

Asymptotic Integration of $y^{(n)} + P(t)y^\gamma = f(t)$ under Mild Integral Smallness Conditions

By

William F. TRENCH

(Drexel University, U.S.A.)

This paper gives sufficient conditions for the equation

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)} + P(t)y^\gamma = f(t) \quad (n \geq 2)$$

to have solutions which behave like polynomials of degree $< n$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This question has been investigated by many authors, but, to our knowledge, always under integral smallness conditions on P and f which require absolute convergence of all improper integrals in question. Also, most authors have assumed that $\gamma > 0$. (For exceptions to this, see [1], [2], and [4].) Here we allow γ to be any real number. Moreover, our integral smallness conditions require only ordinary (i.e., perhaps conditional) convergence, except for a condition on P in Theorem 2 which does require absolute convergence, but is still considerably weaker than the usual condition.

We assume throughout that P and f are real-valued and continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and that γ is real. When we say that an improper integral converges, we mean that it may converge conditionally, unless, of course, it is clear that the integrand is non-negative.

Theorem 1. *Suppose ν and m are integers, $0 \leq \nu \leq m \leq n-1$, and let α be a non-negative number such that*

$$(2) \quad \nu - \alpha < m;$$

moreover, suppose that $\alpha < 1$ if $\nu \neq 0$. Assume that the integrals

$$(3) \quad \int^\infty t^{n-\nu-1+\alpha+m\gamma} P(t) dt$$

and

$$(4) \quad \int^\infty t^{n-\nu-1+\alpha} f(t) dt$$

converge. Let

$$(5) \quad q(t) = \sum_{j=\nu}^m a_j \frac{t^j}{j!}.$$

where a_1, \dots, a_m are given real constants, with $a_m > 0$. Then (1) has a solution y_0 , defined for sufficiently large t , such that

$$(6) \quad y_0^{(r)}(t) = p^{(r)}(t) + o(t^{\nu-r-\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1.$$

The following two lemmas will be useful in proving Theorem 1 as well as Theorem 2, which is stated below.

Lemma 1. Suppose $u \in C[t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$ and let a and b be constants, $0 \leq a \leq b$. Suppose also that $\int_t^\infty t^b u(t) dt$ converges, and define

$$\rho_0(t) = \sup_{T \geq t} \left| \int_T^\infty s^b u(s) ds \right|.$$

Then

$$(7) \quad \left| \int_t^\infty (s-t)^a u(s) ds \right| \leq 2\rho_0(t) t^{a-b}, \quad t \geq t_1 \geq t_0.$$

Proof. Let $U(t) = \int_t^\infty s^b u(s) ds$, and note that

$$(8) \quad |U(t)| \leq \rho_0(t).$$

Now,

$$(s-t)^a u(s) = - \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a s^{a-b} U'(s),$$

so integrating by parts yields

$$(9) \quad \int_t^{\bar{t}} (s-t)^a u(s) ds = - \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a s^{a-b} U(s) \Big|_t^{\bar{t}} + \int_t^{\bar{t}} U(s) \frac{d}{ds} \left[\left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a s^{a-b} \right] ds.$$

But

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left[\left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a s^{a-b} \right] = \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a \frac{d}{ds} (s^{a-b}) + s^{a-b} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a \right],$$

where the first product is nonpositive and the second is positive if $s > t_1$. This enables us to let $\bar{t} \rightarrow \infty$ in (9) and infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_t^\infty (s-t)^a u(s) ds \right| &\leq \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{t}\right)^a t^{a-b} |U(t)| - \int_t^\infty \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a |U(s)| \frac{d}{ds} (s^{a-b}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\infty |U(s)| s^{a-b} \frac{d}{ds} \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{s}\right)^a ds \\ &\leq 2\rho_0(t) t^{a-b} \end{aligned}$$

(see (8)). This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Suppose $u \in C[t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$ and

$$\int_t^\infty t^{n-\nu-1+\alpha} u(t) dt$$

converges, where ν and α are as in Theorem 1. Define

$$(10) \quad w(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} u(s) ds \quad \text{if } \nu=0,$$

or

$$(11) \quad w(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-\lambda)^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} d\lambda \int_\lambda^\infty \frac{(\lambda-s)^{n-\nu-1}}{(n-\nu-1)!} u(s) ds \quad \text{if } 1 \leq \nu \leq n-1,$$

and let

$$\rho(t) = \sup_{T \geq t} \left| \int_T^\infty s^{n-\nu-1+\alpha} u(s) ds \right|.$$

Then $w \in C^{(n)}[t_0, \infty)$,

$$(12) \quad |w^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2\rho(t)t^{\nu-r-\alpha}}{(n-r-1)!}, \quad \nu \leq r \leq n-1,$$

and, if $\nu \geq 1$,

$$(13) \quad |w^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2\rho(t_0)t^{\nu-r-\alpha}}{(n-\nu-1)! \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-r} (j-\gamma)}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq \nu-1.$$

Moreover,

$$(14) \quad w^{(r)}(t) = o(t^{\nu-r-\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 with

$$a = n-r-1, \quad b = n-\nu-1+\alpha, \quad t = t_1,$$

$$(15) \quad \left| \int_t^\infty (t-s)^{n-r-1} u(s) ds \right| \leq 2\rho(t)t^{\nu-r-\alpha}, \quad \nu \leq r \leq n-1.$$

This implies that w as defined by (10) or (11) is in $C^{(n)}[t_0, \infty)$ and satisfies (12) and (14) for $\nu \leq r \leq n-1$. Therefore, the proof is complete if $\nu=0$. If $0 \leq r \leq \nu-1$, then

$$(16) \quad |w^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2}{(\nu-r-1)!(n-\nu-1)!} \int_{t_0}^t (t-\lambda)^{\nu-r-1} \lambda^{-\alpha} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda$$

from (11) and (15) (the latter with $r=\nu$). Since ρ is nonincreasing, we may replace

$\rho(\lambda)$ by $\rho(t_0)$ here, then replace t_0 by zero in the lower limit of integration (recall that $\alpha < 1$), and integrate repeatedly by parts to obtain (13).

From (16),

$$|w^{(r)}(t)|t^{-\nu+r+\alpha} \leq \frac{2t^{\alpha-1}}{(\nu-r-1)!(n-\nu-1)!} \int_{t_0}^t \rho(\lambda)\lambda^{-\alpha}d\lambda,$$

which implies (14) for $0 \leq r \leq \nu-1$. (If $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \rho(\lambda)\lambda^{-\alpha}d\lambda < \infty$, this is obvious; if $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \rho(\lambda)\lambda^{-\alpha}d\lambda = \infty$, it follows from l'Hospital's rule. Here again we have used the assumption that $\alpha < 1$.) This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $t_0 \geq 0$, let $H(t_0)$ be the Banach space of functions h in $C^{(n-1)}[t_0, \infty)$ such that

$$h^{(r)}(t) = 0(t^{\nu-r-\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1,$$

with norm

$$(17) \quad \|h\| = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left\{ t^{-\nu+\alpha} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} t^r |h^{(r)}(t)| \right\}.$$

For $M > 0$, let

$$(18) \quad H_M(t_0) = \{h \in H(t_0) \mid \|h\| \leq M\}.$$

Since $\nu \leq m$ and $a_m > 0$ in (5), there are constants M , λ , and T_0 such that if

$$(19) \quad t_0 \geq T_0 \quad \text{and} \quad h \in H_M(t_0),$$

then

$$(20) \quad q(t) + h(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} a_m \frac{t^m}{m!}$$

and

$$(21) \quad |q^{(r)}(t) + h^{(r)}(t)| \leq \lambda t^{m-r}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1,$$

for all $t \geq t_0$. (From (20), $(q+h)^r$ is defined and real-valued on $[t_0, \infty)$ if (19) holds.) We assume henceforth that h , h_1 , and h_2 are in $H_M(t_0)$ for some $t_0 \geq T_0$. The constants appearing in estimates that follow may depend upon T_0 , but they do not depend upon t_0 , h , h_1 , h_2 , etc.. We assume that $t \geq t_0$ throughout.

We will show that the transformation

$$(22) \quad \hat{h} = \mathcal{T}h$$

defined by

$$(23) \quad \hat{h}(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [-f(s) + P(s)(q(s) + h(s))]^r ds \quad \text{if } \nu = 0$$

or by

$$(24) \quad \hat{h}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-\lambda)^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} d\lambda \int_\lambda \frac{(\lambda-s)^{n-\nu-1}}{(n-\nu-1)!} [-f(s) + P(s)(q(s) + h(s))]^r ds$$

if $1 \leq \nu \leq n-1$,

is a contraction mapping of $H_M(t_0)$ into itself if t_0 is sufficiently large. To this end, we first study the integral

$$(25) \quad F(t; h) = \int_t^\infty s^{n-\nu-1+\alpha} P(s)(q(s) + h(s))^r ds.$$

The convergence of this integral follows easily from Dirichlet's test and the convergence of (3); nevertheless, we will write out the details of the proof, because they will be useful in obtaining estimates that we need below. Let

$$\Phi(t) = \int_t^\infty s^{n-\nu-1+\alpha+m} P(s) ds,$$

which exists, because (3) converges. If $\tau \geq t$, then

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_t^\tau s^{n-\nu-1+\alpha} P(s)(q(s) + h(s))^r ds \\ &= - \int_t^\tau \Phi'(s)[s^{-m}(q(s) + h(s))]^r ds \\ &= -\Phi(s)[s^{-m}(q(s) + h(s))]^r \Big|_t^\tau \\ & \quad + r \int_t^\tau \Phi(s)[s^{-m}(q(s) + h(s))]^{r-1} [(s^{-m}q(s))' + (s^{-m}h(s))'] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$(27) \quad (s^{-m}q(s))' = 0(s^{-2})$$

and

$$(28) \quad |(s^{-m}h(s))'| \leq (m+1)Ms^{\nu-m-1-\alpha}.$$

(See (17) and (18).) Since $\Phi(t) = o(1)$, the last two inequalities together with (2), (20) and (21) enable us to let $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in (26) to obtain

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} F(t; h) &= \Phi(t)[t^{-m}(q(t) + h(t))]^r \\ & \quad + r \int_t^\infty \Phi(s)[s^{-m}(q(s) + h(s))]^{r-1} [(s^{-m}q(s))' + (s^{-m}h(s))'] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the integral on the right converges absolutely.

We will now show that $F(t; h)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to h . Applying the mean value theorem to $G(u) = u^r$ and invoking (20) if $r < 0$ or (21) with $r = 0$ if $r > 0$ yields the inequality

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & |[t^{-m}(q(t) + h_1(t))]^r - [t^{-m}(q(t) + h_2(t))]^r| \\ & \leq A_1 t^{-m} |h_1(t) - h_2(t)| \\ & \leq A_1 t^{\nu-m-\alpha} \|h_1 - h_2\| \end{aligned}$$

(see (17)) for some constant A_1 . With $Q_j(s) (j=1, 2)$ defined by

$$Q_j(s) = [s^{-m}(q(s) + h_j(s))]^{r-1} [(s^{-m}q(s))' + (s^{-m}h_j(s))'],$$

applying the mean value theorem to $G(u, v) = u^{r-1}v$ and invoking (20) and (21) yields

$$(31) \quad |Q_1(s) - Q_2(s)| \leq A_2 s^{-m-1} |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| + A_3 |(s^{-m}h_1(s))' - (s^{-m}h_2(s))'|$$

for suitable constants A_2 and A_3 . (Here we have also used (2), (27), and (28) to obtain the first term on the right.) From (17) and (31),

$$(32) \quad |Q_1(s) - Q_2(s)| \leq A_4 \|h_1 - h_2\| s^{\nu-m-1-\alpha}$$

for some constant A_4 .

From (2), (29), (30) and (32),

$$(33) \quad |F(t; h_1) - F(t; h_2)| \leq A_5 \|h_1 - h_2\| t^{\nu-m-\alpha} \phi(t),$$

for some constant A_5 , with

$$(34) \quad \phi(t) = \sup_{T \geq t} \Phi(T) = o(1).$$

Here we have used (2) again.

The convergence of (4) and (25) imply that the function

$$G(t; h) = \int_t^\infty s^{\nu-\nu-1+\alpha} [-f(s) + P(s)(q(s) + h(s))^r] ds$$

is defined on $[t_0, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t; h)| & \leq |G(t; 0)| + |G(t; h) - G(t; 0)| \\ & = |G(t; 0)| + |F(t; h) - F(t; 0)|, \end{aligned}$$

so that invoking (33) with $h_1 = h$ and $h_2 = 0$ (and recalling that $\|h\| \leq M$) yields

$$|G(t; h)| \leq \sigma(t) = A_5 M t^{\nu-m-\alpha} + \sup_{T \geq t} |G(T; 0)|.$$

Now Lemma 2 with $u = -f + P(q+h)^r$ implies that \hat{h} as defined by (10) or (11) is in $H(t_0)$, and that

$$(35) \quad \|\hat{h}\| \leq K\sigma(t_0)$$

for a suitable constant K . Moreover, if $\hat{h}_i = \mathcal{S}h_i$ ($i=1, 2$), we can apply Lemma 2 with

$$u = P[(q+h_1)^r - (q+h_2)^r],$$

and conclude from (33) that

$$(36) \quad \|\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2\| \leq KA_5 t_0^{\nu-m-\alpha} \phi(t_0) \|h_1 - h_2\|.$$

Since σ and ϕ both decrease to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose t_0 so that

$$(37) \quad K\sigma(t_0) \leq M$$

and

$$(38) \quad KA_5 t_0^{\nu-m-\alpha} \phi(t_0) < 1.$$

Now (35) and (37) imply that \mathcal{S} maps $H_M(t_0)$ into itself, and (36) and (38) imply that \mathcal{S} is a contraction mapping. Therefore there is a function h_0 in $H_M(t_0)$ such that $h_0 = \mathcal{S}h_0$. Since (23) or (24) holds with $\hat{h} = h = h_0$, the function $y_0 = q + h_0$ satisfies (1). Moreover, Lemma 2 (specifically, (14)) with $u = w = h_0$ implies that

$$h_0^{(r)}(t) = o(t^{\nu-r-\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1,$$

and this implies (6). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

We now consider the case where $m = \nu$ and $\alpha = 0$, so that (2) does not hold; that is, we will give sufficient conditions for (1) to have a solution y_0 which satisfies

$$(39) \quad y_0^{(r)}(t) = \begin{cases} (a_\nu + o(1))t^{\nu-r}/(\nu-r)!, & 0 \leq r \leq \nu, \\ o(t^{\nu-r}), & \nu+1 \leq r \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

A digression is needed to formulate this condition.

Lemma 3. Suppose $u \in C[t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{k-1}u(t)dt$ converges. Define

$$I_0(t; u) = u(t)$$

and

$$(40) \quad I_j(t; u) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(s-t)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} u(s)ds, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Then the integrals (40) converge and satisfy the inequalities

$$(41) \quad |I_j(t; u)| \leq \frac{2\delta(t)t^{j-k}}{(j-1)!}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

where

$$\delta(t) = \sup_{r \geq t} \left| \int_r^\infty s^{k-1} u(s) ds \right|.$$

The integrals

$$(42) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{k-j-1} I_j(t; u) dt, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

all converge, and if this convergence is absolute for some j_0 in $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, then it is absolute for $j_0 \leq j \leq k-1$.

Proof. The convergence of the integrals (40) and inequality (41) follow from Lemma 1. Since

$$(43) \quad I'_j(t; u) = -I_{j-1}(t; u), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

integration by parts yields

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j-1} I_j(t; u) dt = \frac{t^{k-j}}{k-j} I_j(t; u) \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j} I_{j-1}(t; u) dt,$$

so (41) and the assumed convergence of

$$\int_0^\infty t^{k-1} I_0(t; u) dt = \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} u(t) dt$$

imply that (42) converges, by finite induction. If

$$(44) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{k-j-1} |I_j(t; u)| dt < \infty$$

for some $j < k-1$, then

$$(45) \quad \int_t^\infty |I_j(s; u)| ds = o(t^{-k+j+1}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j-2} \left(\int_t^\infty |I_j(s; u)| ds \right) dt \\ &= \frac{t^{k-j-1}}{k-j-1} \int_{t_1}^\infty |I_j(s; u)| ds \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \frac{1}{k-j-1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j-1} |I_j(t; u)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now (44) and (45) imply that

$$\int^{\infty} t^{k-j-2} \left(\int_t^{\infty} |I_j(s; u)| ds \right) < \infty,$$

which in turn implies that

$$\int^{\infty} t^{k-j-2} |I_{j+1}(t; u)| dt < \infty,$$

since

$$|I_{j+1}(t; u)| \leq \int_t^{\infty} |I_j(s; u)| ds.$$

(See (43) with j replaced by $j+1$.) This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

If $1 \leq j_0 \leq k-1$, there are functions u such that

$$(46) \quad \int^{\infty} t^{k-j-1} |I_j(t; u)| dt \quad \begin{cases} = \infty & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq j_0 - 1, \\ < \infty & \text{if } j_0 \leq j \leq k-1. \end{cases}$$

For example, the function

$$u(t) = t^{-k} \sin t$$

satisfies this condition with $j_0 = 1$. A rather tedious argument involving repeated integration by parts shows that the function

$$u(t) = t^{-k} \cos((\log t)^{\alpha+1})$$

satisfies (46) if $j_0^{-1} < \alpha < (j_0 - 1)^{-1}$.

Theorem 2. Let ν be an integer in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and suppose the integrals

$$(47) \quad \int^{\infty} t^{n-1+\nu(\gamma-1)} P(t) dt$$

and

$$\int^{\infty} t^{n-\nu-1} f(t) dt$$

converge. Suppose also that

$$(48) \quad \int^{\infty} t^{\nu(\gamma-1)} |I_{n-1}(t; P)| dt < \infty \quad \text{if } \gamma \geq 1,$$

or that

$$(49) \quad \int_0^\infty |I_{n-1}(t; Q)| dt < \infty \quad \text{if } \gamma < 1,$$

where

$$(50) \quad Q(t) = t^{\nu(r-1)} P(t).$$

Let a_ν be an arbitrary positive constant. Then (1) has a solution y_0 which is defined for sufficiently large t and satisfies (39).

Proof. For $t_0 \geq 0$, let $H(t_0)$ be the Banach space of functions h in $C^{(n-1)}[t_0, \infty)$ such that

$$h^{(r)}(t) = O(t^{\nu-r}), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1,$$

with norm

$$\|h\| = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} t^{r-\nu} |h^{(r)}(t)| \right\},$$

and let $H_M(t_0)$ be as in (18). It is convenient here to write

$$(51) \quad u(t) = \frac{a_\nu}{\nu!} t^\nu + h(t), \quad h \in H_M(t_0).$$

Since $a_\nu > 0$, there are constants M , λ and T_0 such that

$$(52) \quad u(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_\nu}{\nu!} t^\nu$$

and

$$(53) \quad |u^{(r)}(t)| \leq \lambda t^{\nu-r}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1,$$

if (51) holds and $t \geq t_0 \geq T_0$, which we assume henceforth. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we will show that \mathcal{T} as defined by (22) and (23) or (24) is a contraction mapping of $H_M(t_0)$ into itself if t_0 is sufficiently large; therefore, we first consider the integral

$$(54) \quad F(t; h) = \int_t^\infty s^{n-\nu-1} P(s) (u(s))^\nu ds$$

(recall (51)), which is the appropriate analog of (25). We must consider two cases, depending upon γ .

Case 1. Suppose $\gamma \geq 1$. Then (43) and repeated integration by parts yields

$$(55) \quad \int_t^{\bar{t}} s^{n-\nu-1} P(s) (u(s))^\nu ds \\ = - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I_j(s; P) [s^{n-\nu-1} (u(s))^\nu]^{(j-1)} \Big|_t^{\bar{t}} + \int_t^{\bar{t}} I_{n-1}(s; P) [s^{n-\nu-1} (u(s))^\nu]^{(n-1)} ds.$$

From the formula of Faa di Bruno [3] for the derivatives of a composite function,

$$(56) \quad \frac{d^l}{ds^l} u^r = \sum_{k=1}^l (\gamma)^{(k)} u^{r-k} \sum_k \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_l!} \left(\frac{u'}{1!}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{u''}{2!}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{u^{(l)}}{l!}\right)^{k_l}$$

if $l=1, 2, \dots$, where

$$(\gamma)^{(k)} = \gamma(\gamma-1) \dots (\gamma-k+1)$$

and \sum_k is over all partitions of k as a sum of nonnegative integers,

$$(57) \quad k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_l = k$$

such that

$$(58) \quad k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + lk_l = l.$$

From Leibniz's formula for the derivatives of a product

$$(59) \quad [s^{n-\nu-1}u(s)]^{(j-1)} = \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{l} (s^{n-\nu-1})^{(j-l-1)} [(u(s))^\gamma]^{(l)}.$$

From (52), (53), (56) and (59), it can be shown that

$$(60) \quad |[s^{n-\nu-1}(u(s))^\gamma]^{(j-1)}| \leq B_1 s^{n-j+\nu(\gamma-1)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

for some constant B_1 . (To verify this it is important to invoke (57) and (58).) However, from Lemma 1 and the convergence of (47),

$$(61) \quad |I_j(s; P)| \leq \frac{2\delta(s)s^{-n+j-\nu(\gamma-1)}}{(j-1)!}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where

$$(62) \quad \delta(t) = \sup_{T \geq t} \left| \int_T^\infty s^{n-1+\nu(\gamma-1)} P(s) ds \right|.$$

From (60) and (61), we can let $\bar{t} \rightarrow \infty$ in (55) to obtain

$$(63) \quad F(t; h) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I_j(t; P) [t^{n-\nu-1}(u(t))^\gamma]^{(j-1)} + \int_t^\infty I_{n-1}(s; P) [s^{n-\nu-1}(u(s))^\gamma]^{(n-1)} ds,$$

where the integral on the right converges absolutely because of (48) and (60) with $j=n$.

Now suppose

$$u_i(t) = \frac{a_\nu}{\nu!} t^\nu + h_i(t), \quad i=1, 2.$$

By applying the mean value theorem to the function

$$G_l(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l) = \sum_{k=1}^l (\gamma)^{(k)} x_0^{\gamma-k} \sum_k \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_l!} \left(\frac{x_1^{k_1}}{1!} \right) \left(\frac{x_2^{k_2}}{2!} \right) \dots \left(\frac{x_l^{k_l}}{l!} \right)$$

(see (56), (57) and (58)), and then using estimates similar to those which led to (60), it can be shown that

$$|s^{n-\nu-1}[(u_1(s))^\gamma - (u_2(s))^\gamma]^{(j-1)}| \leq C_j \|h_1 - h_2\| s^{n-j+\nu(\gamma-1)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where C_1, \dots, C_n are constants. This, (61) and (63) imply that

$$|F(t; h_1) - F(t; h_2)| \leq \|h_1 - h_2\| \left(K_1 \delta(t) + C_n \int_t^\infty s^{\nu(\gamma-1)} |I_{n-1}(s; P)| ds \right)$$

where K_1 is a constant.

Case 2. Suppose $\gamma < 1$. Then we rewrite (54) as

$$F(t; h) = \int_t^\infty s^{n-\nu\gamma-1} Q(s)(u(s))^\gamma ds$$

(see (50)), and proceed as in Case 1, to obtain

$$F(t; h) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I_j(t; Q) [t^{n-\nu\gamma-1} (u(t))^\gamma]^{(j-1)} + \int_t^\infty I_{n-1}(s; Q) [s^{n-\nu\gamma-1} (u(s))^\gamma]^{(n-1)} ds,$$

where the integral on the right converges absolutely because of (49), and

$$|F(t; h_1) - F(t; h_2)| \leq \|h_1 - h_2\| \left(\hat{K}_1 \delta(t) + \hat{C}_n \int_t^\infty |I_{n-1}(s; Q)| ds \right),$$

where \hat{K}_1 and \hat{C}_n are constants, and δ is as in (62).

Now that we have shown that $F(\cdot; h)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to h for all real γ , the rest of the proof is similar to the part of the proof of Theorem 1 which follows (34).

Remark. If γ is rational with odd denominator, so that y^γ is real-valued for $y < 0$, then only trivial modifications of the proofs given above show that the conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 are also valid if $a_n < 0$ or $a_\nu < 0$, respectively. A similar comment applies if (1) is replaced by

$$y^{(n)} + P(t)|y|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} y = f(t),$$

without restrictions on (real) γ .

Acknowledgment. I thank Professors T. Kusano and M. Naito for their kindness and patience in reading a draft of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Graef, J. R., Grammatikopolous, M. K. and Spikes, P. W., On the positive solutions of a higher order differential equation with a discontinuity, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **5** (1982), 263–273.
- [2] Kusano, T. and Swanson, C. A., Asymptotic properties of semilinear elliptic equations, to appear.
- [3] Poussin, Ch.-J. de La Vallee, *Cours d'analyse infinitesimale*, Vol. 1, 12th Ed., Libraire Universitaire Louvain, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1959.
- [4] Taliaferro, S., On the positive solutions of $y'' + \phi(t)y^{-\lambda} = 0$, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **2** (1978), 437–446.

nuna adreso:
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Drexel University
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104
U.S.A.

(Ricevita la 19-an de octobro, 1982)