

# Some spectral properties of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices

William F. Trench\*

SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 15 (1994), pp. 938-942

## Abstract

Necessary conditions are given for the Hermitian Toeplitz matrix  $T_n = (t_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n$  to have a repeated eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with multiplicity  $m > 1$ , and for an eigenpolynomial of  $T_n$  associated with  $\lambda$  to have a given number of zeros off the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . It is assumed that  $t_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-ir\theta} d\theta$  ( $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ ), where  $f$  is real-valued and in  $L(-\pi, \pi)$ . The conditions are given in terms of the number of changes in sign of  $f(\theta) - \lambda$ .

## 1 Introduction

We consider the Hermitian Toeplitz matrix

$$T_n = (t_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n,$$

where

$$t_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-ir\theta} d\theta, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (1)$$

and  $f$  is real-valued and Lebesgue integrable on  $(-\pi, \pi)$ , and not constant on a set of measure  $2\pi$ .

Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $T_n$ , with associated orthonormal eigenvectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Our first main result (Theorem 3) presents a necessary condition on  $f$  for  $\lambda_r$  to have multiplicity  $m > 1$ . To describe our second main result we first recall some well known properties of eigenvectors of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices. If  $J$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix with ones on the secondary diagonal and zeros elsewhere, then  $JT_nJ = \overline{T}_n$ . This implies that a vector  $x_r$  is a  $\lambda_r$ -eigenvector of  $T_n$  if and only if  $J\overline{x}_r$  is. It follows that if  $\lambda_r$  has multiplicity one then

$$J\overline{x}_r = \xi x_r, \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi$  is a complex constant with modulus one. A stronger result holds if  $T_n$  is real and symmetric: Cantoni and Butler [1] have shown that in this case

---

\*Trinity University, 715 Stadium Drive, San Antonio, TX 78212 (wtrench@trinity.edu).

(even if  $T_n$  has repeated eigenvalues)  $R^n$  has an orthonormal basis consisting of  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  eigenvectors of  $T_n$  for which (2) holds with  $\xi = 1$  and  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  for which (2) holds with  $\xi = -1$ .

The polynomial

$$X_r(z) = [1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}]x_r \quad (3)$$

is said to be an *eigenpolynomial of  $T_n$  associated with  $\lambda_r$* . The location of the zeros of the eigenpolynomials of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices is of interest in signal processing applications [2]-[5], [7]. If  $x_r$  satisfies (2) then

$$X_r(z) = \bar{\xi} z^{n-1} \overline{X_r(1/\bar{z})};$$

hence, zeros of  $X_r(z)$  that are not on the unit circle must occur in pairs  $\zeta$  and  $1/\bar{\zeta}$ .

Gueguen proved the following theorem in [5]. (See also [2] and [4].)

**THEOREM 1** *Let  $\lambda_r$  be an eigenvalue of  $T_n$ , but not of  $T_{n-1}$ . Then its associated eigenpolynomial  $X_r(z)$  has at least  $|n - 2r + 1|$  zeros on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ .*

Delsarte, Genin, and Kamp proved the following theorem in [3]. (See also [4].)

**THEOREM 2** *Suppose that the eigenvalue  $\lambda_r$  of  $T_n$  has multiplicity  $m$  and let  $s$  be the largest integer  $< n$  such that  $\lambda_r$  is not an eigenvalue of  $T_s$ . Then any eigenpolynomial  $X(z)$  of  $T_n$  corresponding to  $\lambda_r$  has at least  $|n - m - 2r + 2|$  and at most  $m + s - 1$  zeros on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ .*

Our second main result (Theorem 7) gives a necessary condition on  $f$  for an eigenpolynomial of  $T_n$  satisfying (2) to have a given number of zeros that are not on the unit circle.

## 2 A necessary condition for repeated eigenvalues.

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the essential upper and lower bounds of  $f$ ; that is,  $\alpha$  is the largest number and  $\beta$  the smallest such that  $\alpha \leq f(\theta) \leq \beta$  almost everywhere on  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . It is known ([6], p. 65) that all the eigenvalues of  $T$  are in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . A proof of this is included naturally in the proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3** *If  $\lambda_r$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_n$  with multiplicity  $m$ , then  $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$  must change sign at least  $2m - 1$  times in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .*

**PROOF.** Associate with each vector  $v = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]^t$  in  $C^n$  the polynomial

$$V(z) = [1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}]v = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j z^{j-1}.$$

If  $u$  and  $v$  are in  $C^n$  then

$$(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U(z) \overline{V(z)} d\theta, \quad (4)$$

where  $z = e^{i\theta}$  whenever  $z$  appears in an integral. Moreover, (1) implies that

$$(T_n u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) U(z) \overline{V(z)} d\theta. \quad (5)$$

Now let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $T_n$ , with corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , and let

$$X_i(z) = [1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}] x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

be the corresponding eigenpolynomials. From (4),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X_i(z) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad (6)$$

and from (5),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) X_i(z) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = \delta_{ij} \lambda_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \quad (7)$$

The last two equations with  $i = j$  show that the eigenvalues of  $T_n$  are in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Therefore,  $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$  must change sign at some point in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . This completes the proof if  $m = 1$ .

Now suppose that  $m > 1$  and  $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$  changes sign only at the points  $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_k$  in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ , where  $k \leq 2m - 2$ . We will show that this assumption leads to a contradiction.

Define

$$g(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (f(\theta) - \lambda_r). \quad (8)$$

For reference below note that if  $k = 2p$  then the function

$$g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) \quad (9)$$

does not change sign in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . This remains true if  $k = 2p - 1$ , if we define  $\theta_{2p} = \pi$ . Now suppose that  $\lambda_r$  has multiplicity  $m$ ; that is,

$$\lambda_r = \lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_{r+m-1}. \quad (10)$$

From (6), (7), and (10),

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) X_i(z) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (r \leq i \leq r+m-1, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

if  $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}$  are constants. This implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right) \overline{Q(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (11)$$

if  $Q$  is any polynomial of degree  $\leq n-1$ , since any such polynomial can be written as a linear combination of  $X_1(z), \dots, X_n(z)$ . In particular, choose  $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}$  – not all zero – so that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(e^{i\theta_j}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p,$$

(this is possible, since  $p < m$ ), and let

$$Q(z) = \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right) \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{z - e^{i\theta_{p+j}}}{z - e^{i\theta_j}}.$$

Substituting this into (11) yields

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \left| \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right|^2 \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}}}{\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_j}} d\theta = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^p (z - e^{i\theta_j})(\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}}) d\theta = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$g_1(\theta) = g(\theta) \left| \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^p (z - e^{i\theta_j})} \right|^2.$$

If  $z = e^{i\theta}$  then

$$(z - e^{i\theta_j})(\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}}) = 4e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_{p+j})/2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_{p+j}}{2}\right);$$

hence, (12) implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) d\theta = 0,$$

which is impossible because of (8) and our observation that the function in (9) is sign constant on  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3 immediately implies the following theorems. Theorem 6 was proved in [8].

**THEOREM 4** *If  $f$  is monotonic on  $(-\pi, \pi)$  or there is a number  $\phi$  in  $(-\pi, \pi)$  such that  $f$  is monotonic on  $(-\pi, \phi)$  and  $(\phi, \pi)$  then all eigenvalues of  $T_n$  have multiplicity one.*

**THEOREM 5** *Suppose that  $f(-\theta) = f(\theta)$ , so that  $T_n$  is a real symmetric Toeplitz matrix. If  $\lambda_r$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_n$  with multiplicity  $m$  then  $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$  must change sign at least  $m$  times in  $(0, \pi)$*

**THEOREM 6** *Suppose that  $f(-\theta) = f(\theta)$  and  $f$  is monotonic on  $(0, \pi)$ . Then all the eigenvalues of  $T_n$  have multiplicity one.*

### 3 Location of the zeros of eigenpolynomials

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 7** *Suppose that the eigenvalue  $\lambda_r$  has an associated eigenvector  $x_r$  such that  $J\bar{x}_r = \xi x_r$ , where  $\xi$  is a constant, and the eigenpolynomial  $X_r(z)$  defined in (3) has  $2m$  zeros ( $m \geq 1$ ) that are not on the unit circle. Then  $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$  must change sign at least  $2m + 1$  times in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof is by contradiction. Suppose  $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$  changes sign only at the points  $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_k$  in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq 2m$ . Then, as in the proof of Theorem 3, the function (9) does not change sign in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . (Again,  $k = 2p$  if  $k$  is even, and we define  $\theta_{2p} = \pi$  if  $k = 2p - 1$ .) From among the  $2m$  zeros of  $X_r(z)$  not on the unit circle choose  $2p$  distinct zeros  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p, 1/\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, 1/\bar{\zeta}_p$ , and define  $g$  as in (8).

From (6) and (7),

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) X_r(z) \overline{X_s(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (1 \leq s \leq n),$$

which implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) X_r(z) \overline{Q(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (13)$$

if  $Q$  is any polynomial of degree  $\leq n - 1$ .

Now define

$$q_j(z) = \frac{(z - e^{i\theta_j})(1 - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}} z)}{(z - \zeta_j)(1 - \bar{\zeta}_j z)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p,$$

and let

$$Q(z) = X_r(z) q_1(z) \cdots q_p(z).$$

Then (13) implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) |X_r(z)|^2 \overline{q_1(z)} \cdots \overline{q_p(z)} d\theta = 0. \quad (14)$$

However, if  $z = e^{i\theta}$  then

$$q_j(z) = \frac{4e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_{p+j})/2}}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}_j e^{i\theta}|^2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_{p+j}}{2}\right).$$

This and (14) imply that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{g(\theta) |X_r(z)|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^p |1 - \bar{\zeta}_j e^{i\theta}|^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) d\theta = 0, \quad (15)$$

which is impossible, since the function (9) is sign constant in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 7 immediately implies the following theorem.

**THEOREM 8** *If  $f$  satisfies the hypotheses of either Theorem 4 or Theorem 6 then all zeros of the eigenpolynomials of  $T_n$  are on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ .*

## References

- [1] A. CANTONI AND F. BUTLER, *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 13 (1976), pp. 275–288.
- [2] P. DELSARTE AND Y. GENIN, *Spectral properties of finite Toeplitz matrices*, in Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, Proc. MTNS-83 International Symposium, Beer Sheva, Israel, (1983), pp. 194–213.
- [3] P. DELSARTE, Y. GENIN, AND Y. KAMP, *Parametric Toeplitz Systems*, Circuits, Systems, Signal Processing, 3 (1984), pp. 207–223.
- [4] Y. GENIN, *A survey of the eigenstructure properties of finite Hermitian Toeplitz matrices*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 10 (1987), pp. 621–639.
- [5] C. GUEGUEN, *Linear prediction in the singular case and the stability of singular models*, Proc. Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Processing, Atlanta (1981), pp. 881–885.
- [6] U. GRENANDER AND G. SZEGÖ, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, CA, 1958.
- [7] J. MAKHOUL, *On the eigenvectors of symmetric Toeplitz matrices*, IEEE Trans. Acoustics Speech, Signal Proc., ASSP-29 (1981), pp. 868–872.
- [8] W. F. TRENCH, *Interlacement of the even and odd spectra of real symmetric Toeplitz matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 195 (1993), pp. 59–69.