2$ matrix A reduces to $c(n)$ independent least squares problems for the $d_1 \times d_2$ matrices $F_{\underline{s}}$, $0 \leq \underline{s} \leq n-1$. Also,

$$Az = w \quad \text{if and only if} \quad F_{\underline{s}} u_{\underline{s}} = v_{\alpha \underline{s}}, \quad 0 \leq \underline{s} \leq n-1. \quad (41)$$

PROOF. From (30) and (39),

$$\begin{aligned}
Az - w &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_{\alpha s} F_s u_s - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_s v_s = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_{\alpha s} F_s u_s - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_{\alpha s} v_{\alpha s} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_{\alpha s} (F_s u_s - v_{\alpha s}), \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is valid because

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_s v_s = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P_{\alpha s} v_{\alpha s},$$

since $\gcd(\alpha, n) = 1$. Since $P_{\alpha r}^* P_{\alpha s} = \delta_{r s} J_{n:d_1}$ (again, because $\gcd(\alpha, n) = 1$), (42) implies (40), which implies (41) \square

Theorem 7 Suppose $\gcd(\alpha, n) = q$ and $p = n/q$. Then $Az = w$ has no solution unless

$$w = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} P_{\alpha \ell} v_{\alpha \ell}, \tag{43}$$

in which case z is a solution if and only if $z = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} Q_s u_s$, where

$$\sum_{v=0}^{q-1} F_{\ell+vp} u_{\ell+vp} = v_{\alpha \ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1. \tag{44}$$

PROOF. From (38) and (39),

$$Az = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} P_{\alpha \ell} \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} F_{\ell+vp} u_{\ell+vp}.$$

Since $\{\alpha \ell \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq p-1\}$ is a set of distinct multiindices, (13) implies that $P_{\alpha \ell}^* P_{\alpha m} = \delta_{\ell m}$, $0 \leq \ell, m \leq p-1$. This and (41) imply that $Az = w$ has no solution unless (43) holds for some $v_0, \dots, v_{\alpha \ell}, \dots, v_{\alpha(p-1)}$, in which case $z = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F_s u_s$ is a solution if and only if (44) holds. \square

6 Commutativity

The following theorem generalizes the well known commutativity property of 1-circulants $[a_{s-r}]_{r,s=0}^{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

Theorem 8 Suppose $d_1 = d_2$, $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$, and $\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta} \equiv \underline{1} \pmod{\underline{n}}$. Let $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$, $B = [B_{\underline{s}-\underline{\beta}\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$,

$$F_{\underline{\ell}} = \sum_{\underline{m}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} A_{\underline{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\underline{\ell}} = \sum_{\underline{m}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} B_{\underline{m}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}.$$

Then $AB = BA$ if and only if

$$F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\ell}} = G_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}.$$

PROOF. Since $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \gcd(\underline{\beta}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$, we may change summation indices $\underline{\ell} \rightarrow \underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}$ and $\underline{\ell} \rightarrow \underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}$. Therefore, from Theorem 4 with $Q = P$,

$$A = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^*, \quad B = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^*,$$

$$AB = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^*, \quad \text{and} \quad BA = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^*,$$

which implies the conclusion. \square

7 The Moore-Penrose inverse of an $\underline{\alpha}$ -circulant

In this section $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\underline{m}} \mid \underline{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\underline{\ell}} \mid \underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ are related as (28).

Recall that the Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix $G \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times s}$ is the unique matrix $G^\dagger \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times r}$ that satisfies the Penrose conditions

$$(GG^\dagger)^* = GG^\dagger, \quad (G^\dagger G)^* = G^\dagger G, \quad GG^\dagger G = G \quad \text{and} \quad G^\dagger GG^\dagger = G^\dagger.$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 Suppose $L \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times p}$, $M \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times q}$, $L^*L = I_r$, $M^*M = I_s$, $G = LCM^*$, and $H = MC^\dagger L^*$. Then $H = G^\dagger$.

PROOF. (i) The following computations are straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} GH &= LCC^\dagger L^* = L(CC^\dagger)^* L = (GH)^*, \\ HG &= MC^\dagger CM^* = M(C^\dagger C)^* M^* = (HG)^*, \\ GHG &= LCC^\dagger CM^* = LCM^* = G \end{aligned}$$

and

$$HGH = MG^\dagger GG^\dagger L^* = MG^\dagger L^* = H,$$

so G and H satisfy the Penrose conditions. \square

For clarity we first consider the case where $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$.

Theorem 9 If $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r}]_{r,s=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$ and $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$, then

$$A^\dagger = [B_{r-\underline{\alpha}s}]_{r,s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \quad \text{with} \quad B_{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^\dagger, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (45)$$

PROOF. From Theorem 4, $A = U_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} P^*$ (see (15), (16), and (29)), which is written in expanded form in (30). As noted following (16), $U_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is unitary because $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$. Since P is unitary in any case, Lemma 1 with $L = U_{\underline{\alpha}}$, $M = P$, and $C = \mathcal{F}_A$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A^\dagger &= P \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}^\dagger U_{\underline{\alpha}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^\dagger P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} \\ &= \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes I_{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}r} \otimes I_{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}(\underline{n}-1)} \otimes I_{d_2} \end{bmatrix} F_{\underline{\ell}}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes I_{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{\alpha}s} \otimes I_{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{\alpha}(\underline{n}-1)} \otimes I_{d_1} \end{bmatrix}^H \\ &= \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \left[\sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}(r-\underline{\alpha}s)} F_{\underline{\ell}}^\dagger \right]_{r,s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} = [B_{r-\underline{\alpha}s}]_{r,s=0}^{\underline{n}-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

from (45).

Theorem 10 Let $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}r}]_{r,s=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$, $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{q}$, and $\underline{p} = \underline{k}/\underline{q}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}}$ be as in (34) and partition $\mathbf{F}_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}}^\dagger$ as

$$\mathbf{F}_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}}^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} G_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}} \\ \vdots \\ G_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{v}p,\underline{\alpha}} \\ \vdots \\ G_{\underline{\ell}+(\underline{q}-1)p,\underline{\alpha}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{p}-\underline{1}, \quad (47)$$

where $G_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2 \times d_1}$, $\underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}$. Then

$$A^\dagger = [B_{r-\underline{\alpha}s}]_{r,s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \quad \text{with} \quad B_{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} G_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-\underline{1}. \quad (48)$$

PROOF. From Theorem 5 (specifically, (37)), $A = \mathcal{V}_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\alpha}}^*$. Recalling (36), Lemma 1 with $L = \mathcal{V}_{\underline{\alpha}}$, $M = \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\alpha}}$, and $C = \mathcal{F}_{\underline{\alpha}}$ implies that

$$A^\dagger = \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{\alpha}}^\dagger \mathcal{V}_{\underline{\alpha}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{p}-1} \mathbf{Q}_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}} \mathbf{F}_{\underline{\ell},\underline{\alpha}}^\dagger P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{p}-1} \left(\sum_{\underline{v}=0}^{\underline{q}-1} Q_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{v}p} G_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{v}p,\underline{\alpha}} \right) P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^*,$$

where the second equality follows from (32), (33), and (35) and the third equality follows from (31) and (47). Since $P_{\underline{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p)} = P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}$, $0 \leq \underline{\nu} \leq \underline{q}-1$, we can now write

$$A^\dagger = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{p}-1} \sum_{\underline{\nu}=0}^{\underline{q}-1} Q_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p} G_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p, \underline{\alpha}} P_{\underline{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p)}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} Q_{\underline{\ell}} G_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^*.$$

Now (4) and (12) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} A^\dagger &= \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes I_{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}r} \otimes I_{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}(\underline{n}-1)} \otimes I_{d_2} \end{bmatrix} G_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes I_{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{\alpha}s} \otimes I_{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{\alpha}(\underline{n}-1)} \otimes I_{d_1} \end{bmatrix}^H \\ &= \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \left[\sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}(r-\underline{\alpha}s)} G_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \right]_{r, s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} = [B_{r-\underline{\alpha}s}]_{r, s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \end{aligned}$$

with $B_0, \dots, B_m, \dots, B_{\underline{n}-1}$ as in (48). \square

Remark 2 If $A = [a_{s-\underline{\alpha}r}]_{r, s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n} \times 1}$ then (28) and (34) reduce to

$$f_{\underline{\ell}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\underline{n}-1} a_m \zeta^{\underline{\ell}m} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} = [f_{\underline{\ell}} \quad f_{\underline{\ell}+p} \quad \dots \quad f_{\underline{\ell}+(\underline{q}-1)p}], \quad 0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{p}-1.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}}\|^2} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{f_{\underline{\ell}}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{f_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{f_{\underline{\ell}+(\underline{q}-1)p}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}}^\dagger = 0 \quad \text{if } \mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} = 0,$$

it follows that

$$g_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p, \underline{\alpha}} = \begin{cases} \overline{f_{\underline{\ell}+\underline{\nu}p}} / |\mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}}|^2 & \text{if } \mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{f}_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} = 0, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{p}-1, \quad 0 \leq \underline{\nu} \leq \underline{q}-1.$$

Hence

$$A^\dagger = [b_{r-\underline{\alpha}s}]_{r, s=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \quad \text{where} \quad b_m = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} g_{\underline{\ell}, \underline{\alpha}} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}m}.$$

8 The case where $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$ and $d_1 = d_2$

In this section we assume that $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$ and $d_1 = d_2 = d$, so (30) becomes

$$A = U_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} P^*. \quad (49)$$

Theorem 11 $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$ is invertible if and only if $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$ and $F_{\underline{0}}, \dots, F_{\underline{m}}, \dots, F_{\underline{n}-1}$ are all invertible, in which case

$$A^{-1} = [B_{\underline{\ell}-\underline{\alpha}\underline{s}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \quad \text{with} \quad B_{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{c(\underline{n})} \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \zeta^{\underline{\ell}\underline{m}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^{-1}, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{m} \leq \underline{n}-1, \quad (50)$$

and the solution of $Az = w$ is $z = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^{-1} v_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}$.

PROOF. If A is invertible then $U_{\underline{\alpha}}$ must be invertible, which is true if and only if $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$. Hence this is a necessary condition for A to be invertible. If $\gcd(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{n}) = \underline{1}$ then (41) implies that A is invertible if and only if $F_{\underline{0}}, \dots, F_{\underline{s}}, \dots, F_{\underline{n}-1}$ are all invertible or, equivalently, $F_{\underline{s}}^{\dagger} = F_{\underline{s}}^{-1}$, $\underline{0} \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n}-1$. Now Theorem 9 implies (50) which, with (39) and (41), implies the final conclusion. \square

Theorem 12 Suppose A is as in (49) and $\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta} \equiv \underline{1} \pmod{\underline{n}}$. Then:

- (i) A is Hermitian if and only if $P_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^* = P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}, \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n}-1$
- (ii) A is normal if and only if $F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^* = F_{\underline{\ell}}^* F_{\underline{\ell}}, \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n}-1$
- (iii) A is EP (i.e., $A^{\dagger}A = AA^{\dagger}$) if and only if $F_{\underline{\ell}}^{\dagger} F_{\underline{\ell}} = F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^{\dagger}, \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n}-1$.

PROOF. From (49) and (46) with $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{1}$,

$$A = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^*, \quad A^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^* P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^*, \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\dagger} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^{\dagger} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^*. \quad (51)$$

(i) Since $\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta} \equiv 1 \pmod{\underline{n}}$, replacing $\underline{\ell}$ by $\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}$ in the second sum in (51) yields $A^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^* P_{\underline{\ell}}^*$, and comparing this with the first sum in (51) yields (i).

(ii) From (51),

$$AA^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^* P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^* P_{\underline{\ell}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad A^*A = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^* F_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^*,$$

which implies (ii).

(iii) From (51),

$$AA^{\dagger} = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^{\dagger} P_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\ell}}^* = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^{\dagger} P_{\underline{\ell}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\dagger}A = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\ell}}^{\dagger} F_{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}^*,$$

which implies (iii). \square

Remark 3 If A is a square matrix and there is a matrix B such that $ABA = A$, $BAB = B$, and $AB = BA$, then B is unique and is called the group inverse of A , denoted by $B = A^\#$. Theorem 12(iii) implies that $A^\dagger = A^\#$ if and only if $F_{\underline{\ell}}^\dagger F_{\underline{\ell}} = F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}} F_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\ell}}^\dagger$, $0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n} - 1$.

9 The eigenvalue problem with $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{1}$

Here we assume that $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{1}$ and $d_1 = d_2 = d$, so (6) and (12) reduce to

$$R = S = E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_k \otimes I_d = ([\delta_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}-1}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}) \otimes I_d,$$

$$P_{\underline{s}} = Q_{\underline{s}} = \phi_{\underline{s}} \otimes I_d, \quad 0 \leq \underline{s} \leq \underline{n} - 1,$$

and (30) reduces to

$$A = P \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} P^* = \sum_{\underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{s}} F_{\underline{s}} P_{\underline{s}}^*.$$

The following theorem and its proof are motivated by [2, Theorem 2].

Theorem 13 *Let*

$$\mathcal{S}_R = \bigcup_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d} \mid Rz = \zeta^{\underline{\ell}} z \right\}.$$

If λ is an eigenvalue of A let $\mathcal{E}_A(\lambda)$ be the λ -eigenspace of A ; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}_A(\lambda) = \{ z \mid Az = \lambda z \}.$$

Then:

(i) *If λ is an eigenvalue of $A = [A_{\underline{s}-\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=0}^{\underline{n}-1}$ then $\mathcal{E}_A(\lambda)$ has a basis in \mathcal{S}_R .*

(ii) *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d \times d}$ and has $c(\underline{n})d$ linearly independent eigenvectors in \mathcal{S}_R , then A is a $\underline{1}$ -circulant.*

PROOF. (i) From (41) with $w = \lambda z$ and $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{1}$, $z = \sum_{\underline{\ell}=0}^{\underline{n}-1} P_{\underline{\ell}} u_{\underline{\ell}} \in \mathcal{E}_A(\lambda)$ if and only if $F_{\underline{\ell}} u_{\underline{\ell}} = \lambda u_{\underline{\ell}}$, $0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n} - 1$. Therefore λ is an eigenvalue of A if and only if it is an eigenvalue of $F_{\underline{\ell}}$ for some $\underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}$. Let \mathcal{T}_λ be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}$ for which this is true. Then $\mathcal{E}_A(\lambda)$ consists of linear combinations of the vectors of the form $P_{\underline{\ell}} u_{\underline{\ell}}$ with $\underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ and $(\lambda, u_{\underline{\ell}})$ an eigenpair of $F_{\underline{\ell}}$. Since $RP_{\underline{\ell}} = \zeta^{\underline{\ell}} P_{\underline{\ell}}$ (see (6)), this completes the proof of (i).

(ii) From Theorem 1 with $R = S$ and $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{1}$, we must show that $RA = AR$. If $Az = \lambda z$ and $Rz = \zeta^{\underline{s}} z$ then $RAz = \lambda Rz = \lambda \zeta^{\underline{s}} z$ and $ARz = \zeta^{\underline{s}} Az = \zeta^{\underline{s}} \lambda z$. Hence $ARz = RAz$ for all z in a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{\underline{n}:d}$, so $AR = RA$. \square

Theorem 14 *Suppose $\{F_{\underline{\ell}} \mid \underline{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ and $\{A_{\underline{m}} \mid \underline{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{n}}\}$ are related as in (28), and $F_{\underline{\ell}} = \Psi_{\underline{\ell}} J_{\underline{\ell}} \Psi_{\underline{\ell}}^{-1}$ is the Jordan canonical form of $F_{\underline{\ell}}$, $0 \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n} - 1$. Let*

$$\Gamma = [P_{\underline{0}} \Psi_{\underline{0}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{\ell}} \Psi_{\underline{\ell}} \quad \cdots \quad P_{\underline{n}} \Psi_{\underline{n}}].$$

Then

$$[A_{\underline{s}-\underline{r}}]_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} = \Gamma \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\ell}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} J_{\underline{\ell}} \right) \Gamma^{-1}.$$

In particular, suppose that $F_{\underline{0}}, \dots, F_{\underline{\ell}}, \dots, F_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}}$ are all diagonalizable with spectral decompositions

$$F_{\underline{\ell}} = T_{\underline{\ell}} D_{\underline{\ell}} T_{\underline{\ell}}^*, \quad \underline{0} \leq \underline{\ell} \leq \underline{n} - \underline{1},$$

and

$$\Delta = [P_{\underline{0}} D_{\underline{0}} \quad \dots \quad P_{\underline{\ell}} D_{\underline{\ell}} \quad \dots \quad P_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} D_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}}].$$

Then

$$A = \Delta \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\ell}=\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}-\underline{1}} D_{\underline{\ell}} \right) \Delta^{-1}.$$

References

- [1] C. M. Ablow, J. L. Brenner, Roots and canonical forms for circulant matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1963) 360–376.
- [2] A. L. Andrew, Eigenvectors of certain matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 7 (1973) 151–162.
- [3] V. Olshevsky, I. Oseledets and E. Tyrtyshnikov, Tensor properties of multilevel Toeplitz and related matrices, Lin. Alg. Appl. 412 (2006) 1–21
- [4] S. Serra Capizzano, A Korovkin-type theory for finite Toeplitz operators via matrix algebras, Numerische Mathematik 82 (1999) 117–142.
- [5] S. Serra Capizzano, A Korovkin based approximation of multilevel Toeplitz matrices (with rectangular unstructured blocks) via multilevel trigonometric matrix spaces, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 36 (1999) 1831–1857.
- [6] S. Serra Capizzano and E. Tyrtyshnikov, Any circulant-like preconditioner for multilevel matrices is not superlinear, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 21-2 (1999) 431–439.
- [7] S. Serra-Capizzano, Stefano and C. Tablino-Possio, Multigrid methods for multilevel circulant matrices SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 26 (2004) 55–85.
- [8] W. F. Trench, Properties of unilevel block circulants, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009) 2012–2025.
- [9] E. Tyrtyshnikov, Optimal and superoptimal circulant preconditioners, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 13 (1992) 459-473.
- [10] E. E. Tyrtyshnikov, Toeplitz matrices, some analogs and applications (in Russian), Inst. of Numer. Math., Rus. Acad. of Sci. (1989).

- [11] V. V. Voevodin, E. E. Tyrtyshnikov, *Computer Processes with Toeplitz Matrices*, Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian),