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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{\ell})$ denote the set of continuous $n \times n$ matrices on an interval $\ell$. We say that $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{\ell})$ is a nontrivial $k$-involution if $R=P\left(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{\ell} I_{d_{\ell}}\right) P^{-1}$ where $\zeta=e^{-2 \pi i / k}, d_{0}+d_{1}+\cdots+d_{k-1}=n$, and $P^{\prime}=P \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} U_{\ell}$ with $U_{\ell} \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}(\ell)$. We say that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\ell)$ is $R$-symmetric if $R(t) A(t) R^{-1}(t)=$ $A(t), t \in \ell$, and we show that if $A$ is $R$-symmetric then solving $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ or $x^{\prime}=A(t) x+f(t)$ reduces to solving $k$ independent $d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}$ systems, $0 \leq \ell \leq$ $k-1$. We consider the asymptotic behavior of the solutions in the case where $\ell=\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Finally, we sketch analogous results for linear systems of difference equations.
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## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper $d$ is an interval on the real line and $\mathbb{C}^{p}, \mathbb{C}^{p}(\mathcal{d}), \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}, \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}(\mathcal{d})$, and $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{p \times q}(\mathcal{d})$ are respectively the following sets: complex $p$-vectors, continuous complex $p$-vector functions on $\ell$, complex $p \times q$ matrices, continuous complex $p \times q$ matrix functions on $\ell$, and continuously differentiable complex $p \times q$ matrix functions on $\ell$. ("Complex" can just as well be replaced by "real.") If $z \in \mathbb{C}^{p}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ then $\|z\|$ and $\|B\|$ are respectively any norm of $z$ and the corresponding induced norm of $B$; i.e., $\|B\|=\max \{\|B z\| \mid\|z\|=1\}$.

[^0]We consider nonautonomous systems of linear differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=A(t) x \quad \text { with } \quad A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{d}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=A(t) x+f(t) \text { with } A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{\ell}) \text { and } f \in \mathbb{C}^{n}(\mathcal{\ell}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ has special structure that we will specify in Section 2. We will show that the structure can be exploited to expedite solving these system and, if $\ell=\left[t_{0, \infty}\right.$, to study the asymptotic behavior of their solutions. To illustrate the second point, we recall that (1) is said to have linear asymptotic equilibrium if every nontrivial solution of (1) approaches a nonzero limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or, equivalently, for every $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, (1) has a unique solution $x$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=c$. The simplest condition for linear asymptotic equilibrium of (1) (attributed by Wintner [13] to Bôcher) is that $\int^{\infty}\|A(t)\| d t<\infty$. (Conti [3, 4], Sansone and Conti [5], Wintner [13], the author [6, 7], and others have weakened this condition, but in all cases there is ultimately a requirement that $\int^{\infty}\|B(t)\| d t<\infty$ for some $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{l})$ derived from $A$.)

By way of motivation we first consider two examples. For the first we introduce the notation $\zeta=e^{-2 \pi i / k}$ and

$$
\Phi=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\Phi_{0} & \Phi_{1} & \cdots & \Phi_{k-1}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \Phi_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{3}\\
\zeta^{\ell} \\
\vdots \\
\zeta^{(k-1) \ell}
\end{array}\right] \otimes I_{d}
$$

$0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, where $k \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$.
Example 1 If $A=\left[A_{s-r}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1}$ is a variable block circulant with $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1} \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}(\mathcal{d})$, then $\int^{\infty}\|A(t)\| d t<\infty$ if and only if $\int^{\infty}\left\|A_{r}(t)\right\| d t<\infty, 0 \leq r \leq$ $k-1$. Hence, applying Bôcher's theorem directly to (1) yields no conclusion if $\int^{\infty}\left\|A_{r}(t)\right\| d t=\infty$ for some $r \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$. However, it is well known (see, e.g., [12]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\Phi\left(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} F_{\ell}\right) \Phi^{*}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \Phi_{\ell} F_{\ell} \Phi_{\ell}^{*} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\ell}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{\ell m} A_{m} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}(\ell), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\left\{F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}\right\}\right.$ is the discrete Fourier transform of $\left\{A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1}\right\}$.) Therefore every solution of (1) is of the form

$$
x=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \Phi_{\ell} y_{\ell} \quad \text { where } \quad y_{\ell}^{\prime}=F_{\ell} y_{\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1
$$

Moreover, if $\ell=\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$,

$$
s=\left\{\ell \mid \int^{\infty}\left\|F_{\ell}(t)\right\| d t<\infty\right\} \neq \emptyset, \text { and } u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}, \quad \ell \in \delta
$$

then applying Bôcher's theorem separately to $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=F_{\ell}(t) y_{\ell}, \ell \in \mathcal{8}$, shows that $x^{\prime}=$ $A(t) x$ has a unique solution such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}} \Phi_{\ell} u_{\ell}
$$

As observed by Ablow and Brenner [1] for the case where $d=1$, the decomposition (4) is possible because the block circulant $A$ commutes with

$$
R=\left[\delta_{r, s-1(\operatorname{mod~k})}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1}=\Phi\left(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{\ell} I_{d}\right) \Phi^{*}
$$

We explored this idea more generally in [11, 12].
Example 2 Suppose $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, R \neq \pm I$, and $R^{2}=I$, so

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P & Q
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{r} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{s}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\widehat{P} \\
\widehat{Q}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\widehat{P} P=I_{r}, \quad \widehat{Q} Q=I_{s}, \quad \widehat{P} Q=0, \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{Q} P=0
$$

In [10] we defined a matrix $A$ to be $R$-symmetric if it commutes with $A$ and showed that $A$ is $R$-symmetric if and only if

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P & Q
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{P} & 0 \\
0 & A_{Q}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\widehat{P} \\
\widehat{Q}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } A_{P} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \text { and } A_{Q} \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times s}
$$

Therefore, if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{\ell})$ is $R$-symmetric for all $t \in \ell$, then the solutions of (1) are of the form $x=P u+Q v$ where $u^{\prime}=A_{P} u$ and $v^{\prime}=A_{Q} v$. Moroever, Bôcher's theorem implies that if $\int^{\infty}\left\|A_{P}(t)\right\| d t<\infty$ and $u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{r}$ then (1) has a unique solution $y_{P}=P u$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{P}(t)=P u_{0}$. Also, if $\int^{\infty}\left\|A_{Q}(t)\right\| d t<\infty$ and $v_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{s}$ then (1) has a unique solution $y_{Q}=Q v$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{Q}(t)=Q v_{0}$.

In these two examples $R$ is a constant matrix. Here we extend these ideas to include the possibility that $A$ in (1) commutes with a variable matrix function $R$ which is $k$ involutory (defined precisely in the next section) for all $t$. We will show how to solve such systems efficiently and indicate how their asymptotic behavior can be analyzed by appropriate application of theorems such as Bôcher's.

In Section 4 we sketch an analogous approach to linear systems of difference equations with coefficient matrices that commute with appropriately defined variable $k$ involutory matrix functions.

## 2 Preliminaries

Henceforth $n$ and $k$ are integers such that $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$ are positive integers such that $\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} d_{\ell}=n$. In [10, 11] we defined a nontrivial $k$-involution $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to be a constant matrix of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=P \mathbf{D} P^{-1} \quad \text { where } \quad \mathbf{D}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{\ell} I_{d_{\ell}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and showed that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ commutes with $R$ then all computational problems associated with $A$ reduce to the corresponding problems for $k$ independent systems with coefficient matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}, 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Here we define a nontrivial $k$-involution to be a matrix function $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{d})$ of the form (6), where $P$ is a fundamental (i.e., invertible solution) matrix for the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}=P \mathbf{U} \text { with } \mathbf{U}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} U_{\ell} \text { and } U_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}(\ell), 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we will see, (7) is a technical assumption that seems to be crucial for the construction of a useful theory of $R$-symmetric differential systems. (Except for $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{0}$ as in (6) and (9) below, a boldface symbol always denotes a direct sum of this form in which the identifiers of the direct sum $-\mathbf{U}$ in this case - and the summands - $U_{0}, U_{1}$, $\ldots, U_{k-1}$ in this case - are related as they are here.) We say that $R$ is equidimensional with width $d$ if $n=k d$ and $d_{0}=d_{1}=\cdots=d_{k-1}=d$. Note that $R^{k}(t)=I$ for all $t \in \mathbb{d}$ and $R^{m}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq I$ for any $t_{0} \in \mathbb{d}$ if $m<k$.

We define $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{\ell})$ to be $R$-symmetric if $R A R^{-1}=A$. If $A$ is $R$-symmetric we say that the systems (1) and (2) are $R$-symmetric. We show that solving an $R$ symmetric system of linear differential equations reduces to solving $k$ independent systems with coefficient matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}(\ell), 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. We also consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions of $R$-symmetric systems in the case where $\ell=$ $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. In Section 4 we sketch an analogous theory of nonautonomous $R$-symmetric systems of difference equations.

We write

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{0} & P_{1} & \cdots & P_{k-1}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } P_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times d_{\ell}}(\ell), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,
$$

and

$$
P^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{P}_{0}  \tag{8}\\
\widehat{P}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{P}_{k-1}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \widehat{P}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times n}(\ell) \text {, so } \widehat{P}_{\ell} P_{m}=\delta_{\ell m} I_{d_{\ell}},
$$

$0 \leq \ell, m \leq k-1$. From (7),

$$
P_{\ell}^{\prime}=P_{\ell} U_{\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1
$$

Since $R$-symmetry is particularly transparent if $R$ is equidimensional, we consider this case separately. To this end, let $E=\left[\delta_{r, s-1}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \otimes I_{d}$, and $B=\left[B_{r s}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1}$, where $B_{r s} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}(\mathcal{d}), 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1$, and subscripts are to be reduced modulo $k$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E B E^{-1}=\left[B_{r+1, s+1}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \text { and } E \Phi=\Phi \mathbf{D}_{0} \text { where } \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0}}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{\ell} I_{d} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi$ is as in (3). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } E=\Phi \mathbf{D}_{0} \Phi^{*} \text { and } \quad \text { (b) } E B E^{-1}=B \text { if and only if } B=\left[A_{s-r}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}(\mathbb{d})$. (Conclusion (b) is a special case of $[12$, Theorem 1], an elementary extension of [1, Theorem 2.1].)

Theorem 1 If $R$ is as in (6) and $d_{0}=d_{1}=\cdots=d_{k-1}=d$ then $R A R^{-1}=A$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi P^{-1} A P \Phi^{*}=\left[A_{s-r}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \text { with } A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}(\mathcal{l}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi$ as in (3). In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell} \widehat{P}_{\ell} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}$ as in (5).
Proof. Since $R=P \mathbf{D}_{0} P^{-1}$ and $E=\Phi \mathbf{D}_{0} \Phi^{*}$, we can write

$$
R=P \Phi^{*}\left(\Phi \mathbf{D}_{0} \Phi^{*}\right) \Phi P^{-1}=P \Phi^{*} E \Phi P^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
R A R^{-1}=P \Phi^{*} E \Phi P^{-1} A P \Phi^{*} E^{-1} \Phi P^{-1}
$$

so $R A R^{-1}=A$ if and only if

$$
E \Phi P^{-1} A P \Phi^{*} E^{-1}=\Phi P^{-1} A P \Phi^{*}
$$

Therefore, (10)(b) implies (11). Since (5) is equivalent to

$$
A_{m}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} F_{\ell} \zeta^{-\ell m}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq k-1
$$

we can write

$$
\left[A_{s-r}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1}=\frac{1}{k}\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-\ell(s-r)} F_{\ell}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \Phi_{\ell} F_{\ell} \Phi_{\ell}^{*}=\Phi \mathbf{F} \Phi^{*}
$$

so (11) implies that $P^{-1} A P=\mathbf{F}$, which implies (12).
The following theorem characterizes matrices $A$ such that $R A R^{-1}=A$, where $d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$ are not necessarily equal. It extends [11, Theorem 2], where $R$ is constant.

Theorem 2 If $R$ is as in (6) and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\ell)$ then $R A R^{-1}=A$ if and only (12) holds with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} A P_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}(\ell), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (6), $R A R^{-1}=A$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \mathbf{D} P^{-1} A P \mathbf{D}^{-1} P^{-1}=A \text { or, equivalently, } \mathbf{D}\left(P^{-1} A P\right) \mathbf{D}^{-1}=P^{-1} A P \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $P^{-1} A P=\left[C_{r s}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1}$ with $C_{r s} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{r} \times d_{s}}(\mathcal{d}), 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1$, then the second equality in (14) is equivalent to $\zeta^{r-s} C_{r s}=C_{r s}, 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1$, which is equivalent to $C_{r s}=0$ if $r \neq s, 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1$. This is equivalent to (12) with $F_{\ell}=C_{\ell \ell}$, so $A P_{\ell}=P_{\ell} F_{\ell}, 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and (8) implies (13).

Note that the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 did not require (7), which does not come into play until we consider the differential equations (1) and (2).

## 3 Solution of $\boldsymbol{R}$-symmetric systems of linear differential equations

Recall that if $A, X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\ell), X^{\prime}=A(t) X$, and $X\left(t_{0}\right)$ is invertible for some $t_{0} \in \ell$, then $X(t)$ is invertible for all $t \in \mathcal{d}$ and every solution of (1) can be written as $x(t)=$ $X(t) c$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. In this case we say that $X$ is a fundamental matrix for (1) and $x=X c$ is the general solution of (1).

Now suppose $A$ is $R$-symmetric; thus, from Theorem 2 (specifically, (12)) and (7), $A=P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}$ and $P^{\prime}=P \mathbf{U}$. If we write $x=P y$ then $x^{\prime}=P^{\prime} y+P y^{\prime}=P\left(\mathbf{U} y+y^{\prime}\right)$ and $A x=P \mathbf{F} y$, so $x^{\prime}=A x$ if and only if $y^{\prime}=\mathbf{G} y$ where $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{U}$. This last condition is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\ell}^{\prime}=G_{\ell}(t) y_{\ell} \quad \text { with } \quad G_{\ell}=F_{\ell}-U_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}(\ell), 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1 \text {, } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{0} \\
y_{1} \\
\vdots \\
y_{k-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This implies the following theorem.
Theorem 3 If $A$ is $R$-symmetric and $\mathbf{Y}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Y_{\ell}$ where $Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}$ are fundamental matrices for the systems in (15), then

$$
X=P \mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{0} Y_{0} & P_{1} y_{1} & \cdots & P_{k-1} Y_{k-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a fundamental matrix for (1). Hence, if $t_{0} \in \ell$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ then the solution of the initial value problem $x^{\prime}=A(t) x, x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0 \ell} \quad \text { where } \quad x_{0}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0 \ell} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of (1) is

$$
x(t)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}(t) c_{\ell} \quad \text { where } \quad c_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell}}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1
$$

Corollary 1 If $A$ is $R$-symmetric then the general solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is $x=$ $\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} c_{\ell}$ with $c_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell}}, 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, if and only if $F_{\ell}=U_{\ell}, 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

The following theorem is motivated by a theorem of Andrew [2] concerning the eigenvectors of constant centrosymmetric matrices. We extended Andrew's theorem to constant $R$-symmetric matrices in [10, Theorem 7] for $k=2$ and in [11, Theorem 13] for $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 4 Suppose $A, R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{l})$ and $R$ is a nontrivial $k$-involution. Let

$$
s_{A}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{d}) \mid x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t), t \in \mathbb{d}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}_{R}=\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1}\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n \times n}(\ell) \mid R(t) x(t)=\zeta^{\ell} x(t), t \in \ell\right\} .
$$

Then $A$ is $R$-symmetric if and only if $8_{A}$ has a basis in $\mathcal{E}_{R}$.
Proof. Since $R P_{\ell}=\zeta^{\ell} P_{\ell}, 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, Theorem 3 (specifically, (16)) implies necessity. For sufficiency, if $\wp_{A}$ has a basis in $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ then (1) has a fundamental matrix of the form
$X=P \mathbf{Y} \quad$ where $\quad \mathbf{Y}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Y_{\ell}$ with $Y_{\ell}$ and $Y_{\ell}^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}(\ell), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.
Therefore $A P \mathbf{Y}=(P \mathbf{Y})^{\prime}=P^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}+P \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left(P^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}+P \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{Y}^{-1} P^{-1}=P^{\prime} P^{-1}+P\left(\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\right) P^{-1} \\
& =P\left(P^{-1} P^{\prime}\right) P^{-1}+P\left(\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\right) P^{-1} \\
& =P\left(\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\right) P^{-1}=P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see (7)), with

$$
\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1}\left(U_{\ell}+Y_{\ell}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{-1}\right)
$$

Hence $A$ is $R$-symmetric, by Theorem 2 and (7).
Theorem 5 Suppose $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{d})$ is $R$-symmetric, $f \in \mathbb{C}^{n}(\mathcal{d})$, and $t_{0} \in \mathcal{d}$. Let $Y_{0}$, $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}$ be fundamental matrices for the systems in (15) and write

$$
x_{0}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} y_{0 \ell} \text { with } y_{0 \ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell}} \text { and } f=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} h_{\ell} \text { with } h_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell}}(\ell)
$$

$0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Then the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=A(t) x+f(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}(t)\left(Y_{\ell}^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0 \ell}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} Y_{\ell}^{-1}(\tau) h_{\ell}(\tau) d \tau\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Apply the method of variation of parameters to each of the independent systems $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=G_{\ell}(t) y_{\ell}+h_{\ell}, y_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0 \ell}, 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

In [11] we defined a constant vector $x$ to be $(R, \ell)$-symmetric if $R$ is a constant nontrivial $k$-involution and $R x=\zeta^{\ell} x$. This extended a definition in [10] for $k=$ 2. Andrew [2] originated this idea in connection with centrosymmetric matrices by defining $x$ to be symmetric (skew-symmetric) if $J x=x(J x=-x)$, where $J$ is the flip matrix with ones on the secondary diagonal and zeros elsewhwere. Here we say that a vector function $x=x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\ell)$ is $(R, \ell)$-symmetric if $R(t) x(t)=\zeta^{\ell} x(t)$, $t \in \ell$. Any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n}(\ell)$ can be written uniquely as $x=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} y_{\ell}$ with $y_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell}}(\ell)$, or equivalently, as $x=x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k-1}$ where $x_{\ell}=P_{\ell} y_{\ell}$ is $(R, \ell)$-symmetric. We will call $x_{\ell}$ the $(R, \ell)$-symmetric component of $x$. Thus, (18) exhibits the solution of (17) as the sum of its ( $R, \ell$ )-symmetric components, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

Now Bôcher's theorem implies the following result.
Theorem 6 If $Y_{\ell}$ is a fundamental matrix for the system $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=G_{\ell}(t) y_{\ell}($ see (15)) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $\int^{\infty}\left\|G_{\ell}(t)\right\| d t<\infty$ for some $\ell \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$, then $Y_{\ell}(\infty)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} Y_{\ell}(t)$ exists and is invertible. Therefore the $(R, \ell)$-symmetric component of any solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ can be written uniquely as $x_{\ell}=P_{\ell} y_{\ell}$, where $y_{\ell}(\infty)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{\ell}(t)$ exists and is nonzero if $y_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{\ell}(t)$ exists and has rank $d_{\ell}$ then $x_{\ell}(\infty)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{\ell}(t)$ exists and is nonzero if $x_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$.

At the risk of making a sweeping statement, it seems reasonable to say that many theorems concerning the asymptotic behavior of solutions of arbitrary linear systems can be adapted in this way to $(R, \ell)$-symmetric systems.

## $4 \boldsymbol{R}$-symmetric systems of linear difference equations

In this section $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$is the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{C}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$and $\mathbb{C}^{p \times q}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$are respectively the sets of complex $p$-vector functions on $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$and complex $p \times q$ matrix functions on $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$. (Again, "complex" can just as well be replaced by "real.") We briefly consider linear systems of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t+1}=\left(I+A_{t}\right) x_{t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad x_{0}=\xi \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left\{A_{t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$We assume throughout that $I+A_{t}$ is invertible for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Let

$$
\mathbb{P}_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{0 t} & P_{1 t} & \cdots & P_{k-1, t}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{P}_{0 t} \\
\widehat{P}_{1 t} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{P}_{k-1, t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where
$P_{\ell t} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), \widehat{P}_{\ell t} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times d_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, and $\widehat{P}_{\ell t} P_{m t}=\delta_{\ell m} I_{d_{\ell}}, 0 \leq \ell, m \leq k-1, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}=\mathbb{P}_{t} \mathbf{D}_{0} \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1} \quad(\operatorname{see}(9)) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{P}_{t+1}=\mathbb{P}_{t}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{U}_{t}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{U}_{t}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1} U_{\ell t} \quad \text { with } \quad U_{\ell t} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1
$$

and $I+\mathbf{U}_{t}$ is invertible for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Finally, denote $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right\}$and $\mathcal{R}=$ $\left\{R_{t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right\}$. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is a nontrivial $k$-involution (again, equidimensional if $n=k d$ and $\left.d_{0}=d_{1}=\cdots=d_{k-1}=d\right)$ and that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-symmetric if $R_{t} A_{t} R_{t}^{-1}=$ $A_{t}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

Theorem 7 Let $\Phi$ be as in (3). If $\mathcal{R}$ is equidimensional with width d then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t} A_{t} R_{t}^{-1}=A_{t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\Phi \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1} A_{t} \mathbb{P}_{t} \Phi^{*}=\left[A_{s-r, t}\right]_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \text { with } A_{0 t}, A_{1 t}, \ldots, A_{k-1, t} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell t} F_{\ell t} \widehat{P}_{\ell t}=\mathbb{P}_{t} \mathbf{F}_{t} \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
F_{\ell t}=\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{\ell m} A_{m t}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$
Dropping the assumption that $\mathcal{R}$ is equidimensional leaves the following theorem.
Theorem 8 Eqn. (21) holds if and only (22) holds with

$$
F_{\ell t}=\widehat{P}_{\ell t} A_{t} P_{\ell t} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\ell} \times d_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} .
$$

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 9 Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-symmetric and let

$$
Q_{\ell t}=\mathbb{P}_{\ell t} \prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\left(I+U_{\ell j}\right)^{-1}\left(I+F_{\ell j}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad Q_{\ell 0}=I_{d_{\ell}}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1
$$

Then
$X_{t}=\mathbb{P}_{t} \prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\left(I+\mathbf{U}_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(I+\mathbf{F}_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}Q_{0 t} & Q_{1 t} & \cdots & Q_{k-1, t}\end{array}\right], t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, X_{0}=I$,
is a fundamemental matrix for (19).
Proof. Write $X_{t}=\mathbb{P}_{t} \mathbf{Y}_{t}$. Since $\mathbb{P}_{t+1}=\mathbb{P}_{t}\left(I+\mathbf{U}_{t}\right)$ (see (20)) and $I+A_{t}=$ $\mathbb{P}_{t}\left(I+\mathbf{F}_{t}\right) \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1}\left(\right.$ see (22)), $X_{t+1}=\left(I+A_{t}\right) X_{t}$ is equivalent to

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}=\left(I+\mathbf{U}_{t}\right)^{-1}\left(I+\mathbf{F}_{t}\right) \mathbf{Y}_{t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
Y_{\ell, t+1}=\left(I+U_{\ell t}\right)^{-1}\left(I+F_{\ell t}\right) Y_{\ell t}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

This implies the conclusion.
As we saw earlier in connection with differential equations, it may be useful to study the asymptotic behavior of the distinct ( $\mathcal{R}, \ell)$-symmetric components of (19). The analog of Bôcher's states that if $I+A_{t}$ is invertible for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}\left\|A_{t}\right\|<$ $\infty$, then (19) has linear asymptotic equilibrium. This result can adapted to an $\mathcal{R}$ symmetric linear difference system as follows.

Theorem 10 If $\mathcal{A}$ is $R$-symmetric and

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}\left\|\left(I+U_{\ell t}\right)^{-1}\left(I+F_{\ell t}\right)-I\right\|<\infty
$$

then the $(\mathcal{R}, \ell)$-symmetric component of any solution of (19) can be written uniquely as $x_{\ell t}=\mathbb{P}_{\ell t} y_{\ell t}$ where $y_{\ell, \infty}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{\ell t}$ exists and is nonzero if $y_{\ell, 0} \neq 0$. Moreover, if $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\ell t}$ exists and has rank $d_{\ell}$ then $x_{\ell, \infty}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{\ell t}$ exists and is nonzero if $x_{\ell, 0} \neq 0$.

It seems reasonable to expect that results like those in [8] and [9] can be extended in this way for systems of the form (19).
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