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$R$ is a real or complex $n \times n$ matrix-valued function on an interval $\ell$ that can be written as

$$
R=P \mathbf{D} P^{-1}
$$

where $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{k}
\end{array}\right], P_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n \times n_{\ell}}(\ell), 1 \leq \ell \leq k, \\
\mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{1} I_{n_{1}}, \mu_{2} I_{n_{2}}, \ldots, \mu_{k} I_{n_{k}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\mu_{1}(t), \ldots, \mu_{k}(t)$ are distinct for every $t \in \ell$. Thus, $R$ is diagonalizable and has $k$ distinct eigenvalues for all $t \in \ell$, with constant multiplicities $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$.

Our objective: To consider differential systems $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ where $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n \times n}(\ell)$ and $R A=A R$ on $\ell$.

Later we'll add another condition on R. First, what are the consequences of the commutativity?

We can write
$P^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\widehat{P}_{1} \\ \widehat{P}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{P}_{k}\end{array}\right] \quad$ where $\quad \widehat{P}_{r} P_{s}=\delta_{r s} I_{n_{r}}, \quad 1 \leq r, s \leq k$.
Theorem $1 R A=A R$ on $d$ if and only if

$$
A=P \operatorname{diag}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) P^{-1}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} F_{\ell} \widehat{P}_{\ell}
$$

with

$$
F_{\ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} A P_{\ell}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

Proof. We can always write

$$
A=P C P^{-1}=P\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1 k} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
C_{k 1} & C_{k 2} & \cdots & C_{k k}
\end{array}\right] P^{-1}
$$

with $C_{r s} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{r} \times n_{s}}(\mathbb{d})$. Just let $C=P^{-1} A P$ and partition $C$ into blocks. (This is purely conceptual; we don't really have to do it.) Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
R A & =\left(P \mathbf{D} P^{-1}\right)\left(P C P^{-1}\right)=P \mathbf{D} C P^{-1} \\
& =P\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu_{1} C_{11} & \mu_{1} C_{12} & \cdots & \mu_{1} C_{1 k} \\
\mu_{2} C_{21} & \mu_{2} C_{22} & \cdots & \mu_{2} C_{2 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mu_{k} C_{k 1} & \mu_{k} C_{k 2} & \cdots & \mu_{k} C_{k k}
\end{array}\right] P^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A R & =\left(P C P^{-1}\right)\left(P \mathbf{D} P^{-1}\right)=P C \mathbf{D} P^{-1} \\
& =P\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu_{1} C_{11} & \mu_{2} C_{12} & \cdots & \mu_{k} C_{1 k} \\
\mu_{1} C_{21} & \mu_{2} C_{22} & \cdots & \mu_{k} C_{2 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mu_{1} C_{k 1} & \mu_{2} C_{k 2} & \cdots & \mu_{k} C_{k k}
\end{array}\right] P^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $R A=A R$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r} C_{r s}=\mu_{s} C_{r s}, \quad 1 \leq r, s \leq k . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{r} \neq \mu_{s}$ if $r \neq s$, (1) is equivalent to $C_{r s}=0$ if $r \neq s, 1 \leq r, s \leq k$. For better notation, write

$$
C_{\ell \ell}=F_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell} \times n_{\ell}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{F}=\operatorname{diag}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{k}\right)
$$

We have now shown that $R A=A R$ on $d$ if and only if $P^{-1} A P=\mathbf{F}$ or, equivalently, $A=P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}$; ie., $R$ and $A$ have the same block diagonal form with respect to $P$ (for all $t \in \ell$ ).

Now we want a formula for $F_{0}, \ldots, F_{k}$. Since $A=P F P^{-1}$, $A P=P F ; i, e$,
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}A P_{1} & A P_{2} & \cdots & A P_{k}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{1} F_{1} & P_{2} F_{2} & \cdots & P_{k} F_{k}\end{array}\right]$, so $A P_{\ell}=P_{\ell} F_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq k$. Since $\widehat{P}_{\ell} P_{\ell}=I_{n_{\ell}}$, it follows that $F_{\ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} A P_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq k$.

Example: (Variable block circulant system) If $P$ is constant we can use this result to simplify the solution of $x^{\prime}=$ $A(t) x$. For example, consider the block circulant matrix function
$A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}A_{0} & A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & A_{k-2} & A_{k-1} \\ A_{k-1} & A_{0} & A_{1} & \cdots & A_{k-3} & A_{k-2} \\ A_{k-2} & A_{k-1} & A_{0} & \cdots & A_{k-4} & A_{k-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & \cdots & A_{k-1} & A_{0}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{k d \times k d}(\mathcal{l})$
with $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{d \times d}(\mathcal{d})$. Then $R A=A R$ if

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & I_{d} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{d} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{d} \\
I_{d} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

(replace $A_{1}$ by $I_{d}$, all other $A_{\ell}$ 's by $0_{d \times d}$ ).

Denote $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i / k}$. Then

$$
R=P \operatorname{diag}\left(I_{d}, \zeta I_{d}, \zeta^{2} I_{d}, \ldots, \zeta^{k-1} I_{d}\right) P^{-1}
$$

where $P=\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{1} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{k}\end{array}\right]$ with

$$
P_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{d} \\
\zeta^{\ell-1} I_{d} \\
\zeta^{2(\ell-1)} I_{d} \\
\vdots \\
\zeta^{(k-1)(\ell-1)} I_{d}
\end{array}\right], \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

Therefore Theorem 1 implies that

$$
A=P \operatorname{diag}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) P^{-1}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} F_{\ell} \widehat{P}_{\ell}
$$

with

$$
F_{\ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} A P_{\ell}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{(\ell-1) m} A_{m}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

(after some computation). Therefore, $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ if and only if

$$
x=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} y_{\ell} \quad \text { where } \quad y_{\ell}^{\prime}=F_{\ell}(t) y, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

Therefore, the only way to understand $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ (whatever we mean by this), is to understand the component systems $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=F_{\ell}(t) y_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq k$. For example, if $\ell=[a, \infty)$ a standard theorem of Bôcher says that if

$$
\int^{\infty}\|A(t)\| d t<\infty
$$

then every nonzero solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ approaches a nonzero limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, or, equivalently, if $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{k d}$ then $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ has a unique solution $x$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=\xi .
$$

This theorem is not directly applicable to $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ if

$$
\int^{\infty}\left\|F_{\ell}(t)\right\| d t=\infty
$$

for some $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, which implies that

$$
\int^{\infty}\|A(t)\| d t=\infty .
$$

However, if

$$
s=\left\{\ell \mid \int^{\infty}\left\|F_{\ell}(t)\right\| d t<\infty\right\} \neq \emptyset
$$

and $u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}, \ell \in 8$, then applying the theorem separately to $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=F_{\ell}(t) y_{\ell}, \ell \in 8$, shows that $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ has a unique solution

$$
x=\sum_{\ell \in S} P_{\ell} y_{\ell} \quad \text { such that } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{\ell}(t)=u_{\ell} . \quad \ell \in S
$$

To carry this over to the case where $P$ is a variable matrix, we need another assumption:

$$
P^{\prime}=P \operatorname{diag}\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{k}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad U_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n_{\ell} \times n_{\ell}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
P_{\ell}^{\prime}=P_{\ell} U_{\ell} \text { with } U_{\ell}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

Thus, for each $t, P_{\ell}^{\prime}(t)$ is in the column space of $P(t)$ (the $\mu_{k}(t)$-eigenspace of $\left.R(t)\right)$. In this case we say that $R$ is spectrally separated. (l'm open to suggestions of better terminology.)

To solve

$$
x^{\prime}=A(t) x+f(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}
$$

write

$$
x_{0}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} y_{0 \ell} \text { with } y_{0 \ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} x_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell}}
$$

and

$$
f=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} h_{\ell} \text { with } h_{\ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} f \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell}}(\ell)
$$

and solve the $k$ initial value problems

$$
y_{\ell}=\left(F_{\ell}(t)-U_{\ell}(t)\right) y_{\ell}+h_{\ell}(t), y_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0 \ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

Recall that $A=P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}$ where $\mathbf{F}=\operatorname{diag}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right)$. Denote $\mathbf{U}=\operatorname{diag}\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}\right)$, so $P^{\prime}=P \mathbf{U}$. To solve $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$, write
$x=P y=\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{1} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{k}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{k}\end{array}\right]$ with $y_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n_{\ell}}(\ell)$.
Since

$$
A x=\left(P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}\right)(P y)=P F y
$$

and

$$
x^{\prime}=P y^{\prime}+P^{\prime} y=P y^{\prime}+P \mathbf{U} y=P\left(y^{\prime}+\mathbf{U} y\right)
$$

it follows that $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ if and only if

$$
y^{\prime}+\mathbf{U} y=\mathbf{F} y \Longleftrightarrow y^{\prime}=(\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{U}) y,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
y_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left(F_{\ell}(t)-U_{\ell}(t)\right) y, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k .
$$

Recall that a fundamental matrix for $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix function $X$ such that $X^{\prime}=A(t) X$.

Theorem 2 If $R A=A R$ on $\ell$ and $\mathbf{Y}=\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} Y_{\ell}$ where $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ are fundamental matrices for the systems $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left(F_{\ell}(t)-U_{\ell}(t)\right) y_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq k$, then $X=P \mathbf{Y}$ is a fundamental matrix for $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$. Moreover, if $t_{0} \in \ell$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ then the solution of the initial value problem $x^{\prime}=A(t) x, x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, is
$x(t)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0 \ell}$ where $y_{0 \ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right) x_{0}$,
$1 \leq \ell \leq k$. The general solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is

$$
x(t)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}(t) c_{\ell} \text { where } c_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell}}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k
$$

Theorem 3 Suppose $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{d})$ is $R$-symmetric, $f \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}(\mathcal{l})$, and $t_{0} \in \ell$. Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ be fundamental matrices for the systems $y_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left(F_{\ell}(t)-U_{\ell}(t)\right) y_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq$ $k$. Then the solution of

$$
x^{\prime}=A(t) x+f(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0},
$$

is

$$
x(t)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell}(t) Y_{\ell}(t)\left(Y_{\ell}^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0 \ell}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} Y_{\ell}^{-1}(\tau) h_{\ell}(\tau) d \tau\right),
$$

where

$$
y_{0 \ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell}\left(t_{0}\right) x_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{\ell}=\widehat{P}_{\ell} f, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k .
$$

Theorem 4 Suppose $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{l})$. Let

$$
s_{A}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n \times n}(d) \mid x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t), t \in d\right\}
$$

(solution set of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ ) and

$$
\mathcal{E}_{R}=\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{k}\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n \times n}(\ell) \mid R(t) x(t)=\mu_{\ell}(t) x(t), t \in \ell\right\}
$$

(union of the time-varying eigenspaces of $R$ ). Then $A$ is $R$-symmetric if and only if $\Im_{A}$ has a basis in $\mathcal{E}_{R}$.

Proof. If $R A=A R$ on $d$ then the general solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is $x=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} y_{\ell}$. Since $R P_{\ell}=\mu_{\ell} P_{\ell}$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. This implies necessity.

For sufficiency, if $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ has a basis in $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ then $x^{\prime}=A x$ has a fundamental matrix of the form

$$
X=P \mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{k}
\end{array}\right] \operatorname{diag}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right),
$$

where

$$
Y_{\ell} \text { and } Y_{\ell}^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n_{\ell} \times n_{\ell}}(\ell), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k .
$$

Therefore $A P \mathbf{Y}=(P \mathbf{Y})^{\prime}=P^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}+P \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left(P^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}+P \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{Y}^{-1} P^{-1}=P^{\prime} P^{-1}+P\left(\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\right) P^{-1} \\
& =P\left(P^{-1} P^{\prime}\right) P^{-1}+P\left(\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\right) P^{-1} \\
& =P\left(\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\right) P^{-1}=P \mathbf{F} P^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $P^{\prime}=P U$ ), with

$$
\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}=\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{k-1}\left(U_{\ell}+Y_{\ell}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{-1}\right)
$$

Hence $R A=A R$ on $\ell$, by Theorem 1 .

Closing comment on $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ :

Suppose $d=[a, \infty)$ and $R A=A R$ on $\ell$. Since the general solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is of the form

$$
y=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell} y_{\ell} \quad \text { where } \quad y_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left(F_{\ell}(t)-U_{\ell}(t)\right) y_{\ell}
$$

it seems that the best (only?) way to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is to study the separate behaviors of the components $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$.

For example, Bôcher's theorem implies the following result.

Theorem Suppose that $R A=A R$ on $\ell$ and $\int^{\infty}\left\|F_{\ell}-U_{\ell}\right\| d t<\infty$ for all $\ell$ in a nonempty sunset 8 of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. For each $\ell \in \mathcal{S}$ let $u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \ell$ be given. Then $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ has a unique solution $x=\sum_{\ell \in s} P_{\ell} y_{\ell}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{\ell}(t)=u_{\ell}, \ell \in \mathcal{S}$.

## DISCRETE FORMULATION

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$be the set of nonnegative integers and consider linear systems of difference equations

$$
x_{t+1}=\left(I+A_{t}\right) x_{t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad x_{0}=\xi,
$$

where $I+A_{t} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is invertible for all $t \geq 0$. Let

$$
\mathbb{P}_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1 t} & P_{2 t} & \cdots & P_{k t}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{P}_{1 t} \\
\widehat{P}_{2 t} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{P}_{k t}
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
$$

where $\quad P_{\ell_{t}} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), \quad \widehat{P}_{\ell_{t}} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell} \times n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, and $\quad \widehat{P}_{\ell t} P_{m t}=\delta_{\ell m} I_{n_{\ell}}, 1 \leq \ell, m \leq k, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Let

$$
R_{t}=\mathbb{P}_{t} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{1 t} I_{n_{1}}, \ldots, \mu_{k t} I_{n_{k}}\right) \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1}
$$

where $\mu_{1 t}, \ldots, \mu_{k t}$ are distinct for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Finally, let $\mathbb{P}_{t+1}=\mathbb{P}_{t}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{U}_{t}\right)$, where $\mathbf{U}_{t}=\operatorname{diag}\left(U_{1 t}, \ldots, U_{k t}\right)$ with $U_{\ell t} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell} \times n_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), 1 \leq \ell \leq k$, and $I+\mathbf{U}_{t}$ invertible for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

Theorem $5 R_{t} A_{t}=A_{t} R_{t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}=\mathbb{P}_{t} \mathbf{F}_{t} \mathbb{P}_{t}^{-1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell t} F_{\ell t} \widehat{P}_{\ell t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
F_{\ell t}=\widehat{P}_{\ell t} A_{t} P_{\ell t} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell} \times n_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

Now suppose $R A=A R$ on $\ell$ and want to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t+1}=\left(I+A_{t}\right) x_{t}, \quad t>0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $x_{t}=P_{t} y_{t}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell t} y_{\ell t}$. Then

$$
x_{t+1}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell, t+1} y_{\ell, t+1}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell t}\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+U_{\ell t}\right) y_{\ell, t+1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I+A_{t}\right) x_{t} & =\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell t}\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+F_{\ell t}\right) \widehat{P}_{\ell t}\right)\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k} P_{m t} y_{m t}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_{\ell t}\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+F_{\ell t}\right) y_{\ell t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (3) holds if only if
$\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+U_{\ell t}\right) y_{\ell, t+1}=\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+F_{\ell t}\right) y_{\ell t}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, or, equivalently,
$y_{\ell, t+1}=\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+U_{\ell t}\right)^{-1}\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+F_{\ell t}\right) y_{\ell t}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

Theorem 6 Suppose $R_{t} A_{t}=A_{t} R_{t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and let

$$
Q_{\ell t}=\mathbb{P}_{\ell t} \prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+U_{\ell j}\right)^{-1}\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+F_{\ell j}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad Q_{\ell 0}=I_{n_{\ell}}
$$

$1 \leq \ell \leq k$ Then

$$
X_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
Q_{1 t} & Q_{2 t} & \cdots & Q_{k t}
\end{array}\right] \quad t>0, \quad X_{0}=I
$$

is a fundamental matrix for the system

$$
x_{t+1}=\left(I+A_{t}\right) x_{t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

The discrete analog of Bôcher's theorem can be adapted to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7 Suppose $R_{t} A_{t}=A_{t} R_{t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left\|\left(I_{n_{\ell}}+U_{\ell t}\right)^{-1}\left(I+F_{\ell t}\right)-I_{n_{\ell}}\right\|<\infty
$$

for all $\ell$ in a nonempty subset $\delta$ of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. For each $\ell \in \rho$ let $u_{\ell}$ be a given vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell}}$. Then the system $x_{t+1}=\left(I+A_{t}\right) x_{t}$ has a unique solution

$$
x_{t}=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}} P_{\ell t} y_{\ell t} \quad \text { such that } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{\ell t}=u_{\ell}, \quad \ell \in \delta
$$

## AN ITERESTING QUESTION

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=A(t) x, \quad t>t_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ (continuous) but has no particular structure. A system like this is "nice" if it has linear asymptotic equilibrium (every nontrivial solution approaches a nonzero limit), which is true, for example, if $\int^{\infty}\|A(t)\| d t<\infty$. However, suppose that $\int^{\infty}\|A(t)\| d t=$ $\infty$. In this case it seems reasonable to look for a continuously differentiable and invertible matrix $P=P(t)$ such that every nontrivial solution of (1) can be written as $x=P y$, where $y$ approaches a nonzero limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In this case l'd like to say that $P$ is a preconditioner for (4).

An easy sufficient (but not necessary) condition: Since $x^{\prime}=P y^{\prime}+P^{\prime} y$ and $A x=A P y, x^{\prime}=A x$ if and only if $u^{\prime}=P^{-1}\left(A P-P^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $P$ is a preconditioner for (1) if

$$
\int^{\infty}\left\|P^{-1}\left(A P-P^{\prime}\right)\right\| d t<\infty
$$

Some other definitions that can extended in this way:

Definition 1 Let $I=[a, \infty), A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{d})$, and let $s_{a}$ be the solution set of (4). Then:
(a) Eqn. (4) is stable if there is a constant $M$ such that $|x(t)| \leq M|x(a)|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_{A}$.
(b) Eqn. (4) is strictly stable if there is a constant $M$ such that $\|x(t)\| \leq M\|x(\tau)\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_{A}$ and $t, \tau \geq a$.
(c) $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ is uniformly stable if there is a constant $M$ such that $\|x(t)\| \leq\|x(\tau)\|$ for all $x \in 8_{A}$ and $t \geq \tau \geq a \in$ $\mathcal{Z}$.
(d) Eqn. (4) is uniformly asymptotically stable if there are constants $M$ and $v>0$ such that $\|x(t)\| \leq\|x(\tau)\| e^{-v(t-\tau)}$ for all $x \in S_{A}$ and $t \geq \tau \geq a$.
(e)Eqn. (4) has linear asymptotic equilibrium if every nontrivial solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ approaches a nonzero constant vector as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Definitions (c) and (d) can be combined in the following definition, which may be new. Let $\rho$ be continuous and positive on $\{(t, \tau \mid t \geq \tau \geq a\}$ and suppose that

$$
\rho(t, t)=1 \text { and } \rho(t, \tau) \leq \rho(t, s) \rho(s, \tau) \text { ift } \geq s \geq \tau \geq a \text {. }
$$

We say that (4) is $\rho$-stable if there is a constant $M$ such that

$$
\|x(t)\| \leq \frac{\|x(\tau)\|}{\rho(s, t)} \text { for all } x \in \delta_{A} \text { and } t \geq \tau \geq a \text {. }
$$

Definition 2 Suppose $P \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n \times n}(\mathcal{l})$. Then:
(a) Eqn. (4) is stable relative to $P$ if there is a constant $M$ such that

$$
\left\|P^{-1}(t) x(t)\right\| \leq M\left\|P^{-1}(a) x(a)\right\|
$$

(b) Eqn. (4) is strictly stable relative to $P$ if there is a constant $M$ such that

$$
\left\|P^{-1}(t) x(t)\right\| \leq M\left\|P^{-1}(\tau) x(\tau)\right\| \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in \delta_{A}
$$

and $t, \tau \geq a$.
(c) Eqn. (4) is $\rho$-stable relative to $P$ if there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\left\|P^{-1}(t) x(t)\right\| \leq M \frac{\left\|P^{-1}(\tau) x(\tau)\right\|}{\rho(t, \tau)} \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in \delta_{A}
$$

and $t \geq \tau \geq a$.
(d) Eqn. (4) has linear asymptotic equilibrium relative to $P$ if $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P^{-1}(t) x(t)$ exists and is nonzero for every nontrivial $x \in \mathcal{S}_{A}$.

